

General Information

MAYORAL COMMITTEE

Executive Mayor

Councillors

Mpho Franklin "Parks" Tau (Chairperson) (1 JUNE 2011 - 30 JUNE 2016)

(1 JUNE 2011 - 30 JUNE 2016) Constance Bapela (Speaker of Council) Geoff Makhubu (Finance) Ruby Mathang (Economic Development) Rosslyn Greeff (Development Planning and Urban Management) Rehana Moosajee (Transportation) Matshidiso Mfikoe (Environment and Infrastructure Services) Nonceba Molwele (Health and Human Development) Mally Mokoena (Corporate and Shared Services) Sello Lemao (Public Safety) Chris Vondo (Community Development) Daniel Bovu (Housing) Prema Naidoo (Chief Whip) Elginah Ndhlovhu (Chief of Staff)

General Information

GRADING OF LOCAL AUTHORITY The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality is a Grade Six Local Authority in terms of Item IV of Government Notice R999 of 2 October 2001, published in terms of the Remuneration of Public Office Bearers Act, 1998. **CITY MANAGER Trevor Fowler ACTING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR : FINANCE** Lungelwa Songishe **REGISTERED OFFICE** Metropolitan Centre, Loveday Street, Johannesburg 2001 Telephone: +27 (0)11 407 - 611 Facsimile: +27 (0)11 339 POSTAL ADDRESS P O Box 1049 Johannesburg 2000 BANKERS ABSA Bank Limited AUDITORS The Office of the Auditor-General : Gauteng **Registered Auditors** 61 Central Street Houghton 2198 PO Box 91081 Auckland Park 2006

Index

The reports and statements set out below comprise the Group Annual Financial Statements:

Index	Page
Municipal Manager's approval of the Group Annual Financial Statements	5
Statement of Financial Position	6 - 7
Statement of Financial Performance	8
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	9 - 10
Cash Flow Statement	11
Accounting Policies	12 - 30
Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements	31 - 176
orati 2002	

Index

Abbreviations	
AUC	Assets Under Construction
BESA	Bond Exchange South Africa
CJMM	City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality
CMP	Corporate Media Platforms
COID	Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases
CRR	Capital Replacement Reserve
DBSA	Development Bank of Southern Africa
DMTN	Domestic Medium Term Note
GRAP	Generally Recognised Accounting Practice
IAS	International Accounting Standards
IMFO	Institute of Municipal Finance Officers
IPSAS	International Public Sector Accounting Standards
JSE	Johannesburg Stock Exchange
MEC	Member of the Executive Council
ME's	Municipal Entities
MFMA	Municipal Finance Management Act
NDR	Non-distributable Reserve
PAYE	Pay As You Earn
PPE	Property, plant and equipment
SARS	South Africa Revenue Services
SCA	Supreme Court of Appeal
UIF	Unemployment Insurance Fund
USDG	Urban Settlements Development Grant
VAT	Value Added Taxation

Municipal Manager's approval of the Group Annual Financial Statements

I am responsible for the preparation of the Group Annual Financial Statements for the Group in terms of Section 126(1) of the Municipal Finance Management Act and which I have signed on behalf of the Municipality.

The Group Annual Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

Trevor Fowler Municipal Manager



Statement of Financial Position

		GRO	JP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2012	2011	2012	2011
ASSETS					
Current Assets					
Inventories	4	204,108	214,456	103,012	100,252
Loans to Municipal Entities	5	-	-	805,774	832,770
Other financial assets	6	263,170	157,944	263,170	157,944
Current tax receivable		2,343	2,384	-	-
Trade and other receivables	7	1,047,888	1,215,950	1,075,613	2,350,090
VAT receivable	8	115,243	150,851	110,274	147,662
Consumer debtors	9	6,116,949	4,356,452	1,071,981	411,535
Cash and cash equivalents	10	2,132,693	689,658	2,042,517	643,438
	-	9,882,394	6,787,695	5,472,341	4,643,691
Non-Current Assets	-				
Investment property	11	1,047,572	969,096	1,000,892	922,299
Property, plant and equipment	12	38,144,066	36,150,276	23,926,536	23,231,459
Intangible assets	13	668,591	852,101	395,564	570,053
Investments in Municipal Entities	14	-		152,113	269,643
Investment in joint ventures	15	31,575	31,423		
Investment in associates	16	13,493	12,518	-	-
Loans to Municipal Entities	5			5,436,952	5,664,918
Other financial assets	6	2,934,806	2,433,340	2,934,806	2,433,340
Deferred tax	17	21,549	18,351	_,	_,,
Consumer debtors	9	45,391	59,576	45,391	59,576
	0	42,907,043	40,526,681	33,892,254	33,151,288
Non-current assets held for sale and assets of disposigroups	al 18	136,606	3,233	136,606	-
		52,926,043	47,317,609	39,501,201	37,794,979

Statement of Financial Position

		GRO	UP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
LIABILITIES						
Current Liabilities						
Loans and borrowings	20	1,547,753	366,309	1,547,221	336,996	
Current tax payable		3,095	12,278	-	-	
Finance lease obligation	21	24,816	25,458	17,497	19,882	
Trade and other payables	22	6,977,521	7,090,145	5,562,829	6,657,244	
VAT payable	8	841,938	710,833	-	-	
Obligations arising from conditional grants and receipts	23	695,137	537,293	685,842	516,158	
Provisions	24	16,691	15,720	15,219	14,545	
Deferred income	26	9,766	9,123	-	-	
Bank overdraft	10	-	72	-	-	
	-	10,116,717	8,7 67 ,231	7,828,608	7,544,825	
Non-Current Liabilities	_					
Loans and borrowings	20	11,277,553	11,843,619	11,259,017	11,824,608	
Finance lease obligation	21	68,295	69,122	61,104	64,386	
Retirement benefit obligation	25	1,789,466	1,743,487	1,808,066	1,773,642	
Deferred tax	17	1,123,124	699,062	-	-	
Provisions	24	674,208	662,029	78,953	355,054	
Deferred income	26	2,469,843	1,941,847	2,421,541	1,885,312	
Interest rate swap liability	27	109,702	43,098	109,702	43,098	
Consumer deposits	28	457,494	446,418	15,688	4,112	
	9	17,969,685	17,448,682	15,754,071	15,950,212	
Total Liabilities	$\cap \overline{G}$	28,086,402	26,215,913	23,582,679	23,495,037	
Net Assets	V	24,839,641	21,101,696	15,918,522	14,299,942	
NET ASSETS	•					
Reserves						
Hedging reserve		(109,702)	(43,098)	(109,702)	(43,098)	
Associated NDR		13,328	12,242	-	-	
Capital replacement reserve		11,142	14,260	11,142	14,260	
COID reserve		159,263	129,223	159,263	129,223	
Accumulated surplus		24,765,610	20,989,069	15,857,819	14,199,557	
Total Net Assets	-	24,839,641	21,101,696	15,918,522	14,299,942	

Statement of Financial Performance

		GRO	UP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Revenue						
Property rates	30	5,563,013	4,973,925	5,563,013	4,973,925	
Service charges	31	18,576,277	15,198,695	923,481	837,478	
Rental facilities and equipment		189,356	145,500	73,107	52,107	
Interest received		481,184	492,240	1,121,377	1,042,433	
Income from agency services		194,642	168,166	194,642	168,166	
Public contributions, Donated and contributed proper plant and equipment	ty,	143,887	99,468	143,404	99,420	
Fines		435,336	361,603	435,336	361,603	
Licenses and permits		803	806	803	806	
Government grants	32	6,240,905	6,153,210	6,096,288	5,987,314	
Reversal of impairment		-	-	4,961	17,984	
Other revenue	34	1,676,059	1,438,813	1,012,518	786,187	
Gains on disposal of assets		144,698	6,271	143,471	6,265	
Total Revenue	-	33,646,160	29,038,697	15,712,401	14,333,688	
Expenditure)			
Employee related costs	35	(7,100,142)	(6,468,509)	(4,107,177)	(3,755,129)	
Remuneration of councillors	36	(98,291)	(80,646)	(98,291)	(80,646)	
Depreciation and amortisation	37	(1,660,231)	(1,528,634)	(1,162,738)	(1,027,565)	
Impairment losses	38	(150,869)	(42,789)	(392,731)	(41,872)	
Finance costs		(1,615,578)	(1,526,265)	(1,607,070)	(1,551,774)	
Allowance for impairment of current receivables	39	(2,128,295)	(2,780,370)	(408,083)	(1,268,675)	
Repairs and maintenance		(468,228)	(486,111)	(106,260)	(102,408)	
Bulk purchases	40	(10,247,142)	(8,162,421)	-	-	
Contracted services	41	(2,354,518)	(2,752,407)	(1,308,643)	(1,378,237)	
Grants and subsidies paid	42	(132,957)	(111,793)	(2,618,744)	(2,428,118)	
Loss on disposal of assets	V	(69,911)	(21,554)	(62,625)	(12,694)	
General Expenses	43	(3,423,839)	(2,836,883)	(2,207,831)	(1,952,770)	
Total Expenditure	•	(29,450,001)	(26,798,382)	(14,080,193)	(13,599,888)	
Fair value adjustments		(25,889)	-	(25,889)	-	
Share of deficit of associate accounted for under the equity method		42	601	-	-	
Loss on non-current assets held for sale or disposal groups		(300)	-	(300)	-	
Taxation	-	(445,714)	(271,036)	-	-	
Surplus for the year		3,724,298	1,969,880	1,606,019	733,800	

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	Capital replacement reserve	Cashflow hedge reserve	Associated NDR	COID reserve	Total reserves	Accumulated surplus	Total equity
GROUP								
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments		93,049	-	4,487	102,535	200,071	18,946,198	19,146,269
Prior year adjustments	47	-	-	-	-	-	(34,857)	(34,857)
Balance at 01 July 2010 as restated Changes in net assets		93,049	-	4,487	102,535	200,071	18,911,341	19,111,412
Capitalisation Adjustment		-	(43,098)	-	-	(43,098)) -	(43,098)
Contribution to COID Reserve		-	-	-	43,220	43,220	(43,220)	-
COID claims processed Transfer to CRR		- 126,617	-	-	(16,532)	(16,532) 126,617) 16,532 (126,617)	-
Unbundling of land		- 120,017	-	-		120,017	55,747	- 55,747
Net revenue (expenditure) recognised directly in equity		126,617	(43,098)	-	26,688	110,207	(97,558)	12,649
Surplus for the year		-	-	-		-	1,969,880	1,969,880
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		126,617	(43,098)	-	26,688	110,207	1,872,322	1,982,529
PPE purchases from CRR Equity share in associate		(205,406) -	-	7,755		(205,406) 7,755) 205,406	- 7,755
Total changes		(78,789)	(43,098)	7,755	26,688	(87,444) 2,077,728	1,990,284
Opening balance as previously reported		14,260	(43,098)	12,242	• 129,223	112,627	21,041,761	21,154,388
Adjustments Prior year adjustments	47	-	-	\mathbf{N}	-	-	(52,692)	(52,692)
Balance at 01 July 2011 as restated Changes in net assets		14,260	(43,098)	12,242	129,223	112,627	20,989,069	21,101,696
Capitalisation adjustment		-	(66,604)	-	-	(66,604) –	(66,604)
Contribution to COID Reserve		-		-	46,199	46,199	(46,199)	-
COID claims processed Transfer to CRR		- 10,146	V -	-	(16,159)	(16,159) 10,146) 16,159 (10,146)	-
Unbundling of land		- 10,140	-	-	-	- 10,140	79,165	79,165
Net revenue (expenditure) recognised directly in equity		10,146	(66,604)	-	30,040	(26,418)) 38,979	12,561
Surplus for the year			-	-	-	-	3,724,298	3,724,298
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		10,146	(66,604)	-	30,040	(26,418)		3,736,859
PPE purchases from CRR Equity share in associate		(13,264) -	-	- 1,086	-	(13,264) 1,086) 13,264 -	۔ 1,086
Total changes		(3,118)	(66,604)	1,086	30,040	(38,596)	3,776,541	3,737,945
Balance at 30 June 2012		11,142	(109,702)	13,328	159,263	74,031	24,765,610	24,839,641

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Figures in Dand the user d	Note(s)	Capital replacement	Cashflow hedge	Associated NDR	COID reserve	Total reserves	Accumulated surplus	Total equity
Figures in Rand thousand		reserve	reserve					
CORE								
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments		93,049	-	-	102,535	195,584	13,386,092	13,581,676
Prior year adjustments	47	-	-	-	-	-	(28,183)	(28,183)
Balance at 01 July 2010 as restated Changes in net assets		93,049	-	-	102,535	195,584	13,357,909	13,553,493
Capitalisation Adjustment		-	(43,098)	-	-	(43,098)) –	(43,098)
Contribution to COID Reserve		-	-	-	43,220	43,220	(43,220)	-
COID claims processed		-	-	-	(16,532)	(16,532)		-
Transfer to CRR		126,617	-	-	-	126,617	(126,617)	-
Unbundling of land		-	-	-	-	-	55,747	55,747
Net revenue (expenditure) recognised directly in equity		126,617	(43,098)	-	26,688	110,207	(97,558)	12,649
Surplus for the year		-	-	-	-	-	733,800	733,800
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		126,617	(43,098)	-	26,688	110,207	636,242	746,449
PPE purchases from CRR		(205,406)	-	-	-	(205,406)	205,406	-
Total changes		(78,789)	(43,098)	-	26,688	(95,199)	841,648	746,449
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments		14,260	(43,098)	-	129,223	100,385	14,249,553	14,349,938
Prior year adjustments	47	-	-	-	-	-	(49,996)	(49,996)
Balance at 01 July 2011 as restated		14,260	(43,098)	-	129,223	100,385	14,199,557	14,299,942
Changes in net assets			(00.004)			(00.004)		(00.004)
Capitalisation adjustment Contribution to COID Reserve		-	(66,604)	-	- 46,199	(66,604) 46,199	- (46,199)	(66,604)
COID claims processed		-	-	-	(16,159)	(16,159)	(/ /	-
Transfer to CRR		10,146	-	-	(10,159)	10,146	(10,146)	-
Unbundling of land		- 10,140	-	-	-	- 10,140	79,165	- 79,165
Net revenue (expenditure)		10,146	(66,604)	-	30,040	(26,418)		12,561
recognised directly in equity Surplus for the year		-	-	-	-	-	1,606,019	1,606,019
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		10,146	(66,604)	-	30,040	(26,418)	1,644,998	1,618,580
PPE purchases from CRR		(13,264)	-	-	-	(13,264)	13,264	-
Total changes		(3,118)	(66,604)	-	30,040	(39,682)	1,658,262	1,618,580
Balance at 30 June 2012		11,142	(109,702)	-	159,263	60,703	15,857,819	15,918,522

Cash Flow Statement

		GROU	JP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2012	2011	2012	2011	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Receipts						
Sale of goods and services		26,924,071	22,393,247	8,494,736	7,303,941	
Grants		6,240,905	6,153,210	6,096,288	5,987,314	
Interest income		338,440	299,159	1,043,276	965,227	
		33,503,416	28,845,616	15,634,300	14,256,482	
Payments						
Employee costs		(7,100,142)	(6,468,509)	(4,107,177)	(3,755,129)	
Suppliers		(18,791,801)	(17,831,013)	(6,457,704)	(7,073,022)	
Finance costs		(1,615,578)	(1,526,265)	(1,538,117)	(1,551,774)	
Taxes on surpluses		(445,714)	(271,036)	-	-	
		(27,953,235)	(26,096,823)	(12,102,998)	(12,379,925)	
Net cash flows from operating activities	44	5,550,181	2,748,793	3,531,302	1,876,557	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		'V				
Durchass of property, plant and equipment	12	(3,773,258)	(2 792 662)	(1 077 467)	(2.044.495)	
Purchase of property, plant and equipment Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	12	25,051	(3,782,663) 76,303	(1,977,467) (9,023)	(2,044,485) 24,012	
Purchase of investment property	11	(26,389)	- 10,000	(26,234)	24,012	
Purchase of other intangible assets	13	(31,299)	(55,461)	(5,615)	(6,426)	
Investments made		(555,321)	(171,513)	(555,321)	(171,513)	
Investments redeemed		57,876	255,452	49,314	251,886	
(Increase)/decrease in non current receivables		(5,495)	1,277	254,429	(442,125)	
Net cash flows from investing activities	• • •	(4,308,835)	(3,676,605)	(2,269,917)	(2,388,651)	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Repayment of borrowings		1,000,208	1,512,029	(376,074)	(283,296)	
Proceeds from borrowings		(371,404)	(266,499)	1,000,208	1,512,029	
Repayment of provisions		(296,886)	(9,800)	(355,226)	1,012,023	
Finance lease payments		(1,469)	(9,389)	(5,667)	(7,070)	
Repayment of post retirement benefits	56	(139,765)	(128,887)	(137,123)	(122,351)	
Increase/(decrease in consumer deposits		11,077	219,762	11,576	(220,400)	
Net cash flows from financing activities		201,761	1,317,216	137,694	878,912	
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		1,443,107	389,404	1,399,079	366,818	
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the yea	r	689,586	300,182	643,438	276,620	
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	10	2,132,693	689,586	2,042,517	643,438	
	-		-	÷ •	•	

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1. Presentation of Group Annual Financial Statements

The Group Annual Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with the effective Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

These Group Annual Financial Statements have been prepared on an accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with historical cost convention unless specified otherwise. They are presented in South African Rand.

The principal accounting policies adopted in the preparation of these Group Annual Financial Statements are set out below.

The accounting policies applied are consistent with those used to present the previous year's financial statements, unless explicitly stated. The details of any changes in accounting policies are explained in the relevant policy.

1.1 Consolidation

Basis of consolidation

Consolidated Annual Financial Statements are the Annual Financial Statements of the Group presented as those of a single entity.

The consolidated Annual Financial Statements incorporate the Annual Financial Statements of the core and all controlled entities, including special purpose entities, which are controlled by the core.

Control exists when the core has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of another entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities.

The results of controlled entities are included in the consolidated Annual Financial Statements from the effective date of acquisition or date when control commences to the effective date of disposal or date when control ceases.

An investment in an entity is accounted for in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement from the date that it ceases to be a controlled entity, unless it becomes an associate or a jointly controlled entity, in which case it is accounted for as such. The carrying amount of the investment at the date that the entity ceases to be a controlled entity is regarded as cost on initial measurement of a financial asset in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement.

The Annual Financial Statements of the core and its shareholder loans used in the preparation of the consolidated Annual Financial Statements are prepared as of the same reporting date.

Adjustments are made when necessary to the Annual Financial Statements of the subsidiaries to bring their accounting policies in line with those of the core.

All inter-entity and intra-entity transactions, balances, revenues and expenses are eliminated in full on consolidation.

Minority interests in the net assets of the Group are identified and recognised separately from the core's interest therein, and are recognised within net assets. Losses applicable to the minority in a consolidated controlled entity may exceed the minority interest in the controlled entity's net assets. The excess, and any further losses applicable to the minority, are allocated against the majority interest except to the extent that the minority has a binding obligation to, and is able to, make an additional investment to cover the losses. If the controlled entity subsequently reports surpluses, such surpluses are allocated to the majority interest until the minority's share of losses previously absorbed by the majority has been recovered.

Minority interests in the surplus or deficit of the economic entity is separately disclosed.

Investment in associates

An associate is an entity over which the core has significant influence and which is neither a controlled entity nor a joint venture. Significant influence is the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the investee but is not control or joint control over those policies.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.1 Consolidation (continued)

An investment in associate is accounted for using the equity method, except when the investment is classified as held-forsale in accordance with Standard of GRAP on Non-current Assets Held-For-Sale and Discontinued Operations. Under the equity method, investments in associates are carried in the consolidated statement of financial position at cost adjusted for post acquisition changes in the Group's share of net assets of the associate, less any impairment losses.

Equity method is a method of accounting whereby the investment is initially recognised at cost and adjusted thereafter for the post-acquisition change in the Group's share of net assets of the investee. The surplus or deficit of the Group includes the Group's share of the surplus or deficit of the investee.

The Group's share of the surplus or deficit of the investee is recognised in surplus or deficit.

The most recent available Annual Financial Statements of the associate are used by the Group in applying the equity method. When the reporting date's of the Group and the associate are different, the associate prepares, for the use of the Group, Annual Financial Statements as of the same date as the Annual Financial Statements of the Group unless it is impractical to do so.

When the Annual Financial Statements of an associate used in applying the equity method are prepared as of a different reporting date from that of the Group, adjustments are made for the effects of significant transactions or events that occur between that date and the date of the Group's Annual Financial Statements. In any case, the difference between the reporting date of the associate and that of the Group is more than three months. The length of the reporting periods and any difference in the reporting dates is the same from period to period.

Deficits in an associate in excess of the Group's interest in that associate are recognised only to the extent that the Group has incurred a legal or constructive obligation to make payments on behalf of the associate. If the associate subsequently reports surpluses, the Group resumes recognising its share of those surpluses only after its share of the surpluses equals the share of deficits not recognised.

Any goodwill on acquisition of an associate is included in the carrying amount of the investment, however, a gain on acquisition is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Surpluses and deficits on transactions between the Group and an associate are eliminated to the extent of the Group's interest therein.

The Group discontinues the use of the equity method from the date that it ceases to have significant influence over an associate and account for the investment in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement from that date, unless the associate becomes a controlled entity or a joint venture, in which case it is accounted for as such. The carrying amount of the investment at the date that it ceases to be an associate is regarded as its cost on initial measurement as a financial asset in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement.

1.2 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing the Group Annual Financial Statements in conformity with GRAP, management is required to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts represented in the Group Annual Financial Statements and related disclosures. Use of available information and the application of judgement is inherent in the formation of estimates. Actual results in the future could differ from these estimates which may be material to the Group Annual Financial Statements. These estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis.

Significant judgements include:

Held to maturity investments and loans and receivables

The CJMM assesses its loans and receivables (including trade receivables) and its held to maturity investments at the end of each reporting period. In determining whether an impairment loss should be recorded in surplus or deficit, the municipality makes judgements as to whether there is observable data indicating a measurable decrease in the estimated future cash flows from a financial asset.

The impairment for trade receivables, held to maturity investments and loans and receivables is calculated on a portfolio basis, based on historical loss ratios, adjusted for national and industry-specific economic conditions and other indicators present at the reporting date that correlate with defaults on the portfolio. These annual loss ratios are applied to loan balances in the portfolio and scaled to the estimated loss emergence period.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.2 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty (continued)

Available-for-sale financial assets

The CJMM follows the guidance of IAS 39 to determine when an available-for-sale financial asset is impaired. This determination requires significant judgment. In making this judgment, the CJMM evaluates, among other factors, the duration and extent to which the fair value of an investment is less than its cost; and the financial health of and near-term business outlook for the investee, including factors such as industry and sector performance, changes in technology and operational and financing cash flow.

Allowance for slow moving, damaged and obsolete stock

Management have made estimates of the selling price and direct cost to sell on certain inventory items. The write down is included in the operation surplus note. Therefore stock is written down either to the lower of cost or net realisable value.

Fair value estimation

The fair value of financial instruments traded in active markets (such as trading and available-for-sale securities) is based on quoted market prices at the end of the reporting period. The quoted market price used for financial assets held by the group is the current bid price.

The fair value of financial instruments that are not traded in an active market (for example, over-the counter derivatives) is determined by using valuation techniques. The group uses a variety of methods and makes assumptions that are based on market conditions existing at the end of each reporting period. Quoted market prices or dealer quotes for similar instruments are used for long-term debt. Other techniques, such as estimated discounted cash flows, are used to determine fair value for the remaining financial instruments.

The carrying value less impairment provision of trade receivables and payables are assumed to approximate their fair values. The fair value of financial liabilities for disclosure purposes is estimated by discounting the future contractual cash flows at the current market interest rate that is available to the group for similar financial instruments.

Impairment testing

The recoverable amounts of cash-generating units and individual assets have been determined based on the higher of value-in-use calculations and fair values less costs to sell. These calculations require the use of estimates and assumptions. It is reasonably possible that the fair value assumption may change which may then impact our estimations and may then require a material adjustment to the carrying value of tangible assets.

The group reviews and tests the carrying value of assets when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Assets are grouped at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of cash flows of other assets and liabilities. If there are indications that impairment may have occurred, estimates are prepared of expected future cash flows for each group of assets. Expected future cash flows used to determine the value in use of tangible assets are inherently uncertain and could materially change over time.

Provisions

Provisions were raised and management determined an estimate based on the information available. Additional disclosure of these estimates of provisions are included in note 24 - Provisions.

Provisions are measured at the directors' best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and are discounted to present value where the effect is material.

Assumptions were used in determining the provision for rehabilitation of landfill sites. Landfill areas are rehabilitated over years and the assumption was made that the areas stay the same in size for a number of years.

Provision is made for the estimated cost to be incurred on the long term environmental obligations, comprising expenditure on pollution control and closure over the estimated life of the landfill.

The estimates are discounted at a pre-tax discount rate that reflect current market assessments of the time value of money.

The increase in the restoration provision due to passage of time is recognised as borrowing cost in the statement of financial performance.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.2 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty (continued)

The cost of ongoing programmes to prevent and control pollution and rehabilitate the environment is recognised as an expense when incurred.

Provisions are measured at the directors' best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and are discounted to present value where the effect is material.

A provision is recognised when:

- the company has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation

Contingent liabilities

Contingencies disclosed in the current year required estimates and judgements. Additional disclosure of contingent liabilities are included in note 46.

Post retirement benefits

The present value of the post retirement obligation depends on a number of factors that are determined on an actuarial basis using a number of assumptions. The assumptions used in determining the net cost/(income) include the discount rate. Any changes in these assumptions will impact on the carrying amount of post retirement obligations.

The group determines the appropriate discount rate at the end of each year. This is the interest rate used to determine the present value of estimated future cash outflows expected to be required to settle the pension obligations. In determining the appropriate discount rate, the group considers the interest rates of high-quality corporate bonds that are denominated in the currency in which the benefits will be paid, and that have terms to maturity approximating the terms of the related pension liability.

Other key assumptions for pension obligations are based on current market conditions.

Additional information is disclosed in Note 25.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.2 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty (continued)

Debt impairment provision

On debtors, an impairment loss is recognised in surplus and deficit when there is objective evidence that it is impaired. The impairment is measured as the difference between the debtors carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate, computed at initial recognition.

1.3 Investment property

Investment property is property (land or a building - or part of a building - or both) held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:

- use in the production or supply of goods or services or for
- administrative purposes, or
- sale in the ordinary course of operations.

Owner-occupied property is property held for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes.

Investment property is recognised as an asset when, it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential that are associated with the investment property will flow to the group, and the cost or fair value of the investment property can be measured reliably.

Investment property is initially recognised at cost. Transaction costs are included in the initial measurement.

Where investment property is acquired at no cost or for a nominal cost, its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Costs include costs incurred initially and costs incurred subsequently to add to, or to replace a part of, or service a property. If a replacement part is recognised in the carrying amount of the investment property, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised.

Cost model

Investment property is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses.

Depreciation is provided to write down the cost, less estimated residual value by equal installments over the useful life of the property, which is as follows:

Item

Property - Land Property - Buildings **Useful life** indefinite 30 years

Investment property is derecognised on disposal or when the investment property is permanently withdrawn from use and no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its disposal.

Gains or losses arising from the retirement or disposal of investment property is the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset and is recognised in surplus or deficit in the period of retirement or disposal.

Compensation from third parties for investment property that was impaired, lost or given up is recognised in surplus or deficit when the compensation becomes receivable.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.4 Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one period.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognised as an asset when:

- it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

Property, plant and equipment is initially measured at cost.

Heritage assets, which are culturally significant resources and which are shown at cost, are not depreciated, owing to the uncertainty regarding their estimated useful lives. Land is not depreciated as it is deemed to have an indefinite life.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost.

Where an asset is acquired at no cost, or for a nominal cost, its cost is its fair value as at date of acquisition.

Where an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the asset acquired is initially measured at fair value (the cost). If the acquired item's fair value was not determinable, it's deemed cost is the carrying amount of the asset(s) given up.

When significant components of an item of property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

Costs include costs incurred initially to acquire or construct an item of property, plant and equipment and costs incurred subsequently to add to, replace part of, or service it. If a replacement cost is recognised in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised.

Property, plant and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses.

Property, plant and equipment are depreciated on the straight line basis over their expected useful lives to their estimated residual value.

life

Item	Average useful I
Land and buildings Land Buildings 	not depreciated 30 years
Plant and equipment	10 - 15 years
Furniture and fittings	7 - 10 years
Motor vehicles	5 years
Office equipment	3 - 7 years
Computer equipment	3 years
Infrastructure Electricity Housing Pedestrian Malls Roads and Paving Sewerage Infrastructure Water Infrastructure	40 - 85 years 30 years 30 years 30 years 100 years 100 years

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.4 Property, plant and equipment (continued)

Community Buildings Recreational Facilities Security 	30 years 20 - 30 years 5 years
Other Dogs and horses Other 	5 - 7 years 2 - 5 years
Bins and containers	5 years
Landfill Site	16 - 36 years
Specialised vehicles	10 years
Heritage assets	not depreciated
Library books	10 years
Emergency equipment	5 - 15 years
The residual value, useful life and depression method of each as	at an reviewed at

The residual value, useful life and depreciation method of each asset are reviewed at the end of each reporting date. If the expected useful life differs from previous estimates, the change is accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. The depreciation charge for each period is recognised in surplus or deficit.

Items of property, plant and equipment are derecognised when the asset is disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is included in surplus or deficit when the item is derecognised. The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the item.

Assets which the group holds for rentals to others and subsequently routinely sell as part of the ordinary course of activities, are transferred to inventories when the rentals end and the assets are available-for-sale. These assets are not accounted for as non-current assets held for sale. Proceeds from sales of these assets are recognised as revenue. All cash flows on these assets are included in cash flows from operating activities in the statement of changes in net assets.

0

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.5 Intangible assets

An asset is identified as an intangible asset when it:

- is capable of being separated or divided from an entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, assets or liability; or
- arises from contractual rights or other legal rights, regardless whether those rights are transferable or separate from the group or from other rights and obligations.

An intangible asset is recognised when:

- it is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the group; and
- the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

Intangible assets are initially recognised at cost.

An intangible asset acquired at no or nominal cost, the cost shall be its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Expenditure on research (or on the research phase of an internal project) is recognised as an expense when it is incurred.

An intangible asset arising from development (or from the development phase of an internal project) is recognised when:

- it is technically feasible to complete the asset so that it will be available for use or sale.
- there is an intention to complete and use or sell it.
- there is an ability to use or sell it.
- it will generate probable future economic benefits or service potential.
- there are available technical, financial and other resources to complete the development and to use or sell the asset.
- the expenditure attributable to the asset during its development can be measured reliably.

Intangible assets are carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any accumulated impairment losses.

An intangible asset is regarded as having an indefinite useful life when, based on all relevant factors, there is no foreseeable limit to the period over which the asset is expected to generate net cash inflows or service potential. Amortisation is not provided for these intangible assets, but they are tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired. For all other intangible assets amortisation is provided on a straight line basis over their useful life.

The amortisation period and the amortisation method for intangible assets are reviewed at each reporting date.

Reassessing the useful life of an intangible asset with a finite useful life after it was classified as indefinite is an indicator that the asset may be impaired. As a result the asset is tested for impairment and the remaining carrying amount is amortised over its useful life.

Expenditure, which enhances and extends the benefits of computer software programs beyond the original life of the software is capitalised. Computer software development costs recognised as assets are amortised using the straight line method over their useful lives. Costs associated with the maintenance of existing computer software programs are expensed as incurred.

Research and development expenditure is written off as incurred.

Amortisation is provided to write down the intangible assets, on a straight line basis, to their residual values as follows:

Item	Useful life
Additional capacity rights	10 years
Servitudes	indefinite
Computer software, internally generated	3 years
Computer software	2 - 8 years

The gain or loss is the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount. It is recognised in surplus or deficit when the asset is derecognised.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.6 Investments in Municipal Entities

CORE group annual financial statements

In the municipality's separate group annual financial statements, investments in municipal entities are carried at cost less any accumulated impairment.

The cost of an investment in a controlled entity is the aggregate of:

- the fair value, at the date of exchange, of assets given, liabilities incurred or assumed, and equity instruments issued by the municipality; plus
- any costs directly attributable to the purchase of the controlled entity.

1.7 Financial instruments

Non-derivative financial assets

The municipality initially recognises loans and receivables and deposits on the date that they are originated. All other financial assets (including assets designated at fair value through profit or loss) are recognised initially on the trade date, which is the date that the municipality becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The municipality derecognises a financial asset when the contractual rights to the cash flows from the asset expire, or it transfers the rights to receive the contractual cash flows on the financial asset in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset are transferred. Any interest in transferred financial assets that is created or retained by the municipality is recognised as a separate asset or liability.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when, and only when, the municipality has a legal right to offset the amounts and intends either to settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

The municipality classifies its non-derivative financial assets into the following categories:

- Held-to-maturity financial assets; and
- Loans and receivables.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.7 Financial instruments (continued)

Held-to-maturity financial assets

If the municipality has the positive intent and ability to hold debt securities to maturity, then such financial assets are classified as held to maturity. Held-to-maturity financial assets are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition held-to-maturity financial assets are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses. Any sale or reclassification of a more than insignificant amount of held-to-maturity investments not close to their maturity would result in the reclassification of all held-to-maturity investments as available for sale, and prevent the municipality from classifying investment securities as held-to-maturity for the current and the following two financial years.

Held-to-maturity financial assets comprise various investments with several financial institutions and these have been presented under "Other financial assets".

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash balances and call deposits with original maturities of three months or less.

Impairment of non-derivative financial assets

A financial asset not carried at fair value through profit or loss is assessed at each reporting date to determine whether there is objective evidence that it is impaired. A financial asset is impaired if objective evidence indicates that a loss event has occurred after the initial recognition of the asset, and that the loss event had a negative effect on the estimated future cash flows of that asset that can be estimated reliably.

Objective evidence that financial assets are impaired can include default or delinquency by a debtor, restructuring of an amount due to the municipality on terms that the municipality would not consider otherwise, indications that a debtor or issuer will enter bankruptcy, adverse changes in the payment status of borrowers or issuers in the municipality, economic conditions that correlate with defaults or the disappearance of an active market for a security.

Loans and receivables and held-to-maturity investments

The municipality considers evidence of impairment for loans and receivables and held-to-maturity investment securities at both a specific asset and collective level. All individually significant receivables and held-to-maturity investments are assessed for specific impairment.

All individually significant loans and receivables and held-to-maturity investments found not to be specifically impaired are then collectively assessed for any impairment that has been incurred but not yet identified. Loans and receivables and held-to-maturity investments that are not individually significant are collectively assessed for impairment by grouping together loans and receivables and held-to-maturity investments with similar risk characteristics.

In assessing collective impairment the municipality uses historical trends of the probability of default, the timing of recoveries and the amount of loss incurred, adjusted for management's judgement as to whether current economic and credit conditions are such that the actual losses are likely to be greater or less than suggested by historical trends.

An impairment loss in respect of a financial asset measured at amortised cost is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the asset's original effective interest rate. Losses are recognised in profit or loss and reflected in an allowance account against loans and receivables or held-to-maturity investments. Interest on the impaired asset continues to be recognised. When a subsequent event (e.g. repayment by a debtor) causes the amount of impairment loss to decrease, the decrease in impairment loss is reversed through surplus or deficit.

Non-derivative financial liabilities

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.7 Financial instruments (continued)

The municipality initially recognises debt securities issued and subordinated liabilities on the date that they are originated. All other financial liabilities (including liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss) are recognised initially on the trade date, which is the date that the municipality becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The municipality derecognises a financial liability when its contractual obligations are discharged, cancelled or expire.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when, and only when, the municipality has a legal right to offset the amounts and intends either to settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

The municipality classifies non-derivative financial liabilities into the other financial liabilities category.

Such financial liabilities are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

Other financial liabilities comprise loans and borrowings, bank overdrafts, trade and other payables and consumer deposits.

Bank overdrafts that are repayable on demand and form an integral part of the municipality's cash management are included as a component of cash and cash equivalents for the purpose of the statement of cash flows.

Derivative financial instruments, including hedge accounting

The municipality holds derivative financial instruments to hedge its interest rate risk exposures . Embedded derivatives are separated from the host contract and accounted for separately if the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract and the embedded derivative are not closely related, a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded derivative would meet the definition of a derivative, and the combined instrument is not measured at fair value through profit or loss.

۵

On initial designation of the derivative as the hedging instrument, the municipality formally documents the relationship between the hedging instrument and hedged item; including the risk management objectives and strategy in undertaking the hedge transaction and the hedged risk, together with the methods that will be used to assess the effectiveness of the hedging relationship. The municipality makes an assessment, both at the inception of the hedge relationship as well as on an ongoing basis, of whether the hedging instruments are expected to be "highly effective" in offsetting the changes in the fair value or cash flows of the respective hedged items attributable to the hedge of a forecast transaction, the transaction should be highly probable to occur and should present an exposure to variations in cash flows that could ultimately affect reported surplus or deficit.

Derivatives are recognised initially at fair value; attributable transaction costs are recognised in surplus or deficit as incurred. Subsequent to initial recognition, derivatives are measured at fair value, and changes therein are accounted for as described below.

Cash flow hedges

When a derivative is designated as the hedging instrument in a hedge of the variability in cash flows attributable to a particular risk associated with a recognised asset or liability or a highly probable forecast transaction that could affect surplus or deficit, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognised in other comprehensive income and presented in the hedging reserve in net assets. Any ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

When the hedged item is a non-financial asset, the amount accumulated in net assets is included in the carrying amount of the asset when the asset is recognised. In other cases the amount accumulated in equity is reclassified to surplus or deficit in the same period that the hedged item affects surplus or deficit. If the hedging instrument no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting, expires or is sold, terminated or exercised, or the designation is revoked, then hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively. If the forecast transaction is no longer expected to occur, then the balance in net assets is reclassified in surplus or deficit.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.7 Financial instruments (continued)

Separable embedded derivatives

Changes in the fair value of separated embedded derivatives are recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Other non-trading derivatives

When a derivative financial instrument is not designated in a hedge relationship that qualifies for hedge accounting, all changes in its fair value are recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

1.8 Leases

A lease is classified as a finance lease if it transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership. A lease is classified as an operating lease if it does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership.

Finance leases - lessee

Finance leases are recognised as assets and liabilities in the statement of financial position at amounts equal to the fair value of the leased property or, if lower, the present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding liability to the lessor is included in the statement of financial position as a finance lease obligation.

Minimum lease payments are apportioned between the finance charge and reduction of the outstanding liability. The finance charge is allocated to each period during the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of return on the remaining balance of the liability.

Any contingent rents are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Operating leases - lessor

Operating lease revenue is recognised as revenue on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Initial direct costs incurred in negotiating and arranging operating leases are added to the carrying amount of the leased asset and recognised as an expense over the lease term on the same basis as the lease revenue.

The aggregate cost of incentives is recognised as a reduction of rental revenue over the lease term on a straight-line basis.

The aggregate benefit of incentives is recognised as a reduction of rental expense over the lease term on a straight-line basis.

Income for leases is disclosed under revenue in the statement of financial performance.

Operating leases - lessee

Operating lease payments are recognised as an expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The difference between the amounts recognised as an expense and the contractual payments are recognised as an operating lease asset or liability.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.9 Inventories

Inventories are initially measured at cost except where inventories are acquired at no cost, or for nominal consideration, then their costs are their fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Subsequently inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value.

Inventories include consumable stores, maintenance materials, spare parts for plant and equipment, work in progress and land and or property held for sale. Cost is determined by the weighted average method and comprises all costs of purchases, costs of development, costs of conversion and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost and current replacement cost. Current eplacement cost represents the cost the municipality would incur to acquire the asset on the reporting date. Where inventories are acquired at cost, or for nominal consideration, their costs are their fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of operations less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale, exchange or distribution.

When inventories are sold, the carrying amounts of those inventories are recognised as an expense in the period in which the related revenue is recognised. If there is no related revenue, the expenses are recognised when the goods are distributed, or related services are rendered. The amount of any write-down of inventories to net realisable value and all losses of inventories are recognised as an expense in the period the write-down or loss occurs. The amount of any reversal of any write-down of inventories, arising from an increase in net realisable value, are recognised as a reduction in the amount of inventories recognised as an expense in the period in which the reversal occurs.

1.10 Non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups

Non-current assets and disposal groups are classified as held for sale if their carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use. This condition is regarded as met only when the sale is highly probable and the asset (or disposal group) is available for immediate sale in its present condition. Management must be committed to the sale, which should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification.

Non-current assets held for sale (or disposal group) are measured at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.

A non-current asset is not depreciated (or amortised) while it is classified as held for sale, or while it is part of a disposal group classified as held for sale.

Interest and other expenses attributable to the liabilities of a disposal group classified as held for sale are recognised in surplus or deficit.

1.11 Impairment of cash-generating assets

Assets that are subject to impairment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recovered.

An impairment loss is recognised if the recoverable amount of an asset is less than its carrying amount. The impairment loss is recognised as an expense in the consolidated statement of financial performance immediately. The recoverable amount of the asset is the higher of the asset fair value less cost of disposal and its value in use.

The fair value represents the amount obtainable from the sale in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.11 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

For an asset that does not generate cash inflows largely independent of those from other assets, the recoverable amount is determined for the cash-generating unit to which the assets belongs. A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets that generates cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash flows from other assets or group of assets. An impairment loss is recognised whenever the recoverable amount of a cash-generating unit is less than its carrying amount.

The impairment loss is allocated to reduce the carrying amount of the asset. The carrying amount of individual assets are not reduced below the higher of its value in use, zero or fair value less cost of disposal.

A previously recognised impairment loss related to assets is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the recoverable amount, however not to an amount higher than the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognised in prior periods.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, any depreciation charge for the asset is adjusted for future periods to allocate the assets' revised carrying amount on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

1.12 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Non-cash generating assets such as Infrastructure and Community assets are not impaired as no open market value can be obtained and there is no intention to sell these assets as they are for the benefit of the community.

The CJMM has various maintenance and upgrading programmes to ensure continuous uphold and sustained use of these non-cash generating assets.

1.13 Employee benefits

Short-term employee benefits

The cost of short-term employee benefits, (those payable within 12 months after the service is rendered, such as paid vacation leave and sick leave, bonuses, and non-monetary benefits such as medical care), are recognised in the period in which the service is rendered and are not discounted.

The expected cost of compensated absences is recognised as an expense as the employees render services that increase their entitlement or, in the case of non-accumulating absences, when the absence occurs.

The expected cost of surplus sharing and bonus payments is recognised as an expense when there is a legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past performance.

Defined contribution plans

Payments to defined contribution retirement benefit plans are charged as an expense as they fall due.

Payments made to industry-managed (or state plans) retirement benefit schemes are dealt with as defined contribution plans where the entity's obligation under the schemes is equivalent to those arising in a defined contribution retirement benefit plan.

Other post retirement obligations

The entity provides post-retirement health care benefits, housing subsidies and gratuities upon retirement to some retirees.

The entitlement to post-retirement health care benefits is based on the employee remaining in service up to retirement age and the completion of a minimum service period. The expected costs of these benefits are accrued over the period of employment. Independent qualified actuaries carry out valuations of these obligations. The entity also provides a gratuity and housing subsidy on retirement to certain employees. An annual charge to income is made to cover both these liabilities.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.13 Employee benefits (continued)

Purchase of service

Certain pension funds allow members to purchase additional service in terms of the fund's rules. This is reflected in the statement of financial performance when the expense is incurred.

Bonus pensionable service and medical boarding's.

The benefits of Bonus Pensionable Service and Medical Boardings are afforded to members of certain funds in terms of the applicable rules of the relevant funds. The payments are accounted for in the statement of financial performance in the period in which it is paid.

1.14 Provisions and contingencies

Provisions are recognised when:

- the group has a present obligation as a result of a past event;
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the obligation.

The amount of a provision is the best estimate of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date.

Where the effect of time value of money is material, the amount of a provision is the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation.

The discount rate is a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability.

Where some or all of the expenditure required to settle a provision is expected to be reimbursed by another party, the reimbursement is recognised when, and only when, it is virtually certain that reimbursement will be received if the group settles the obligation. The reimbursement is treated as a separate asset. The amount recognised for the reimbursement does not exceed the amount of the provision.

Provisions are reviewed at each reporting date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate. Provisions are reversed if it is no longer probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required, to settle the obligation.

Additional disclosure of these estimates of provisions are included in note 24 - Provisions.

Where discounting is used, the carrying amount of a provision increases in each period to reflect the passage of time. This increase is recognised as an interest expense.

A provision is used only for expenditures for which the provision was originally recognised.

Provisions are not recognised for future operating deficits.

If an entity has a contract that is onerous, the present obligation (net of recoveries) under the contract is recognised and measured as a provision.

A constructive obligation to restructure arises only when an entity:

- has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring, identifying at least:
- the activity/operating unit or part of a activity/operating unit concerned;
- the principal locations affected;
- the location, function, and approximate number of employees who will be compensated for services being terminated;
- the expenditures that will be undertaken; and
- when the plan will be implemented; and
- has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.14 Provisions and contingencies (continued)

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring, which are those that are both:

- necessarily entailed by the restructuring; and
- not associated with the ongoing activities of the group

No obligation arises as a consequence of the sale or transfer of an operation until the group is committed to the sale or transfer, that is, there is a binding agreement.

After their initial recognition contingent liabilities recognised in business combinations that are recognised separately are subsequently measured at the higher of:

- the amount that would be recognised as a provision; and
- the amount initially recognised less cumulative amortisation.

Contingent assets and contingent liabilities are not recognised. Contingencies are disclosed in note 46.

1.15 Revenue from non-exchange transactions

Non-exchange transactions are defined as transactions where the entity receives value from another entity without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange.

Revenue is the gross inflow of economic benefits or service potential during the reporting period when those inflows result in an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

Fines

Revenue from the issuing of fines is recognised when:

- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality; and
- the amount of the revenue can be measured reliably.

The group has two types of fines: traffic fines and criminal procedure act fines.

There is uncertainty regarding the probability of the flow of economic benefits in respect of criminal procedure act fines. Legal processes have to be undertaken before the criminal procedure act fine is enforceable. In respect of summonses the public prosecutor can decide whether to waive the fine, reduce it or prosecute for non-payment by the offender. Where a reliable estimate cannot be made of revenue from summonses, the revenue from summonses is recognised when the public prosecutor pays over to the entity the cash actually collected on summonses issued.

1.16 Investment income

Investment income comprises interest income on funds invested. Investment income is recognised on a time-proportion basis using the effective interest method.

1.17 Borrowing costs

Borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset are capitalised as part of the cost of that asset until such time as the asset is ready for its intended use. The amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation is determined as follows:

- Actual borrowing costs on funds specifically borrowed for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset less any investment income on the temporary investment of those borrowings.
- Weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to the group on funds generally borrowed for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset. The borrowing costs capitalised do not exceed the total borrowing costs incurred.

The capitalisation of borrowing costs commences when all the following conditions have been met:

- expenditures for the asset have been incurred;
- borrowing costs have been incurred; and
- activities that are necessary to prepare the asset for its intended use or sale are undertaken.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.17 Borrowing costs (continued)

When the carrying amount or the expected ultimate cost of the qualifying asset exceeds its recoverable amount or recoverable service amount or net realisable value, the carrying amount is written down or written off in accordance with the accounting policy on Impairment of Assets as per accounting policy number 1.11 and 1.12. In certain circumstances, the amount of the write-down or write-off is written back in accordance with the same accounting policy.

Capitalisation is suspended during extended periods in which active development is interrupted.

Capitalisation ceases when substantially all the activities necessary to prepare the qualifying asset for its intended use or sale are complete.

When the group completes the construction of a qualifying asset in parts and each part is capable of being used while construction continues on other parts, the entity ceases capitalising borrowing costs when it completes substantially all the activities necessary to prepare that part for its intended use or sale.

All other borrowing costs are recognised as an expense in the period in which they are incurred.

010¹

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.18 Comparative figures

Where necessary, comparative figures have been reclassified to conform to changes in presentation in the current financial year.

1.19 Unauthorised expenditure

Unauthorised expenditure means:

- overspending of a vote or a main division within a vote; and
- expenditure not in accordance with the purpose of a vote or, in the case of a main division, not in accordance with the purpose of the main division.

All expenditure relating to unauthorised expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

1.20 Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Fruitless expenditure means expenditure which was made in vain and would have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised.

All expenditure relating to fruitless and wasteful expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

1.21 Irregular expenditure

Irregular expenditure as defined in section 1 of the PFMA is expenditure other than unauthorised expenditure, incurred in contravention of or that is not in accordance with a requirement of any applicable legislation, including -

- (a) this Act; or
- (b) the State Tender Board Act, 1968 (Act No. 86 of 1968), or any regulations made in terms of the Act; or
- (c) any provincial legislation providing for procurement procedures in that provincial government.

National Treasury practice note no. 4 of 2008/2009 which was issued in terms of sections 76(1) to 76(4) of the PFMA requires the following (effective from 1 April 2008):

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and which was condoned before year end and/or before finalisation of the financial statements must also be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. In such an instance, no further action is also required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and for which condonement is being awaited at year end must be recorded in the irregular expenditure register. No further action is required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Where irregular expenditure was incurred in the previous financial year and is only condoned in the following financial year, the register and the disclosure note to the financial statements must be updated with the amount condoned.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and which was not condoned by the National Treasury or the relevant authority must be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. If liability for the irregular expenditure can be attributed to a person, a debt account must be created if such a person is liable in law. Immediate steps must thereafter be taken to recover the amount from the person concerned. If recovery is not possible, the accounting officer or accounting authority may write off the amount as debt impairment and disclose such in the relevant note to the financial statements. The irregular expenditure register must also be updated accordingly. If the irregular expenditure has not been condoned and no person is liable in law, the expenditure related thereto must remain against the relevant programme/expenditure item, be disclosed as such in the note to the financial statements and updated accordingly in the irregular expenditure register.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.22 Presentation of currency

These Group Annual Financial Statements are presented in South African Rand.

1.23 Offsetting

Assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses have not been offset except when offsetting is required or permitted by a Standard of GRAP

1.24 Internal reserves

Capital replacement reserve (CRR)

In order to finance the provision of infrastructure and other items of property, plant and equipment from internal sources, amounts are transferred from the accumulated surplus/(deficit) to the CRR. A corresponding amount is transferred to a designated CRR bank or investment account. The cash in the designated CRR bank account can only be utilised to finance items of property, plant and equipment. The CRR is reduced and the accumulated surplus/(deficit) is credited by a corresponding amount when the amounts in the CRR are utilised.

Compensation for occupational injuries and diseases (COID) reserve

The Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act (Act 130 of 1993) is to provide for payment of medical treatment and compensation for disablement caused by occupational injuries or diseases sustained or contracted by employees in the course of their employment, or for death resulting from such injuries or diseases. The contribution to the COID fund is 0.75% of the salary expense. The municipality is an exempt employer in terms of Section 84 (1) (a)(ii) & (2) and as such does not pay any assessments to the COID Commissioner. In terms of the exempt status the municipality is mandated to establish its own fund and administers this fund in terms of the COID Act.

1.25 Conditional grants and receipts

Revenue received from conditional grants, donations and funding are recognised as revenue to the extent that the municipality has complied with any of the criteria, conditions or obligations embodied in the agreement. To the extent that the criteria, conditions or obligations have not been met a liability is recognised.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

2. STATEMENT AND INTERPRETATIONS NOT YET EFFECTIVE

At the date of authorisation of these Group Annual Financial Statements, the following Standards and Interpretations were in issue but not yet effective:

- GRAP 18 Segment Reporting
- GRAP 20 Related Parties
- GRAP 21 Impairment of non-Cash-Generating Assets
- GRAP 23 Revenue from non-Exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers)
- GRAP 24 Presentation of Budget Information in Financial Statements
- GRAP 25 Employee benefits
- GRAP 26 Impairment of Cash-Generating Assets
- GRAP 103 Heritage Assets
- GRAP 104 Financial Instruments
- GRAP 105 Transfer of functions between entities under common control
- GRAP 106 Transfer of functions between entities not under common control
- GRAP 107 Mergers

3. CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICY

During the period ending 30 June 2012. the City of Johannesburg changed its accounting policy, whereby traffic offence income included under fines, is now recognised on an accrual basis rather than on the cash basis.

The change is in accordance to GRAP. The change in accounting policy will result in a fair presentation of financial information.

The comparative amounts were restated accordingly.

Statement of financial position	+			
Previously stated - Cash basis Adjustment - Debtors Adjustment - Provision for bad debts	- 149,790 (149,790)	- 150,567 (150,567)	- 149,790 (149,790)	- 150,567 (150,567)
Currently stated - Accrual basis	-	-	-	-
Statement of financial performance				
Surplus from operating activities before adjustment Adjustment - Fines Adjustment - Allowance for impairment of current receivables	24,839,641 (777) 777	21,101,696 45,942 (45,942)	15,918,522 (777) 777	14,299,942 45,942 (45,942)
Surplus from operating activities after adjustment	24,839,641	21,101,696	15,918,522	14,299,942
Statement of changes in net assets				
Accumulated surplus Opening accumulated surplus as previously reported Change in accounting policy in respect of fines Change in accounting policy in respect of allowance for impairment of current receivables	24,839,641 (777) 777	21,101,696 45,942 (45,942)	15,918,522 (777) 777	14,299,942 45,942 (45,942)
Closing accumulated surplus	24,839,641	21,101,696	15,918,522	14,299,942

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand		2012	2011	2012	2011
4. INVENTORIES					
Consumable stores Spare parts Water		130,324 19,398 8,492	132,766 15,299 7,697	43,763	33,554 -
Housing stock		59,249	66,725	59,249	66,725
Inventories (write-downs)		217,463 (13,355)	222,487 (8,031)	103,012	100,279 (27)
		204,108	214,456	103,012	100,252
Cost of inventories expense	43	100,815	97,226	14,273	15,160
		0. 0.			
	0	0.			
	V				
	X				
	50				

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011		2012	2011
5. LOANS TO MUNICIPAL ENTITIES					
Shareholder loans					
City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 14.5 to 17.5% Maturity = No set date for repayment		-	-	624,793	624,793
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 15% Maturity = No set date for repayment The unsecured loan bears interest at a nominal annual rate of 15% (201 : 14.5% to 15%) compounded		-	-	389,944	454,939
monthly and are repayable in equal quarterly installments over a period of 10 years, maturing on 30 June 2018. The quarterly repayments commenced in 2009.		2			
		-	-	1,014,737	1,079,732
Conduit and additional loans	N				
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.9%	0.	_	-	401	1,500
Maturity = 30 June 2011 City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate range = 10.2% to 17.5%	0	-	-	2,449,771	2,553,150
Maturity = 30 June 2021 Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 9% to 10.9%)	-	-	78,864	93,907
Maturity = 30 June 2018 Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate range = 10.9% to 15.17%		-	-	2,520,037	2,460,260
Maturity = 30 June 2021 Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate range = 10.2% to 15%		-	-	131,516	132,548
Maturity = 30 June 2021 The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate range = 9% to 15% Maturity = 30 June 2020		-	-	178,916	190,903
		_	-	5,359,505	5,432,268
Less impairment of loans to Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd		-	-	(131,516)	(14,312)
		-	-	5,227,989	5,417,956

Impairment of Ioan to Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd

The Pikitup loan was fully impaired due to the technical insolvency that Pikitup was facing at year end. Pikitup did not repay there capital portion, they did not repay their Capital and Interest for the month of July. Pikitup has reclassified all their loans to the City as long term loan without any current portion reflected providing uncertainty regarding the ability to pay back the loans to the City.

	-	-	6,242,726	6,497,688
Current assets	-	-	805,774	832,770
Non-current assets	-	-	5,436,952	5,664,918

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GR	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
5. LOANS TO MUNICIPAL ENTITIES (continued)				
Shareholder loans				
City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	Fair Value 2012 -	Fair Value 2011 -	Fair Value 2012 701,795 488.671	Fair Value 2011 512,232 406,972
	-	-	1,190,466	919,204
Conduit and additional loans				
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd	6	5	401 2,541,076 84,734 1,941,713 125,160 188,971	1,548 2,805,336 98,858 2,668,687 148,047 207,223
		-	4,882,055	5,929,699
Fair value of loans to and from municipal entities	\mathcal{O}			
The carrying amount is a reasonable approximation of the fair	value.			
Reconciliation of provision for impairment of loans to mu	nicipal entities			
Opening balance Additional impairment Reversal		-	241,947 122,165 (4,962)	259,931 - (17,984)

The creation and release of provision for impaired receivables have been included in operating expenses in the statement of financial performance. No loans are currently past due, all loan repayments were done in terms of the loan agreements.

-

359,150

-

241,947

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
6. OTHER FINANCIAL ASSETS					
Held to maturity investments Other financial assets	3,102,998	2,501,801	3,102,998	2,501,801	
Loans and receivables					
Housing Selling scheme loans Other loans and receivables	2,089 94,978	2,089 89,483	2,089 94,978	2,089 89,483	
Loans and receivables - Impairments	97,067 (2,089)	91,572 (2,089)	97,067 (2,089)	91,572 (2,089)	
	94,978	89,483	94,978	89,483	
Total other financial assets	3,197,976	2,591,284	3,197,976	2,591,284	
Non-current assets Held to maturity	2,839,828	2,343,857	2,839,828	2,343,857	
Loans and receivables	94,978	89,483	94,978	89,483	
	2,934,806	2,433,340	2,934,806	2,433,340	
Current assets	\mathbf{O}				
Held to maturity	263,170	157,944	263,170	157,944	
	3,197,976	2,591,284	3,197,976	2,591,284	
	2°				
\mathbf{Q}^{*}					

	GRO	JP	CORE	
igures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
6. OTHER FINANCIAL ASSETS (continued)				
leld to maturity investments				
	2012 Amortised Cost	2012 Market Values	2012 Amortised Cost	2012 Market Values
ABSA Investment Maturity - 20.12.2012	145,394	149,882	145,394	149,882
Rating - (AAA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related iability is settled in full		0		
SCMB Long-term Investment Maturity - 31.08.2013	25,977	29,826	25,977	29,826
Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related iability is settled in full	6)		
nvestment: RMB - E Maturity - 30.11.2014 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related iability is settled in full	63,947	92,852	63,947	92,852
nvestment: RMB - E Maturity - 31.12.2012 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related iability is settled in full	• 254,741	296,186	254,741	296,186
nvestment: RMB R10 Aaturity - 30.11.2014 Rating - (AAA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related ability is settled in full	67,312	97,737	67,312	97,737
ABSA Sinking Fund 20 Maturity - 05.06.2023 Rating - (AAA) Pledged as collateral for CJMM Bond redemptions	2,527,718	2,527,718	2,527,718	2,527,718
Fixed Deposit - RMB Maturity - 30.11.2014 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related	17,909	34,140	17,909	34,140
iability is settled in full	3,102,998	3,228,341	3,102,998	3,228,341

	GROUP		COF	KE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
6. OTHER FINANCIAL ASSETS (continued)	2011 Amortised Cost	2011 Market Values	2011 Amortised Cost	2011 Market Values
ABSA Investment Maturity - 20.12.2012 Rating - (AAA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full	135,677	149,184	135,677	149,184
SCMB Long-term Investment Maturity - 31.08.2013 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full	22,953	29,425	22,953	29,425
Investment: RMB - E Maturity - 30.11.2014 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full	54,270	90,215	54,270	90,215
Investment: RMB - E Maturity - 31.12.2012 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full	239,391	354,963	239,391	354,963
Investment: RMB R10 Maturity - 30.11.2014 Rating - (AAA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full	57,127	94,964	57,127	94,964
STD Bank: (2496) Maturity - 30.11.2011 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full	46,933	49,929	46,933	49,929
ABSA Sinking Fund 20 Maturity - 05.06.2023 Rating - (AAA) Pledged as collateral for CJMM Bond redemptions	1,922,526	1,922,526	1,922,526	1,922,526
Fixed Deposit - RMB Maturity - 30.11.2014 Rating - (AA) Pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full	22,924	45,467	22,924	45,467
	2,501,801	2,736,673	2,501,801	2,736,673

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

OTHER FINANCIAL ASSETS (continued) 6.

The fair values of the financial assets were determined as follows:

- The fair values of listed or quoted investments are based on the quoted market price.
- The fair values on investments not listed or quoted are estimated using the discounted cash flow analysis.

The held to maturity investments are ring-fenced for the repayment of non-current liabilities and there were no gains or losses realised on the disposal of held to maturity financial assets in 2012 and 2011.

The total amount of change in fair value, estimated using discounted cash flow analysis.

7. TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES

Loans and receivables Accrued VAT Housing debtors Insurance debtor Kelvin power Operating lease receivables Sundry debtor Related party debtors	126,291 1,894 45,530 62,136 33,944 746,780	125,480 54,950 83,918 76,717 30,150 812,912	126,291 1,894 45,186 62,136 5,796 405,336 406,672	127,251 54,950 77,513 76,717 1,573 228,142 1,760,921
	1,016,575	1,184,127	1,053,311	2,327,067
Other receivables Fruitless and wasteful expenditure to be investigated Prepayments	9,358 21,955 31,313	8,528 23,295 31,823	5,769 16,533 22,302	5,715 17,308 23,023
Total trade and other receivables	1,047,888	1,215,950	1,075,613	2,350,090
8. VAT Receivable Payable	115,243 (841,938)	150,851 (710,833)	110,274	147,662
	(726,695)	(559,982)	110,274	147,662

GRO	UP	CORE	
2012	2011	2012	2011
4 050 407	0.004.504	4 050 407	0 004 504
		4,953,127	3,821,524
7,269,345	5,908,688	-	-
690,978	796,465	484,284	620,243
			258,746
19,136,444	15,538,894	5,735,512	4,700,513
(3,963,876)	(3,466,722)	(3,963,876)	(3,466,722)
	(2,211,808)	-	-
		(369,870)	- (509,231)
(284,394)	(253,449)	(284,394)	(253,449)
(12,974,104)	(11,122,866)	(4,618,140)	(4,229,402)
-			
V			
		989,251	354,802
		-	-
		114,414	111,012
13,707	5,297	13,707	5,297
6,162,340	4,416,028	1,117,372	471,111
6 116 949	4 356 452	1 071 981	411,535
45,391	59,576	45,391	59,576
,	,	1,117,372	471,111
	4,953,127 5,924,893 7,269,345 690,978 298,101 19,136,444 (3,963,876) (2,836,918) (5,360,647) (528,269) (284,394) (12,974,104) 9 89,251 3,087,975 1,908,698 162,709 13,707 6,162,340 6,116,949	4,953,127 3,821,524 5,924,893 4,753,471 7,269,345 5,908,688 690,978 796,465 298,101 258,746 19,136,444 15,538,894 (3,963,876) (3,466,722) (2,836,918) (2,211,808) (5,360,647) (4,531,239) (528,269) (659,648) (284,394) (253,449) (12,974,104) (11,122,866) 989,251 354,802 3,087,975 2,541,663 1,908,698 1,377,449 162,709 136,817 13,707 5,297 6,162,340 4,416,028 6,116,949 4,356,452	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	UP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
9. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)				
Rates				
Current (0 - 30 days)	1,039,293	1,639,258	1,039,293	1,639,258
31 - 60 days	253,924	93,306	253,924	93,306
61 - 90 days	153,860	93,321	153,860	93,321
91 - 120 days 121 - 365 days	171,472 710,480	71,936 387,404	171,472 710,480	71,936 387,404
> 365 days	2,624,098	1,536,299	2,624,098	1,536,299
	4,953,127	3,821,524	4,953,127	3,821,524
		, ,		
Electricity Current (0 - 30 days)	2,635,665	897,953	_	-
31 - 60 days	289,432	524,031	-	-
61 - 90 days	160,007	283,594	-	-
91 - 120 days	190,249	232,582	-	-
121 - 365 days	788,234	190,797	-	-
> 365 days	1,861,306	2,624,514	-	-
	5,924,893	4,753,471	-	-
Water	0,*			
Current (0 - 30 days)	1,434,137	970,007	-	-
31 - 60 days	290,971	188,144	-	-
61 - 90 days	183,590	215,185	-	-
91 - 120 days	• 241,808	164,626	-	-
121 - 365 days	1,102,901	1,008,172	-	-
> 365 days	4,015,938	3,362,554	-	-
	7,269,345	5,908,688	-	-
Refuse		447.005	47.570	400.004
Current (0 - 30 days)	67,214	117,005	47,572	100,331
31 - 60 days 61 - 90 days	42,001 31,261	29,674 28,044	35,128 25,677	25,552 22,294
91 - 120 days	36,768	45,365	30,712	23,510
121 - 365 days	300,192	234,179	131,654	106,357
> 365 days	213,542	342,198	213,541	342,199
	690,978	796,465	484,284	620,243
\checkmark				
Housing rental Current (0 - 30 days)	3,696	3,209	3,696	3,209
31 - 60 days	3,412	2,961	3,412	2,961
61 - 90 days	3,329	2,889	3,329	2,889
91 - 120 days	3,289	2,855	3,289	2,855
121 - 365 days	25,714	22,319	25,714	22,319
> 365 days	258,661	224,513	258,661	224,513
	298,101	258,746	298,101	258,746

Credit quality of trade and other receivables

The credit quality of trade and other receivables that are neither past, nor due, nor impaired can be assessed by reference to the customer classification and historical information about counterparty default rates.

CORE	
2012	2011
617,009	1,442,201
245,601	70,009
156,433	63,285
171,184	63,834
758,127	281,657
1,943,716	995,550
3,892,070	2,916,536
(3,155,953)	(2,573,324
736,117	343,212
516,302	1,247,088
196,868	62,089
126,725	56,146
138,252	56,628
665,744	250,800
1,512,062	900,573
3,155,953	2,573,324
100,707	195,113
48,732	7,921
29,708	7,139
32,932	7,206
92,383	30,856
431,655	94,977
736,117	343,212
	736,117

2012 2,646,886 289,306 177,770 222,395 993,568 2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200) 2,925,189	2011 1,347,989 441,824 318,144 234,964 828,413 3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980) 1,755,506	2012 495,872 43,877 23,043 30,359 99,886 1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	2011 297,215 49,072 52,068 30,839 213,736 1,053,160 1,696,090
289,306 177,770 222,395 993,568 2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200)	441,824 318,144 234,964 828,413 3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980)	43,877 23,043 30,359 99,886 1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	49,072 52,068 30,839 213,736 1,053,160 1,696,090
289,306 177,770 222,395 993,568 2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200)	441,824 318,144 234,964 828,413 3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980)	43,877 23,043 30,359 99,886 1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	49,072 52,068 30,839 213,736 1,053,160 1,696,090
289,306 177,770 222,395 993,568 2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200)	441,824 318,144 234,964 828,413 3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980)	43,877 23,043 30,359 99,886 1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	49,072 52,068 30,839 213,736 1,053,160 1,696,090
177,770 222,395 993,568 2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200)	318,144 234,964 828,413 3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980)	23,043 30,359 99,886 1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	52,068 30,839 213,736 1,053,160 1,696,090
222,395 993,568 2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200)	234,964 828,413 3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980)	30,359 99,886 1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	30,839 213,736 1,053,160 1,696,090
993,568 2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200)	828,413 3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980)	99,886 1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	213,736 1,053,160 1,696,090
2,916,464 7,246,389 (4,321,200)	3,047,152 6,218,486 (4,462,980)	1,061,776 1,754,813 (1,391,888)	1,053,160
(4,321,200)	(4,462,980)	(1,391,888)	
			(1 574 400
2,925,189	1,755,506	000 007	(1,574,490
1		362,925	121,600
	SV.		
111 105	507 435	303 317	275,907
			45,554
			48,335
			28,628
906,998	761,703	79,228	198,412
2,696,871	2,584,648	842,182	977,654
4,321,200	4,462,980	1,391,888	1,574,490
\sim			
2 202 201		100 555	21 200
			21,309 3,518
			3,733
			2,211
86,569	66,710	20,658	15,324
219,593	462,504	219,593	75,505
2,925,189	1,755,506	362,925	121,600
	2,696,871 4,321,200 2,202,391 245,451 152,371 18,814 86,569 219,593	444,495 597,435 43,856 213,616 25,399 140,342 203,581 165,236 906,998 761,703 2,696,871 2,584,648 4,321,200 4,462,980 2,202,391 750,555 245,451 228,208 152,371 177,802 18,814 69,727 86,569 66,710 219,593 462,504	444,495 597,435 393,317 43,856 213,616 34,803 25,399 140,342 18,278 203,581 165,236 24,080 906,998 761,703 79,228 2,696,871 2,584,648 842,182 4,321,200 4,462,980 1,391,888 2,202,391 750,555 102,555 245,451 228,208 9,074 152,371 177,802 4,766 18,814 69,727 6,279 86,569 66,710 20,658 219,593 462,504 219,593

	GROL	JP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
9. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)				
National and provincial government				
Current (0 - 30 days)	79,642	135,291	4,196	3,380
31 - 60 days	23,237	32,070	2,986	2,739
61 - 90 days	16,077	36,768	3,389	3,151
91 - 120 days	18,221	23,158	3,931	3,628
121 - 365 days	59,564	66,620	9,834	20,687
> 365 days	174,365	152,239	64,293	54,302
	371,106	446,146	88,629	87,887
Less: Provision for debt impairment	(223,643)	(173,561)	(70,299)	(81,588
	147,463	272,585	18,330	6,299
National and provincial government - Past due and impaired	1			
Current (0 - 30 days)	3.380	3,192	3,328	3,138
31 - 60 days	2,300	2,610	2,368	2,543
61 - 90 days	2,429	3,057	2,688	2,925
91 - 120 days	13,443	13,711	3,118	3,368
121 - 365 days	49,939	57,331	7,800	19,204
> 365 days	151,667	93,660	50,997	50,410
5 000 days	223,643	173,561	70,299	81,588
	223,043	173,501	70,299	01,500
National and provincial government - Past due and				
not impaired	`			
Current (0 - 30 days)	76,263	132,100	868	241
31 - 60 days	20,809	29,460	618	196
61 - 90 days	13,290	33,710	701	226
91 - 120 days	4,777	9,446	813	260
121 - 365 days	9,625	9,290	2,034	1,483
> 365 days	22,699	58,579	13,296	3,893
	147,463	272,585	18,330	6,299
		-		•
O				

	GRO	UP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
9. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)				
Total	5 000 500	0.000.000	4 4 4 7 0 7 7	4 740 700
Current (0 -30 days) 31 - 60 days	5,206,520 879,740	3,626,628 838,118	1,117,077 292,464	1,742,796 121,820
61 - 90 days	532,047	623,034	182,865	118,504
91 - 120 days	643,587	517,663	205,474	98,301
121 - 365 days	2,927,521	1,843,370	867,847	516,080
> 365 days	8,947,029	8,090,081	3,069,785	2,103,012
	19,136,444	15,538,894	5,735,512	4,700,513
Less: Provision for debt impairment	(12,974,104)	(11,122,866)	(4,618,140)	(4,229,402)
	6,162,340	4,416,028	1,117,372	471,111
· · · · · · · · ·		· V		
Less: Provision for debt impairment	1,096,426	1,946,142	912,947	1 506 100
Current (0 - 30 days) 31 - 60 days	329,918	362,331	234,039	1,526,133 110,186
61 - 90 days	158,479	243,932	147,691	107,406
91 - 120 days	576,003	378,018	165,450	88,624
121 - 365 days	2,689,015	1,690,462	752,772	468,416
> 365 days	8,124,263	6,501,981	2,405,241	1,928,637
	12,974,104	11,122,866	4,618,140	4,229,402
Tetal debéen next day but net immeined				
Total debtor past due but not impaired Current (0 - 30 days)	4,110,095	1,680,488	204,130	216,663
31 - 60 days	549,823	475,787	58,424	11,635
61 - 90 days	373,565	379,101	35,175	11,098
91 - 120 days	67,583	139,643	40,024	9,677
121 - 365 days	238,506	152,909	115,075	47,663
> 365 days	822,768	1,588,100	664,544	174,375
	6,162,340	4,416,028	1,117,372	471,111
Reconciliation of debt impairment provision	(44,400,000)		(4.000.400)	
Balance at beginning of the year	(11,122,866)	(8,253,191)	(4,229,402)	(3,023,385)
Contributions to provision	(2,148,272)	(2,873,582)	(388,738)	(1,206,017)
Debt impairment written off against provision Reversal of provision	182,884 114,150	2,617 1,290	-	-
Balance at the end of the year	(12,974,104)	(11,122,866)	(4,618,140)	(4,229,402)
	(12,3/4,104)	(11,122,000)	(4,010,140)	(4,223,402)

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

9. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)

Johannesburg Water

An impairment is recognised for the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its recoverable amount. Accordingly, an impairment loss is recognised based on the ageing as well as the profile of debtors. The terms of the trade and other receivables have not been renegotiated during the current or prior period. Extensive investigative work performed prior to 2004 in order to inform the direction of the company turnaround strategy identified that the deemed consumption areas were largely responsible not only for the high outstanding debtors, but also the higher than benchmark unaccounted for water level. The level of unaccounted for water for the year under review is 32.8% [R 805,2 million], (2011: 34.2% [R 723,5 million]). The metered areas are considered to be within excepted norms, whilst the problem area has been identified as being the deemed consumption environment.

Credit quality of consumer debtors

Trade receivables comprise a widespread customer base. Management evaluates credit risk relating to customers on an ongoing basis and characteristics like collection levels are considered during evaluation for impairment. The credit quality of trade receivables that are neither past due nor impaired are considered fair by the company taking into account the historical information available.

Electricity distribution losses

Distribution losses relate to unaccounted for electricity. The total cost of unaccounted distribution losses, included in bulk purchases, amounts to (Non-Technical) R663 million : (2011 R649 million) and (Technical) R709 million : (2011 R568 million)

	GROL	GROUP		E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
10. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS				
Cash and cash equivalents consist of:				
Cash on hand Bank balances Call investment deposits Bank overdraft	578 661,742 1,470,373 -	416 602,598 86,644 (72)	106 576,295 1,466,116 -	114 561,032 82,292 -
	2,132,693	689,586	2,042,517	643,438
Current assets Current liabilities	2,132,693	689,658 (72)	2,042,517	643,438 -
	2,132,693	689,586	2,042,517	643,438
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	OUP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

#### 10. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)

#### Credit quality of cash at bank and short term deposits, excluding cash on hand

The credit quality of cash at bank and short term deposits, excluding cash on hand that are neither past due nor impaired can be assessed by reference to external credit ratings. The amortised cost carrying amount estimates the fair value due the short term nature of these deposits.

Fixed Deposits STD Bank	1,217	1,157
Rating - (F1+) Fixed Deposits ABSA	850	358
Rating - (F1+)	000	550
Call Deposits ABSA	163,447	4,289
Rating - (F1+) Call Deposits RMB	1,205	1,119
Rating - (F1+)	1,200	1,110
Call Deposits INVESTEC	68,642	21,624
Rating - (F1)	208,100	
Fixed Deposits INVESTEC 02 July 2012 Rating - (F1)	206,100	-
Call Deposits NEDCOR	33,364	46,890
Rating - (F1+)	·	
Call Deposits CITY BANK	5,679	1,155
Rating - (F1)		
Fixed Deposits CITY BANK 06 July 2012	330,000	-
Rating - (F1) Call Deposits DEUTSCHE BK	1,144	1,005
Rating - (F1+)	1,144	1,005
Fixed Deposits DEUTSCHE BK 06 July 2012	320,000	-
Rating - (F1+)		
Call Deposits TCTA	11,047	1,004
Rating - (F1+) Call Deposits LANDBANK	31,187	1,055
Rating - (F1+)	51,107	1,000
Calyon SA	-	1,131
Rating - (F1+)		
Stanlib Call Investment Rating - (F1+)	290,234	1,505
	4 466 446	82.202
$\langle \rangle$	1,466,116	82,292
Cash and cash equivalents that have been ring-fenced for following future		
expenditure		
Underwriting of COID reserve	66,000	62,800

Underwriting of COID reserve Capital replacement reserve

11,142

77,142

14,260

77,060

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	DUP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

#### 11. INVESTMENT PROPERTY

GROUP		2012			2011	
	Cost /		Carrying value	Cost /		Carrying value
	Valuation	depreciation		Valuation	depreciation	
		and accumulated			and accumulated	
		impairment			impairment	
Investment property	1,437,053	(389,481)	1,047,572	1,292,467	(323,371)	969,096
CORE		2012		0.	2011	
	Cost /		Carrying value	Cost /		Carrying value
	Valuation	depreciation	1	Valuation	depreciation	
		and			and	
		accumulated impairment			accumulated impairment	
Investment property	1,316,751	(315,859)	1,000,892	1,172,320	(250,021)	922,299
investment property	1,310,731	(315,659)	1,000,092	1,172,320	(230,021)	922,299
Reconciliation of investment pr	operty - GROU	P - 2012	0,			
	Opening	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
	balance					
Investment property	969,096	26,389	<ul><li>(214)</li></ul>	118,411	(66,110)	1,047,572
Reconciliation of investment pr	operty - GROU	P - 2011				
	Onening		Dianagala	Transform	Depresietien	Tatal
	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	915,282		(248)	117,451	(63,389)	969,096
	S					
Reconciliation of investment pr	operty - CJMM	- 2011				
	Opening	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
	balance	Additions	Disposais	TIANSIELS	Depreciation	TOLAI
Investment property	922,299	26,234	(214)	118,411	(65,838)	1,000,892
Reconciliation of investment pr	operty - CJMM	- 2010				
	Onering	۸ al al it :	Dianassis	Transferre	Dennesistis	Tatal
	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Total
Investment property	868,209	-	(248)	117,451	(63,113)	922,299

## City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	UP	COR	E	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
11. INVESTMENT PROPERTY (continued)					
Fair value					
CJMM The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd	2,132,900 12,424 55,152	2,132,900 11,612 55,002	2,132,900 - -	2,132,900 - -	
	2,200,476	2,199,514	2,132,900	2,132,900	

A register containing the information for CJMM as required by section 63 of the Municipal Finance Management Act is available for inspection at the registered office of the municipality.

Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd

- Metro Mall Erf 601,602 and 603, Newtown, Extension 1
- Yeoville flats Erf 737, Yeoville
- Yeoville market Erf 1304, Yeoville

The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd

• Stand 118 City Deep Extension 2, Johannesburg, Gauteng - comprising of retail shops.

Details of valuation

CJMM

The CJMM valuation is based on the valuation roll and is reviewed every three years.

The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd

An external, independent valuation entity, having appropriate recognised professional qualifications and recent experience in the location and category of property being valued, values the entity's investment property portfolio annually. The fair values are based on market values, being the estimated amount for which a property could be exchanged on the date of the valuation between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm's length transaction after proper marketing wherein the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.

In the absence of current prices in an active market, the valuations are prepared by considering the aggregate of the estimated cash flows expected to be received from renting out the property. A yield that reflects the specific risks inherent in the net cash flows then is applied to the net annual cash flows to arrive at the property valuation.

Valuations reflect, when appropriate: the type of tenants actually in occupation or responsible for meeting lease commitments or likely to be in occupation after letting vacant accommodation, and the market's general perception of their creditworthiness; the allocation of maintenance and insurance responsibilities between the entity and the lessee; and the remaining economic life of the property. When rent reviews or lease renewals are pending with anticipated reversionary increases, it is assumed that all notices, and when appropriate counter-notices, have been served validly and within the appropriate time.

The gross property rental income earned by the entity from its investment property, all of which are leased out under gross operating leases, amounted to R1,424 (million) (2011: R1,377 (million)).

Expenditure incurred during the year on investment property amounted to Rnil (2011:RNil).

The Metropolitan Trading Company SOC Ltd

The gross property rental income earned by the entity from it's investment property, all of which are leased out under gross operating leases, amounted to R7,833 million (2010 : R6,135 million).

Expenditure incurred during the year on investment property amounted to R9,087 (2010 : R11,976).

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	UP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

#### 12. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

GROUP		2012			2011	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated	Carrying value
		impairment			impairment	
Land and buildings	17,036,612	(2,588,518)	14,448,094	16,226,988	(2,222,519)	14,004,469
Plant and equipment	1,799,160	(671,554)		1,782,562	(579,203)	
Furniture and fittings	486,512	(310,872)		532,797	(328,906)	
Motor vehicles	14,280	(8,525)		14,701	(7,742)	
Office equipment	692,803	(494,448)		666,480	(448,703)	
Computer equipment	221,238	(125,729)		223,229	(123,909)	
Infrastructure	19,351,675	(3,521,044)		17,387,348	(2,751,093)	
Community	718,929	(301,531)		624,822	(251,135)	
Other	14,148	(8,837)	5,311	22,286	(17,355)	
Bins and containers	225,418	(184,255)	41,163	219,185	(173,667)	
Work in progress	4,979,534	-	4,979,534	4,918,831	-	4,918,831
Landfill sites	524,441	(116,178)		233,217	(103,257)	
Zoo animals	113	(9)		74	(5) (327,098)	
Specialised vehicles	292,799	(33,331)		479,080	( , ,	
Heritage assets	113,940	(21,348)		112,738	(21,361)	
Library books	327,504 28,717	(277,945) (19,633)		320,525 26,392	(268,781) (16,245)	
Emergency equipment						
Total	46,827,823	(8,683,757)	38,144,066	43,791,255	(7,640,979)	36,150,276
		$\sim$				
CORE		2012			2011	
	Cost /	Accumulated	Carrying value	Cost /	Accumulated	Carrying value
	Valuation	depreciation		Valuation	depreciation	
	C C	and			and	
		accumulated			accumulated	
		impairment			impairment	
Land and buildings	15,840,249	(2,066,702)		15,087,768	(1,727,728)	
Plant and equipment	197,329	(115,382)		188,507	(88,211)	
Furniture and fittings	402,197	(270,357)		440,381	(280,056)	
Motor vehicles	4,272	(4,147)		4,293	(4,023)	
Office equipment	630,400	(461,077)		611,122	(420,189)	
Infrastructure	7,603,559	(2,110,244)		6,608,139	(1,577,343)	
Community	718,929	(301,531)	,	624,822	(251,135)	
Other	684	(469)		596	(446)	
Bins and containers	9,833	(4,976)		10,423	(4,677)	
Work in progress	3,574,414	-	3,574,414	3,857,541	-	3,857,541
Specialised vehicles	136,441	(4,502)		- 111 145	- (21,361)	89,784
Heritage assets	112,347 327 504	(21,348)		111,145 320,525		
Library books Emergency equipment	327,504 26,456	(277,945) (19,398)		26,392	(268,781) (16,245)	
	20,400	(10,000)	1,000	20,092	(10,240)	10,147
Total	29,584,614	(5,658,078)	23,926,536	27,891,654	(4,660,195)	23,231,459

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 12. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - GROUP - 2012

	Opening balance	Additions	Unbundling of land	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Total
Land and buildings	14,004,469	200,727	79,165	(41,759)	573,299	(308,753)	(59,054)	14,448,094
Plant and equipment	1,203,359	12,457	-	(202)	12,001	(98,725)	(1,284)	1,127,606
Furniture and fittings	203,891	16,927	_	(2,456)	2,763	(39,608)	(5,877)	175,640
Motor vehicles	6,959	208	<b>-</b>	(215)	1	(1,196)	(2)	5,755
Office equipment	217,777	80,650	$\sim \circ$	(2,227)	(2,938)	(92,119)	(2,788)	198,355
Computer equipment	99,320	20,998		(4,045)	5,048	(25,812)	-	95,509
Infrastructure	14,636,255	848,941	- \	(20,033)	1,170,493	(732,460)	(72,565)	15,830,631
Community	373,687	5,113	-	(87)	87,920	(42,014)	(7,221)	417,398
Other	4,931	952	) · -	(30)	40	(582)	-	5,311
Bins and containers	45,518	6,843	-	-	-	(11,189)	(9)	41,163
Work in progress	4,918,831	1,999,863	-	-	(1,939,160)		-	4,979,534
Landfill sites	129,960	291,224	-	-	-	(12,921)	-	408,263
Zoo animals	69	39	-	-	-	(4)	-	104
Specialised vehicles	151,982	142,007	-	(1,279)	-	(33,242)	-	259,468
Heritage assets	91,377	-	-	(33)	1,248	-	-	92,592
Library books	51,744	6,979	-	-	-	(9,164)	-	49,559
Emergency equipment	10,147	2,724	-	(50)	6	(2,051)	(1,692)	9,084
	36,150,276	3,636,652	79,165	(72,416)	(89,279)	(1,409,840)	(150,492)	38,144,066

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 12. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

#### Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - GROUP - 2011

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipm	ent - GROUP - 2	011							
	Opening balance	Additions	Unbundling of land	Disposals	Classified as held for sale	Transfers	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Total
Land and buildings	13,943,761	131,156	55,747	(21,913)		139,837	(227,256)	(16,863)	14,004,469
Plant and equipment	1,019,730	63,571	-	(1,574)		222,916	(100,288)	(996)	1,203,359
Furniture and fittings	225,811	11,873	-	(529)	-	29,436	(46,053)	(16,647)	203,891
Motor vehicles	7,901	793	-	(396)	-	(2)	(1,274)	(63)	6,959
Office equipment	226,254	61,543	-	(1,665)	• -	33,939	(98,413)	(3,881)	217,777
Computer equipment	110,559	8,171	-	(801)	-	3,815	(22,417)	(7)	99,320
Infrastructure	12,445,353	1,058,752	<u> </u>	(8,035)	-	1,741,144	(599,555)	(1,404)	14,636,255
Community	406,399	13,509		(58)	-	(7,767)	(37,969)	(427)	373,687
Other	6,494	356	<b>N</b> (-)	(745)	-	14	(1,160)	(28)	4,931
Bins and containers	52,981	7,586		(26)	-	15	(14,677)	(361)	45,518
Work in progress	4,878,183	2,358,147		-	-	(2,317,499)	-	-	4,918,831
Landfill sites	139,067	24,348	-	(23,664)	-	-	(9,791)	-	129,960
Zoo animals	72	- 7-2	-	-	-	-	(3)	-	69
Specialised vehicles	187,993	10,347	-	(1,308)	(3,233)	-	(41,817)	-	151,982
Heritage assets	88,125		-	-	-	3,252	-	-	91,377
Library books	31,866	31,299	-	-	-	-	(11,421)	-	51,744
Emergency equipment	12,933	1,212	-	(33)	-	194	(2,053)	(2,106)	10,147
	33,783,482	3,782,663	55,747	(60,747)	(3,233)	(150,706)	(1,214,147)	(42,783)	36,150,276

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 12. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - CORE - 2012

	Opening balance	Additions	Unbundling of land	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Total
Land and buildings	13,360,040	168,825	79,165	(41,659)	546,770	(280,540)	(59,054)	13,773,547
Plant and equipment	100,296	1,523		(25)	8,134	(26,697)	(1,284)	81,947
Furniture and fittings	160,325	10,237	_	(1,898)	1,959	(32,906)	(5,877)	131,840
Motor vehicles	270	-	<b>-</b>	-	1	(144)	(2)	125
Office equipment	190,933	69,190	$\sim \circ$	(1,659)	(4,065)	(82,288)	(2,788)	169,323
Infrastructure	5,030,796	42,097		-	954,913	(468,099)	(66,392)	5,493,315
Community	373,687	5,113	-	(87)	87,920	(42,014)	(7,221)	417,398
Other	150	163	-	(9)	-	(89)	-	215
Bins and containers	5,746	20	-	-	-	(900)	(9)	4,857
Work in progress	3,857,541	1,399,809	-	-	(1,682,936)	-	-	3,574,414
Specialised vehicles	-	136,441	-	-	-	(4,502)	-	131,939
Heritage assets	89,784		-	(33)	1,248	-	-	90,999
Library books	51,744	6,979	-	-	-	(9,164)	-	49,559
Emergency equipment	10,147	463	-	(50)	6	(1,816)	(1,692)	7,058
	23,231,459	1,840,860	79,165	(45,420)	(86,050)	(949,159)	(144,319)	23,926,536
	$\langle \rangle \rangle$							

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 12. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - CORE - 2011

	Opening balance	Additions	Unbundling of land	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Total
Land and buildings	13,281,305	115,687	55,747	(16,322)	127,325	(186,839)	(16,863)	13,360,040
Plant and equipment	113,168	21,964		(13)	(6,691)	(27,279)	(853)	100,296
Furniture and fittings	176,837	10,202	_	(467)	29,325	(39,654)	(15,918)	160,325
Motor vehicles	526	-	<b>•</b>	-	(2)	(195)	(59)	270
Office equipment	197,900	54,209		(406)	33,326	(90,215)	(3,881)	190,933
Infrastructure	4,062,451	70,603	<b>()</b> -	-	1,256,632	(357,486)	(1,404)	5,030,796
Community	406,399	13,509	- \	(58)	(7,767)	(37,969)	(427)	373,687
Other	248	3	-	(10)	3	(94)	-	150
Bins and containers	7,144	3	-	(26)	15	(1,029)	(361)	5,746
Work in progress	3,698,601	1,725,794	-	-	(1,566,854)	-	-	3,857,541
Heritage	86,532	-	-	-	3,252	-	-	89,784
Library books	31,866	31,299	-	-	-	(11,421)	-	51,744
Emergency equipment	12,933	1,212	-	(33)	194	(2,053)	(2,106)	10,147
	22,075,910	2,044,485	55,747	(17,335)	(131,242)	(754,234)	(41,872)	23,231,459
	~~~~							

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

12. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Methods and assumptions used in determining fair value

The Johannesburg Zoo recognises animals at R1, not at fair value. Market-determined prices or values are not available due to the lack of a market for the majority of the animals because they are not commodities, as well as restrictions on trade of exotic animals which preclude the determination of a fair value.

Alternative estimates of fair value for zoo animals will be influenced by the age, health, bloodline and other related issues which is arbitrary in nature. Alternative estimates of fair value are not considered to be reliable due to the number of variables involved and the arbitrary nature of the variables.

Therefore, on the basis that many species cannot be valued and that reliable values cannot be obtained for other species, it was considered that any assessment of value would be misleading to the user of the Group Annual Financial Statements.

The majority of the Zoo's animals are received as donations and transfers from other similar institutions for no consideration, or from procreation. These assets are recorded at a nominal amount, and therefore the zoo animals are not depreciated.

Quantities of animals Mammals Aves Reptilia Amphibia Insecta Pisces Arachnida Crustacea	0.2	674 628 190 287 4 164 12	667 613 155 171 5 188 13 6
	2º	1,959	1,818
	S.		

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

13. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

GROUP		2012			2011	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Additional capacity rights	171,205	(28,584)	142,621	157,776	(21,167)	136,609
Servitudes	1,727	-	1,727	1,701	-	1,701
Computer software, internally generated	14,059	(1,259)		12,796	(1,103)	
Computer software	1,273,247	(761,804)	511,443	1,350,477	(648,379)	702,098
Total	1,460,238	(791,647)	668,591	1,522,750	(670,649)	852,101
CORE		2012)	2011	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Computer software	1,067,539	(671,975)	395,564	1,091,510	(521,457)	570,053
		2				

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

13. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (continued)

Reconciliation of intangible assets - GROUP - 2012

Reconciliation of intangible assets - GROUP - 2012	Opening	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Impairment	Total
	balance	40,400	\cap'		(7 447)	loss	4 4 9 6 9 4
Additional capacity rights Servitudes	136,609 1,701	13,429	\sim	- 26	(7,417)	-	142,621 1,727
Computer software, internally generated	11,693	1,262		20	(155)	-	12,800
Computer software	702,098	16,608	(1,019)	(29,158)		(377)	511,443
	852,101	31,299	(1,019)	(29,132)	(184,281)	(377)	668,591
Reconciliation of intangible assets - GROUP - 2011	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Impairment loss	Total
Additional capacity rights	104,849	38,255	-	-	(6,495)	-	136,609
Servitudes	901	800	-	-	-	-	1,701
Computer software, internally generated	10,154	1,699	-	-	(160)	-	11,693
Computer software	898,610	14,707	(25)	33,255	(244,443)	(6)	702,098
	1,014,514	55,461	(25)	33,255	(251,098)	(6)	852,101

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

13. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (continued)

Reconciliation of intangible assets - CJMM - 2011							
	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Impairment loss	Total
Computer software	570,053	5,615		(32,361)	(147,741)	(2)	395,564
Reconciliation of intangible assets - CJMM - 2010			V				
	Opening	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Impairment	Total
Computer software	balance 760,054	6,426	-	13,791	(210,218)	loss -	570,053
	20						
raft							

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		CO		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012		2011	2012	2011	
14. INVESTMENTS IN MUNICIPAL ENTITIES						
Gross investment	9	ہ holding م 2012	% holding 2011	Carrying amount 2012	Carrying amount 2011	
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %	5,142	5,142	
City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %	112,466	112,46	
Iohannesburg City Parks		100 %	100 %		28,09	
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %		6,62	
Iohannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %		54,77	
lohannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %	60,209	52,69	
Iohannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %	-		
Johannesburg Tourism Company		100 %	100 %	20,478	19,279	
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %	1	07.07	
Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 % 100 %	97,972	97,972	
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd		100 % 100 %		1	1 70	
Roodepoort City Theatre The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %	1,784	1,784	
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd		100 %	100 %	20.000	20,000	
The Johannesburg Zoo		100 %	100 %	1,860	1,860	
	•			409,408	400,694	
		V			,	
mpairments		•		Carrying	Carrying	
mpairments	\sim			amount 2012		
Johannesburg City Parks				(20,218)		
Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd				(54,774)	• •	
Iohannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd	•			(60,209)		
Iohannesburg Tourism Company	\mathbf{O}			(20,478)		
Netropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd				(97,972)	(95,134	
Roodepoort City Theatre				(1,784)	(500	
The Johannesburg Zoo			_	(1,860)	-	
			-	(257,295)	(131,051	
Net investment				Carrying	Carrying	
				amount 2012		
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd				5,142	5,142	
City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd				112,466	112,466	
Johannesburg City Parks				7,880	7,880	
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd				6,623	6,623	
Iohannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd				-	41,048	
Iohannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd				-	52,694	
ohannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd				-		
Iohannesburg Tourism Company				-	17,806	
ohannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd				1	2 0 2 0	
/letropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd				- 1	2,838	
Roodepoort City Theatre				-	1,284	
The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd				-	1,204	
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd				20,000	20,000	
The Johannesburg Zoo					1,860	
			-	152,113	269,643	
			-			

CJMM has investments in the following Municipal Entities that are less than R1,000.

Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd - R120.00 Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd - R10.00

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

14. INVESTMENTS IN MUNICIPAL ENTITIES (continued)

The City is in the process of re-structuring some of the functions currently conducted through some Municipal Entities (MOEs). This reorganization/ Institutional review would result in the legal deregistration of some MOEs. The overall functions carried by the City through deregistered MOEs would be maintained albeit through different forms and mechanisms. The following are the salient re-organization/review objectives outlined in the report per affected MOE:

- Metropolitan Trading Company (MTC) MTC's functions are being reintegrated into the CoJ and that the functions of MTC should be transferred to Transportation Department and Economic Development.
- 2. Johannesburg Tourism Company(JTC) The functions of JTC are being reintegrated into the CoJ within the Group Communications and Tourism Department.
- 3. Johannesburg Zoo (ZOO) and Johannesburg City Parks (JCP) Zoo and Parks are being merged to form one new entity. However a merger in terms of the Companies Act is envisaged which will result in the business of ZOO being transferred to Parks and with Parks 'surviving' as the new entity and the ZOO legal company being deregistered post-merger.
- Johannesburg Property Company(JPC) JPC's mandate has been extended to include facilities management, in additional to its current mandate of property management. As such, all facilities management services will be rendered by JPC on behalf of the CoJ and MEs.
- 5. Johannesburg Development Agency(JDA) The City resolved that JDA's mandate be extended to include development facilitation.
- 6. Joburg Theatre and Roodepoort City Theatre (RCT) The City intends having one company that manages all the theatres of the CoJ, which will result in RCT being sold to Joburg Theatre.

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

15. INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURES

Name of company	% holding 9	% holding	Carrying	Carrying
	2012	2011	amount 2012	amount 2011
Joshco JV	55.00 %	55.00 %	31,575	31,423

The carrying amount of the Joint Venture is shown net of share of losses of R0,060 million (2010 : R0,364 million). The interest in Joint Venture is recognised using the equity method.

Principal

activity

Reporting date

30/06/2012

Period of

results included

1 July 2011 to 30 June 2012

Principal activities and reporting dates of joint ventures

Name of entity

Joshco Madulamoho Joint Venture (JM/JV)

The JM/JV is an investment between Joshco and Madulamoho for social rental housing. The separate annual financial statements of the Joint Venture are available at the registered office of the entity. There are no contingent liabilities, contingent assets or commitments relating to the Joint Venture.

۵

Restrictions on a joint venture to distribute its reserves

The Joint Venture's ability to distribute its reserves is not restricted in terms of the Joint Venture agreement.

Joint ventures pledged as security

The JM/JV has not been pledged as security.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	G	ROUP		CC	RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012		2011	2012	2011
16. INVESTMENTS IN ASSOCIATES					
Name of entity		nolding 2012	% holding 2011	Carrying amount 2012	Carrying amount 2010
Fried shelf 128 (Pty) Ltd	5	0.00 %	50.00 %	13,493	12,518
The carrying amounts of associates are shown net of impairment loss	ses.				
Movements in carrying value					
Opening balance Share of surplus/(deficit) Share in revaluation reserve			\mathcal{V}	12,518 (110) 1,085	4,171 591 7,756
				13,493	12,518

Associates with different reporting dates

The investment in associate relates to Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited who rents the building from Fried shelf 128 (Pty) Ltd. The financial year-end of Fried shelf 128 (Pty) Ltd is the last day of February. The year ends of the two entities are more than three months apart. The entity made adjustments to the accounts of the associate to bring the two year ends in line with each other.

	GROL	IP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
7. DEFERRED TAX					
Deferred tax asset / (liability)					
Accelerated capital allowances for tax purposes	(21)	(92)	-		
_iabilities for health care benefits accrued	1,869	1,415	-		
Provisions	2,693	2,026	-		
Fax losses available for set off against future taxable	279	-	-		
Recognised in equity	(74)	72	-		
Fair value and amortised cost adjustments	(1,115,406)	(690,877)	-		
Deferred income	7,315	8,961	-		
Trade and other receivables Leases	1,505 46	1,390 (73)	-		
Property, Plant and Equipment & Intangibles	(3,749)	(2,938)	-		
rade and other payables	872	242	_		
emporary difference	(3,606)	(4,922)	-		
Dther	6,702	4,085	-		
	(1,101,575)	(680,711)	-		
Deferred tax asset / (liability)	\mathbf{O}				
t beginning of the year	(680,711)	(430,950)	_		
ccelerated capital allowances	(000,711)	(430,930) (231)	-		
Provisions	412	1,605	-		
Driginating temporary difference on tangible fixed	(300)	(7,887)	-		
ssets		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
emporary difference on provisions	(196,721)	(393,666)	-		
emporary difference on revaluation of property	-	(1,088)	-		
emporary difference on retirement benefits	2,754	5,415	-		
emporary difference on provision for doubtful debts	(22,959)	(546)	-		
ncrease(decrease) in tax losses available for set off	(530)	9,536	-		
gainst future	0.000	0 700			
lovement in temporary timing differences	2,808	2,788	-		
Prior year overprovisions	-	598	-		
ncome received in advance roperty, plant and equipment	3,559 1,467	6,473 3,885	-		
rade and other receivables	(1,057)	(774)	-		
eases	306	11	-		
alculated tax loss	(310,828)	104,372	_		
air value and amortised cost adjustment	(010,020)	93	-		
other movements	24,399	(19,683)	-		
ther deferred tax	75,720	38,743	-		
rade and other payables	144	246	-		
Prepayments	(21)	349	-		
	(1,101,575)	(680,711)	-		

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
18. ASSETS CLASSIFIED AS HELD-FOR-SALE				
Assets and liabilities				
Non-current assets held for sale Property, plant and equipment	136,606	3,233	136,606	

CJMM

The fleet contract, contract A 400A for the provision of non-specialised vehicles and fleet services was awarded to Avis Fleet Services (AFS) on a basis of an operating lease. The award was subject to the successful negotiation of a Business Relationship Agreement (BRA) and Service Level Agreement (SLA).

The former fleet contract, Contract A114 terminated February 2012. In terms of the contract (cause 34.2) the City was liable to procure the fleet from the previous services provider at bank settlement value. The transaction was concluded and the vehicle are currently held as "vehicles held for sale" until such time that contract A400A is implemented. The contract will be implemented October 2012.

Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services SOC Limited

Assets held-for-sale relate to disposal of buses. The buses were disposed at a loss of R2.2m

4

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

19. FINANCIAL ASSETS BY CATEGORY

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

GROUP - 2012

	Loans and receivables	Held to maturity	Total
Current Assets Other financial assets Trade and other receivables Consumer debtors Call investment deposits Bank balances and cash	1,047,888 6,116,949 1,470,373 662,320	263,170 - - -	263,170 1,047,888 6,116,949 1,470,373 662,320
Non-Current Assets Other financial assets	94,978	2,839,828	2,934,806
	9,392,508	3,102,998	12,495,506
GROUP - 2011	Loans and	Held to	Total
\sim	receivables	maturity	Total
Current Assets Other financial assets Trade and other receivables Consumer debtors Call investment deposits Bank balances and cash	- 1,215,950 4,356,452 86,644 603,014	157,944 - - - -	157,944 1,215,950 4,356,452 86,644 603,014
Non-Current Assets			
Other financial assets	89,483	2,343,857	2,433,340
	6,351,543	2,501,801	8,853,344

	GR	OUP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
19. FINANCIAL ASSETS BY CATEGORY (continue	d)				
CORE - 2012					
		Loans and receivables	Held to maturity	Total	
Current Assets Loans to Municipal Entities Other financial assets		805,774	۔ 263,170	805,774 263,170	
Trade and other receivables Consumer debtors		1,075,613 1,071,981	-	1,075,613 1,071,981	
Call investment deposits Bank balances and cash		1,466,116 576,401	-	1,466,116 576,401	
Non-Current Assets Loans to Municipal Entities Other financial assets	C	5, 436,952 94,978	- 2,839,828	5,436,952 2,934,806	
	\sim	10,527,815	3,102,998	13,630,813	
CORE - 2011	· · ·				
Current Assets	S.	Loans and receivables	Held to maturity	Total	
Loans to Municipal Entities Other financial assets	00°	832,770	- 157,944	832,770 157,944	
Trade and other receivables Consumer debtors Call investment deposits	<u><u> </u></u>	2,350,090 411,535 82,292	-	2,350,090 411,535 82,292	
Bank balances and cash	, v	561,146	-	561,146	
Non-Current Assets Loans to Municipal Entities		5,664,918	-	5,664,918	
Other financial assets		89,483	2,343,857	2,433,340	
		9,992,234	2,501,801	12,494,035	

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
20. LOANS AND BORROWINGS					
Non Current portion of loans and borrowings					
Structured loans	294,263	722,360	294,263	722,360	
Development Bank South Africa Local Registered Stock Loans	1,432,989 30,000	1,577,289 30,000	1,414,453 30,000	1,558,278 30,000	
Municipal bonds	6,751,000	7,451,000	6,751,000	7,451,000	
Jozi bonds	- 0,701,000	34,244	- 0,751,000	34,244	
Other loans and borrowings	2,769,301	2,028,726	2,769,301	2,028,726	
	11,277,553	11,843,619	11,259,017	11,824,608	
Current portion of loans and borrowings					
Structured loans	444,939	64,191	444,939	64,191	
Development Bank South Africa	138,426	127,355	137,894	126,812	
Municipal bonds	700,000	· · ·	700,000	-	
Jozi bonds Other loans and borrowings	34,451 229,937	174,763	34,451 229,937	- 145,993	
Other loans and borrowings	1,547,753	366,309	1,547,221	336,996	
	1,547,755	- 300,303	1,347,221	550,990	
	V				
Non-current liabilities At amortised cost	11,277,553	11,843,619	11,259,017	11,824,608	
At amonised cost		11,043,019	11,259,017	11,024,000	
Current liabilities	\mathbf{O}				
At amortised cost	1,547,753	366,309	1,547,221	336,996	
9	12,825,306	12,209,928	12,806,238	12,161,604	
Municipal Manager's estimate of fair value of the					
loans and borrowings					
Structured loans	802,240	881,240	802,240	881,240	
Development Bank of Stock Loans	868,406	886,801	868,406	886,801	
Local Registered Stock Loans	28,178	26,013	28,178	26,013	
Municipal bonds	4,887,174	4,244,032	4,887,174	4,244,032	
Jozi Bonds Other financial liabilities	34,202	32,854	34,202	32,854	
	2,128,663	1,453,062	2,128,663	1,453,062	
	8,748,863	7,524,002	8,748,863	7,524,002	

The fair values of the loans and borrowings were determined as follows:

Listed bonds fair values were calculated using the prices as quoted on Bond Exchange South Africa (BESA) on 30 June 2012. Retail bonds fair values were calculated using prices quoted on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) on 30 June 2012.

R 3,102,998 thousand (2011 : R 2,501,801 thousand) has been invested specifically in a ring-fenced account for the repayment of loans and borrowings. Refer to Note 6

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		COR	Ε
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
21. FINANCE LEASE OBLIGATION				
Minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	30,845	34,015	24,343	27,420
 in second to fifth year inclusive 	42,727	42,031	32,999	36,478
- later than five years	473,017	478,154	473,019	478,154
	546.589	554,200	530,361	542.052
less: future finance charges	(453,478)	(459,620)	(451,760)	(457,784)
Present value of minimum lease payments	93,111	94,580	78,601	84,268
Non-current liabilities	68,295	69,122	61,104	64,386
Current liabilities	24,816	25,458	17,497	19,882
	93,111	94,580	78,601	84,268

Registers containing the information for the Group is available for inspection at the respective registered offices of the CJMM and ME's.

22. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES	$\mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{\cdot}}$			
Financial liabilities				
Accrued interest	179,318	197,895	168,285	177,337
Credit balances in consumer debtors	1 ,812,320	1,423,127	1,123,708	1,092,773
Engineering fees	94,714	176,496	70,884	71,928
Operating lease payables	21,516	16,102	2,881	1,722
Other creditors	1,232,425	790,534	652,959	477,012
Retentions	186,767	148,316	38,392	50,448
Trade payables	2,858,525	3,758,078	595,556	903,049
Related party creditor	-	-	2,579,656	3,553,027
	6,385,585	6,510,548	5,232,321	6,327,296
Other liabilities				
Accrued leave pay	377,579	357,232	227,414	230,081
Accrued bonus	200,723	187,245	82,732	80,009
Accrued staff 13th cheques	11,455	11,932	-	-
Payments received in advance	2,179	23,188	20,362	19,858
	591,936	579,597	330,508	329,948
-	6,977,521	7,090,145	5,562,829	6,657,244

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	OUP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

23. OBLIGATIONS ARISING FROM CONDITIONAL GRANTS AND RECEIPTS

Obligations arising from conditional grants and receipts comprises of:

	695,137	537,293	685,842	516,158
donations		F07.000		540 450
Obligations arising from public contributions and	15,660	26,139	15,660	15,952
Subtotal	679,477	511,154	670,182	500,206
Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP)	65	21,063	65	21,063
Neighbourhood development partnership grant	8,866	3,136	8,866	3,136
2010 Public transport (SPTN)	376,589	334,100	376,589	334,100
Gautrain grant	-	349	-	349
Social housing foundation	7,814	5,347	-	-
Provincial grants : Operating projects	19,773	6,630	19,773	6,630
Provincial grants : Top Structure of houses	84,760	11,984	84,760	11,984
Urban settlements development grant	76,439	19,614	76,439	19,614
Provincial grants : Capital projects	105,171	108,931	103,690	103,330
		100.001	100.000	400.0

The nature and extent of government grants recognised in the Group Annual Financial Statements and an indication of other forms of government assistance from which the group has directly benefited; and

Unfulfilled conditions and other contingencies attaching to government assistance that has been recognised.

These amounts are invested in a ring-fenced investment until utilised.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	OUP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

24. PROVISIONS

Reconciliation of provisions - GROUP - 2012

	Opening Balance	Additions	Utilised during the year d	Reversed uring the year	Settlement	Total
International Parking	355,054	-	(240,000)	-	(115,054)	-
Management						
Provision for liabilities	-	78,953	-	-	-	78,953
Escalation on contracts	1,175	1,378	(1,081)	-	-	1,472
Environmental rehabilitation:	90,926	51,675	(140)	-	5,083	147,544
Closed landfill site						
Environmental rehabilitation:	216,049	275,078	(55,493)	-	12,077	447,711
Open landfill sites						
Pension fund provision	14,545	846	(172)	V -	-	15,219
	677,749	407,930	(296,886)	-	(97,894)	690,899

Reconciliation of provisions - GROUP - 2011

	688,400	50,389	(9,800)	(75,695)	24,455	677,749
Open landfill sites Pension fund provision	13,570	975	-	-	-	14,545
Closed landfill site Environmental rehabilitation:	236,970	3,289	(4,922)	(35,283)	15,995	216,049
Escalation on contracts Environmental rehabilitation:	2,441 125,329	1,161 -	(2,427) (2,451)		- 8,460	1,175 90,926
International Parking Management	Balance 310,090	44,964	the year -	during the year -	-	355,054
	Opening	Additions	Utilised during	Reversed	Settlement	Total

Reconciliation of provisions - CORE - 2012

	Opening A	dditions	Utilised during	Reversed	Settlement	Total
International Parking	Balance 355.054	_	the year (240,000)	during the year	(115,054)	-
Management		70.050	(210,000)		(110,001)	70.050
Provision for liabilities Pension fund provision	14.545	78,953 846	- (172)	-	-	78,953 15.219
	369,599	79,799	(240,172)		(115,054)	94,172

Reconciliation of provisions - CORE - 2011

	Opening Balance	Additions	Utilised during the year of	Reversed during the year	Settlement	Total
International Parking Management	310,090	44,964	-	-	-	355,054
Pension fund provision	13,570	975	-	-	-	14,545
	323,660	45,939	-	-	-	369,599
Non-current liabilities Current liabilities			674,208 16,691	662,029 15,720	78,953 15,219	355,054 14,545
			690,899	677,749	94,172	369,599

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

24. PROVISIONS (continued)

CJMM

International parking management

An agreement was reached between the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality and International Parking Management (PTY) Limited, whereby the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality paid an amount of R 240,000,000 (Two Hundred and Forty Million Rand), in full and final settlement.

This amount was paid in three instalments:-- 3 December 2011R 150 000 (million) (One Hundred and Fifty Million Rand)- 3 December 2011R 50 000 (million) (Fifty Million Rand)- 8 February 2012R 40 000 (million) (Forty Million Rand)- 12 April 2012

Provision for liabilities

A claim for damages was instituted by the Plaintiff as a result of the construction of the Grayston fly-over for loss of income. The total claim is in excess of R10,000,000.00 plus interest and is not insured. SCA has ruled against the City on the matter of whether the construction amounted to a diversion. Court is to decide on Plaintiff quantum of damages suffered.

Pension fund provisions

The pension fund provision relates to the change from defined benefit plans to defined contribution plans of the pension funds. The provision is based on the actuarial valuations of the pension funds and agreement reached by management and the trustee's of the pension funds.

٨

Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited

The provision is management's best estimate of the obligations to settle escalations on procurement service contract and the environmental oblogations to rehabilitate the various landfill sites upon closure.

The calculations of the landfill rehabilitation are based on the following assumptions in line with the permits requirements and constistent with prior years.

- The final side slopes for each landfills are 1:3;
- The cover to waste ratio is 1:5 for each site;
- The growth rates for each site are based zero growth;
- The final landfill footprint extends over the entire landfill property size (excluding infrastructure and a 20m buffer zone between the site boundary and the toe of the landfill;
- The density of the waste is calculated using both the survey and weighbridge data;

The final landfill airspace estimation was performed by the Topographical surveyor appointed by the Company who has extensive experince in the field with an Advance Mine Survey Certificate - M3.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

25. RETIREMENT BENEFIT OBLIGATION

For all post retirement employee liabilities, an actuarial calculation is performed at the end of the financial year.

25.1Post retirement liabilities

Post-Retirement Medical Aid Plan	(1,319,009)	(1,294,523)	(1,330,725)	(1,301,289)
Post-Retirement Housing Subsidy Plan	(4,085)	(4,767)	(2,892)	(3,245)
Retirement Gratuity Plan	(466,372)	(444,197)	(474,449)	(469,108)
	(1,789,466)	(1,743,487)	(1,808,066)	(1,773,642)

25.1.1 Post retirement medical aid plan

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality has obligations to subsidise medical aid contributions in respect of certain qualifying staff and pensioners and their surviving spouses. Post-retirement medical aid subsidies are provided to pensioners, in the service of the CJMM as at 1 January 2001, and employees 50 years and older on 1 July 2003 whilst contributory members to either LA Health or Munimed medical schemes. The subsidy remains payable only for as long as members remain contributory members to these medical schemes.

Amounts recognised in the Statement of financial position

Present value of unfunded obligation in respect of	1,319,009	1,294,523	1,208,785	1,185,546
CJMM employees	\sim			
In respect of notional accounts for employees of ME's	- \	-	121,940	115,743
•	1,319,009	1,294,523	1,330,725	1,301,289
(Find the second se				
Movements for the year				
	1,294,523	1 400 172	1 105 546	1 267 406
Opening balance	(98,749)	1,400,173 (94,967)	1,185,546 (95,010)	1,267,406 (91,691)
Net expense recognised in the statement of financial	123,235	(10,683)	118,249	9,831
performance		(, ,	,	-,
	1,319,009	1,294,523	1,208,785	1,185,546
Net expense recognised in the statement of financial perform	mance			
Current service cost	1,519	3.664	864	2.209
Interest cost	111,199	126,462	101,838	114,574
Actuarial (gains) losses	10,517	(140,809)	15,547	(106,952)
	123,235	(10,683)	118,249	9,831
Notional loan account				
Opening balance	-	-	115,743	109,613
Interest received	-	-	6,197	6,130
Balance at end of year	-	-	121,940	115,743
Key assumptions used				
The principal actuarial assumptions used were as follows:				
Discount rates used	8.60 %	8.60 %	8.60 %	8.60 %
Expected rate of return on assets	8.60 %	8.60 %	8.60 %	8.60 %
Expected rate of return on reimbursement rights	7.70 %	7.10 %	7.70 %	7.10 %
Expected increase in salaries	6.70 %	7.10 %	6.70 %	7.10 %

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

				GRO	UP	CO	RE
Figures in Rand thous	sand			2012	2011	2012	2011
25. RETIREMENT E	BENEFIT OBL	IGATION (cont	tinued)				
Other assumptions.							
Age of spouse	-	Husband	ds five years of	der than wives			
Mortality of in-service	members -	In accord for fema		SA 85-90 (Light) ultimate table	(rated down 3 y	/ears
Mortality of pensioner	rs -	In accore	dance with the	PA(90) ultimate	male and fema	ale tables.	
Sensitivity analysis							
		Change	in assumptio	'n	\cap		
		1%	0%	-1%	NV		
Liability		1,140,228	1,208,785	1,290,333			
Percentage change		-5,70%	0%	6,70%			
Service cost		453	478	507			
Percentage change		-5,2%	0%	6,2%			
Post-Retirement Mo	rtality			0			
	Liability	Percentage Change	Service F Cost	Percentage Change			
2 Years younger	1,257,260	4,0%	492	3,1%			
25.1.2 Post retireme	nt housing s	ubsidy plan	20				
The City of Johannes	sburg Metropo	litan Municipalit	y provides hou	ising subsidies i	in respect of ce	ertain qualifying	staff mem

The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality provides housing subsidies in respect of certain qualifying staff members. In the event that the housing loan that the subsidy related to is not fully repaid at retirement date, the subsidy will continue into the members' retirement. The subsidy amount is based on the subsidy received at the date of valuation. The subsidy amount is assumed to remain constant and to continue for a period of 10 years after retirement.

The above liability is unfunded. However, City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality has undertaken to cover such portion of the liability for the staff of City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality who are entitled to benefits that relates to their service with the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality since the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality was established. The amount was determined at 1 July 2003 and has been crystallised in the form of a notional loan account which earned interest and against which the company may claim benefit payments made. This loan does not constitute a plan asset and in terms of IAS 19 cannot be offset against the liability. It has however been included in the assets of the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality.

Amounts recognised in the Statement of financial position

Present value of unfunded obligation in respect of CJMM employees	4,085	4,767	2,892	3,245
The fair value of plan assets includes:				
Movements for the year				
Opening balance Benefits paid Net expense recognised in the statement of financial performance	4,767 (962) 280	4,989 (1,024) 802	3,245 (699) 346	3,574 (929) 600
	4,085	4,767	2,892	3,245

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC)UP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
25. RETIREMENT BENEFIT OBLIGATION (cont	inued)			
Net expense recognised in the statement of fina	incial performance			
Current service cost Interest cost Actuarial (gains) losses	42 382 (144)	53 427 322	- 279 67	300 300
	280	802	346	600
Notional loan account				
Key assumptions used		0		
Assumptions used on last valuation on 30 June 20	12.	NV I		
Discount rates used Expected rate of return on assets	8.60 % 8.60 %	8.60 % 8.60 %	8.60 % 8.60 %	8.60 % 8.60 %
Sensitivity analysis	()			
Change1%Liability2,830Percentage change-2,10%	e in assumption 0% 2,892 0% 2,956 2,20%			
Post-Retirement Mortality	Liability Percentage			
2 Years younger	Change 2,892 2,10%			
25.1.3 Post retirement gratuity plan				

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality provides gratuities on retirement or prior death in respect of certain qualifying staff members who have service with the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality when they were not members of one of the retirement funds and who meet certain service requirements in terms of City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality's conditions of employment. The gratuity amount is based on 1 month's salary per year of non-retirement funding service.

The above liability is unfunded. However, City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality has undertaken to cover such portion of the liability for the staff of City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality who are entitled to benefits that relates to their service with the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality since the company was established. This amount was determined at 1 July 2003 and has been crystallised in the form of a notional loan account which earned interest and against which the company may claim benefit payments made. This loan does not constitute a plan asset and in terms of IAS 19 cannot be offset against the liability. It has however been included in the assets of the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality.

Amounts recognised in the Statement of financial position

Present value of unfunded obligation in respect of CJMM employees	466,372	444,197	182,116	170,931
In respect of notional accounts for employees of ME's	-	-	292,333	298,177
	466,372	444,197	474,449	469,108

	GROU	IP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
25. RETIREMENT BENEFIT OBLIGATION (continued)				
Movements for the year				
Opening balance Benefits paid Net expense recognised in the statement of financial performance	444,197 (40,054) 62,226	425,626 (32,896) 51,467	170,931 (20,032) 31,217	163,576 (14,746) 22,101
	466,369	444,197	182,116	170,931
Net expense recognised in the statement of financial perf	ormance			
Interest cost Actuarial (gains) losses	37,249 24,977	37,402 14,065	14,683 16,534	13,740 8,361
	62,226	51,467	31,217	22,101
Notional loan account				
Opening balance Interest received Benefits payments	0.	- - -	298,177 15,538 (21,382)	296,744 16,418 (14,985)
Balance at end of year	<u> </u>	-	292,333	298,177
Key assumptions used	\mathbf{b}			
Assumptions used on last valuation on 30 June 2012.	O			
The principal actuarial assumptions used were as follows:				
Discount rates used Expected rate of return on assets Expected increase in salaries	8.60 % 8.60 % 6.70 %	8.60 % 8.60 % 6.70 %	8.60 % 8.60 % 6.70 %	8.60 % 8.60 % 5.60 %
Sensitivity analysis				
Change in assum	-			
1% 0% Liability 172,206 182,11 Percentage change -5,4% 0	-1% 6 13,355 1% 6,2%			

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GNU	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

25. RETIREMENT BENEFIT OBLIGATION (continued)

CJMM and its ME's provide post-employment benefits to all their permanent employees through defined contribution funds. The following employee contributions have been made to the defined contribution plans.

City of Johannesburg Pension Fund eJoburg Retirement Fund Municipal Councillors pension Fund National Fund for Municipal Workers Soweto City Council Pension Fund Municipal Employees Gratuity Fund			40,277 119,366 8,770 854 80 10,316	32,798 107,522 7,116 810 78 10,172
		_	179,663	158,496
	(
The following employee contributions have been made to the multi-empl	oyer plans.			
Joint Municipal Pension Fund			1,066	1,081
Municipal Employees Pension Fund			3,802	3,666
	γ		4,868	4,747
26. DEFERRED INCOME				
	N .			
Bond tap	9			
Balance unspent at beginning of year	56,827	58,979	56,827	58,979
Prior year adjustment Conditions met - transferred to revenue	- (2,383)	2,017	(2 2 2 2)	2,017
	,	(4,169)	(2,383)	(4,169)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	54,444	56,827	54,444	56,827

The Bond tap is a Bond issued into the life of an existing Bond. The Tap was issued at a premium on the prevailing interest rate at the time of the Tap. The premium is amortised over the maturity of the Bond and released to interest income on an annual basis.

Deferred income related to obligations arising from

Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	2,367,097	1,828,485	2,367,097	1,828,485
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(761,366)	(1,042,615)	(761,366)	(1,042,615)
Assets Under Construction	1,299,978	1,102,053	1,299,978	1,102,053
Balance at beginning of year	1,828,485	1,769,047	1,828,485	1,769,047
conditional grants and receipts				

Deferred income related to obligations arising from conditional grants and receipts and will be recognised as revenue when the conditions attached to the conditional grants and receipts are substantially met. The deferred income does not relate to unspent conditional grants and receipts, but to expenditure already incurred on work in progress where conditions attached to the conditional grants and receipts have not been substantially met. Previously the deferred income was taken directly to the Capitalisation Reserve within the Accumulated Surplus. The income was released to Revenue when the conditions attached to the conditional grants and receipts were substantially met.

Passenger trips received in advance				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	3,228	534	-	-
Current year receipts	1,138	2,694	-	-
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	4,366	3,228	-	-

Deferred income refers to the liability relating to passenger trips sold in advance through the Smartcards Multi-Journey Software. The deferred income is released as and when the passengers present these cards on the buses and the bus operators issue a ticket accordingly.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

y at

GROL	IP	CO	RE
2012	2011	2012	2011
30,427	31,341	_	-
(2,093) (914)	(914)	-	-
27,420	30,427	-	-
32,003	61 34,381	-	-
(5,721)	(2,439)	-	-
26,282	32,003	-	-
	2012 30,427 (2,093) (914) 27,420 32,003 (5,721)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

The above deferred income relate to commission received on the conclusion of the 5 year lease agreement relating to outdoor advertising and land sales transaction not yet finalised.

2,479,609

1,950,970

2,421,541

1,885,312

Total deferred income

77

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

27. INTEREST RATE SWAP LIABILITY

During the financial year 2010/2011, CJMM entered into an interest rate swap by exchanging the Nedbank R1 billion 3 months JIBAR rate + 280 bsp for a 11.66% fixed interest rate.

Swap Details

Trade Date :	30 March 2011
Settlement Date:	29 March 2018
Nominal Amount:	R 1,000 (million)
Fixed Rate:	11.66%
Payable:	Semi- annual

43,098 43,098 **Opening balance** Initial recognition of the swap fair value 3,098 43,098 Swap fair value changes 66,604 66,604 **Closing balance** 109,702 43,098 109,702 43,098 28. CONSUMER DEPOSITS Non Current portion of Consumer deposits Electricity and water deposits 41.806 442,306 Other deposits 45,688 4,112 15,688 4,112 457,494 446,418 15,688 4,112 N/

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

29. FINANCIAL LIABILITIES BY CATEGORY

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

GROUP - 2012

	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Trade and other payables	1,547,753 24,816 6,385,585	1,547,753 24,816 6,385,585
Non-Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Deferred income Consumer deposits	11,277,553 68,295 2,469,843 457,494	- 11,277,553 68,295 2,469,843 457,494
	22,231,339	22,231,339
GROUP - 2011	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Trade and other payables Bank overdraft	366,309 25,458 6,510,548 72	366,309 25,458 6,510,548 72
Non-Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Deferred income Consumer deposits	11,843,619 69,122 1,941,847 446,418 21,203,393	11,843,619 69,122 1,941,847 446,418 21,203,393

	GR	OUP	COF	RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
29. FINANCIAL LIABILITIES BY CATEGORY (co	ntinued)			
CORE - 2012				
			Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Trade and other payables			1,547,221 17,497 5,232,321	1,547,221 17,497 5,232,321
Non-Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Deferred income Consumer deposits	0	2	11,259,017 61,104 2,421,541 15,688	11,259,017 61,104 2,421,541 15,688
		3	20,554,389	20,554,389
CORE - 2011	0.1		Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Trade and other payables	°.		336,996 19,882 6,327,296	336,996 19,882 6,327,296
Non-Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings Finance lease obligations Deferred income Consumer deposits			11,824,608 64,386 1,885,312 4,112	11,824,608 64,386 1,885,312 4,112
\sim			20,462,592	20,462,592

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
30. PROPERTY RATES					
Rates received					
Residential Commercial	2,452,081 2,994,165	2,193,218 2,644,805	2,452,081 2,994,165	2,193,218 2,644,805	
State Municipal	36,126 80,641	34,385 101,517	36,126 80,641	34,385 101,517	
	5,563,013	4,973,925	5,563,013	4,973,925	
Valuations					
Residential	699,772,776	667,792,193	699,772,776	667,792,193	
31. SERVICE CHARGES	C				
Other service charges Refuse removal Sale of electricity	116,588 924,202 12,035,409	45,849 799,342 9,679,135	63,519 701,031	50,557 648,278	
Sale of water Sewerage and sanitation charges	3,349,843	2,655,683 1,880,043	-	-	
Surcharges : Electricity Surcharges : Refuse	125,281 2,424	95,510 2,267	125,281 2,424	95,510 2,267	
Surcharges : Water	31,226	40,866	31,226	40,866	
	418,576,277	15,198,695	923,481	837,478	
	V				
J.					
\bigcirc					
\mathbf{V}					

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

2011 166,950 248,592 1,000 53,056 15,949 44,466 79	2012 87,423 843,461 1,250 112,539 11,998	2011 889 248,757 1,000
248,592 1,000 53,056 15,949 44,466	843,461 1,250 112,539	248,757
248,592 1,000 53,056 15,949 44,466	843,461 1,250 112,539	248,757
1,000 53,056 15,949 44,466	1,250 112,539	
53,056 15,949 44,466	112,539	1 000
15,949 44,466		
44,466	11 998	53,056
	11,000	15,949
79	-	44,466
	349	79
86,524	-	86,524
1,189,135	495,789	1,189,135
63,666	54,446	63,666
123,277	94,433	123,277
82,210	100,312	82,210
3,994,276	4,189,748	3,994,276
84,030	104,540	84,030
6,153,210	6,096,288	5,987,314
51,349	103,330	43,811
228,624	-	64,500
658	86,832	658
(270)	-	(270)
(3,858)	591	(3,858)
(622)	-	(622)
()	360	-
-	(87,423)	(889)
- (166,950)	103,690	103,330
	(166,950) 108,931	(166,950) (87,423)

Urban settlements development grant

(843,461)	(248,592)	(843,461)	(248,757)
000,001	, -	,	, -
500.532	24.215	500.532	24.215
(628,216)	(341,035)	(628,216)	(341,035)
-	109	-	109
,027,970	556,285	1,027,970	556,450
19,614	28,632	19,614	28,632
	(628,216)	1,027,970 556,285 - 109 (628,216) (341,035)	1,027,970 556,285 1,027,970 - 109 - (628,216) (341,035) (628,216)

This grant is made available to support municipal capital budgets to fund municipal infrastructure and to upgrade existing infrastructure, primarily for the benefit of poor households.

Financial management grant

Current year receipts	1,250	1,000	1,250	1,000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(1,250)	(1,000)	(1,250)	(1,000)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	-
Provincial grants : Top structure of houses				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	11,984	11,984	11,984	11,984
Current year receipts	230,568	327,683	230,568	327,683
Transferred to debtors	(57,835)	(327,683)	(57,835)	(327,683)

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROL	JP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
32. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES (continued)				
Adjustment	12,582	-	12,582	-
Current year receivables	-	53,056	-	53,056
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(112,539)	(53,056)	(112,539)	(53,056)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	84,760	11,984	84,760	11,984
Provincial grants : Operating projects				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	6,630	5,162	6,630	5,162
Current year receipts	19,553	17,417	19,553	17,417
Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue	5,588 (11,998)	- (15,949)	5,588 (11,998)	- (15,949)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	19,773	6,630	19,773	6,630
These grants are provided to finance community projects.				
Social housing foundation				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	5.347	21,650	_	-
Current year receipts	2,467	605	-	-
Transfers to debtors	<u> </u>	(16,908)	-	-
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	7,814	5,347	-	-
Ellis park upgrading : 2010				
Previous years AUC - Capitalised		44,466	-	44,466
Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities		(44,466)	-	(44,466)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to habilities	-		-	-
This grant is provided for the upgrade of Ellis Park.				
Gautrain grant				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	349	428	349	428
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(349)	(79)	(349)	(79)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities		349	-	349
The purpose of this grant is to provide capacity support for the G	Bautrain Rapid Rail	Link.		
Confederation cup				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	84,496	-	84,496
Current year receipts	-	2,028	-	2,028
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	-	(86,524)	-	(86,524)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	-

2010 Public transport (SPTN)

Balance unspent at beginning of year	334,100	314,254	334,100	314,254
Current year receipts	998,000	932,000	998,000	932,000
Previous years AUC - Capitalised	112,017	931,701	112,017	931,701
Assets under construction	(571,739)	(654,720)	(571,739)	(654,720)
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(495,789)	(1,189,135)	(495,789)	(1,189,135)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	376,589	334,100	376,589	334,100

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	JP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
32. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES (continued)				
This grant is provided to finance 2010 Public Transport System.				
Neighborhood development partnership grant				
Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts Transfer Previous years AUC - Capitalised Assets under construction	3,136 60,277 (4,613) 54,098 (49,586)	5,408 99,958 (4,500) 42,233 (76,297)	3,136 60,277 (4,613) 54,098 (49,586)	5,408 99,958 (4,500) 42,233 (76,297)
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(54,446)	(63,666)	(54,446)	(63,666)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	8,866	3,136	8,866	3,136
The purpose of this grant is to stimulate and accelerate private se	ector investment i	n poor and unde	erserved neighb	orhoods.
Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP))		
Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts Previous years AUC - Capitalised	21,063 69,498 7,887	55,394 110,590 -	21,063 69,498 7,887	55,394 110,590 -
Assets under construction Expenditure incurred in advance Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(50,531) 46,581 (04,432)	(21,644) - (122,277)	(50,531) 46,581 (04,423)	(21,644)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	(94,433) 65	(123,277) 21,063	(94,433) 65	(123,277) 21,063
Ambulance subsidy	, ,			
Ambulance subsidy Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue	88,312 12,000 (100,312)	82,210 - (82,210)	88,312 12,000 (100,312)	82,210 - (82,210)
Current year receipts Current year receivables	12,000	-	12,000	-
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser	(82,210) - vice for the City	12,000 (100,312) - r of Johannesbu	- (82,210) - rg. This grant
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities Gauteng province pays an annual grant to EMS for providing a covers less than half of the cost of the vehicles, the manning of	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser	(82,210) - vice for the City	12,000 (100,312) - r of Johannesbu	- (82,210) - rg. This grant
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities Gauteng province pays an annual grant to EMS for providing a covers less than half of the cost of the vehicles, the manning of service by the City.	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser	(82,210) - vice for the City	12,000 (100,312) - r of Johannesbu	- (82,210) - rg. This grant
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities Gauteng province pays an annual grant to EMS for providing a covers less than half of the cost of the vehicles , the manning of service by the City. Equitable share and fuel levy Current year receipts	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser the vehicles and 4,189,748	(82,210) - vice for the City I all other costs 3,994,276	12,000 (100,312) - • of Johannesbu associated with 4,189,748	(82,210) - rg. This grant providing the 3,994,276
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities Gauteng province pays an annual grant to EMS for providing a covers less than half of the cost of the vehicles , the manning of service by the City. Equitable share and fuel levy Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser the vehicles and 4,189,748 (4,189,748) -	(82,210) - vice for the City I all other costs 3,994,276 (3,994,276) -	12,000 (100,312) - • of Johannesbu associated with 4,189,748	(82,210) - rg. This grant providing the 3,994,276
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities Gauteng province pays an annual grant to EMS for providing a covers less than half of the cost of the vehicles , the manning of service by the City. Equitable share and fuel levy Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser the vehicles and 4,189,748 (4,189,748) -	(82,210) - vice for the City I all other costs 3,994,276 (3,994,276) -	12,000 (100,312) - • of Johannesbu associated with 4,189,748	(82,210) - rg. This grant providing the 3,994,276
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities Gauteng province pays an annual grant to EMS for providing a covers less than half of the cost of the vehicles , the manning of service by the City. Equitable share and fuel levy Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities This grant is used to subsidise the provision of basic services to i Provincial health subsidies Current year receipts Current year receipts Current year receipts Current year receipts	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser the vehicles and 4,189,748 (4,189,748) - ndigent communi 66,540 38,000	(82,210) - vice for the City I all other costs 3,994,276 (3,994,276) - ty members. 84,030 -	12,000 (100,312) - • of Johannesbu associated with 4,189,748 (4,189,748) - -	(82,210) - rg. This grant providing the 3,994,276 (3,994,276) - -
Current year receipts Current year receivables Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities Gauteng province pays an annual grant to EMS for providing a covers less than half of the cost of the venicles , the manning of service by the City. Equitable share and fuel levy Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities This grant is used to subsidise the provision of basic services to i Provincial health subsidies Current year receipts	12,000 (100,312) - n ambulance ser the vehicles and 4,189,748 (4,189,748) - ndigent communi 66,540	(82,210) - vice for the City all other costs 3,994,276 (3,994,276) - ty members.	12,000 (100,312) - • of Johannesbu associated with 4,189,748 (4,189,748) -	(82,210) - rg. This grant providing the 3,994,276 (3,994,276) -

The Municipality renders health services on behalf of the Provincial Government and is refunded approximately 20% of total expenditure incurred. These funds have been used exclusively to fund clinic services. The conditions of the grant have been met. There was no delay or withholding of the subsidy.

•				
	GRO	UP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
33. REVERSAL OF IMPAIRMENT				
Other loans and borrowings		-	4,961	17,984
The reversal of impairment relates to repayments of loans in	mpaired for PIKITUP. F	Refer to note 29	for detail on the	loans.
34. OTHER REVENUE				
Advertising	39,705	31,333	-	-
BRT revenue	56,717	44,535	56,717	44,535
Brokerage revenue	14.480	11.519	14.480	11.519

Brokerage revenue	14,480	11,519	14,480	11,519
Bus fares	93,204	75,045	-	-
Commissions received	286,764	214,710	-	-
Cut off fees	12,771	9,772	-	-
Demand site management levy	192,397	191,538	-	-
Developer funded asset income	192,272	79,688	-	-
Hiring of halls	5,956	5,207	5,956	5,207
IPM Settlement discount	115,054	-	115,054	-
Internal recoveries - ME's	()	-	581,534	319,805
Other subsidies	5,245	28,490	5,245	28,490
Recovery of collection fees	11,248	166,066	11,248	166,066
Recovery of insurance	2,950	7,130	2,950	7,130
Recovery of legal costs	1,341	1,006	1,341	1,006
Sundry revenue	640,442	566,989	212,480	196,644
Training revenue	5,513	5,785	5,513	5,785
	• 1,676,059	1,438,813	1,012,518	786,187
	V			
0				
×				
0				
£.0	1			

	GRC)UP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
35. EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS				
Employee related costs : Salaries and wages	4,922,521	4,516,180	2,843,570	2,602,811
Employee related costs : Pension contributions	573,653	502,843	348,122	312,584
mployee related costs : Gratuities	32,069	16,920	19,704	15,269
mployee related costs : Medical aid contributions	385,953	340,083	222,191	198,938
Employee related costs : Skills development levy	33,466	31,691	33,466	31,691
ctuarial (gains)/losses lousing benefits and allowances	32,148 34,454	(98,291) 27,906	32,148 21,557	(98,291 19,596
Overtime payments	303,349	380,770	73,484	174,355
Bonus	443,657	401,444	243,411	222,581
ravel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and	332,657	314,166	245,865	229,591
ther allowances				
Less: Employee costs included in other expenses	6,215	34,797	23,659	46,004
	7,100,142	6,468,509	4,107,177	3,755,129
Remuneration of the City Manager)		
Annual Remuneration			2,589	1,816
Car Allowance	V		137	125
Performance Bonuses			143	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			39	176
	\sim	_	2,908	2,117
emuneration of the Executive Director : 2010	\mathbf{r}			
Annual Remuneration	0		391	1,455
Car Allowance			71	282
erformance Bonuses	V		213	
contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	*		7	25
		_	682	1,762
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Central Planni	ng Unit			
Annual Remuneration			625	1,008
Car Allowance			69	110
Performance Bonuses			124	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			60	139
minence			53	-
		_	931	1,257
emuneration of the Chief Information Officer				
nnual Remuneration			1,987	1,607
Car Allowance			202	285
erformance Bonuses			72	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			196	318
minence Allowance			104	297
cting Allowance			27	107
		_	2,588	2,614
Remuneration of the Director : External Relations				
Annual Remuneration			-	753
Car Allowance			-	47

	GR	OUP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
35. EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS (continued) Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			-	27
		-	-	827
		-		
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Comm, Marketing a	and Events			
Annual Remuneration			959	1,423
Car Allowance			116	96
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			26 131	1
		-	1,232	1,520
		\cap -	.,===	.,
Remuneration of the Executive Head : Joburg Risk Audit Ser	vices	ŇV		
Annual Remuneration	C		1,282	1,191
Car Allowance)	288	288
Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	\mathbf{O}		110 2	32
	ľ V	_	1,682	1,511
		-	1,002	1,011
Remuneration of the Director : Legal and Compliance				
Annual Remuneration	\mathbf{O}		835	815
Car Allowance	*		82	82
Performance Bonuses			165	
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			84 161	68 176
V		-	1,327	1,141
		-	-	-
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Finance				
Annual Remuneration			353	1,987
Car Allowance			12	72
Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			78 1	32
Eminence Allowance		_	-	2
		-	444	2,093
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Economic Develop	ment			
Annual Remuneration			678	1,525
Car Allowance			27	132
Performance Bonuses			112	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds		_	1	1
		-	818	1,658
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Revenue and Custo	omer Relations	Management		
Annual Remuneration			1,613	1,515
Car Allowance			101	101
Performance Bonuses			60	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds		_	2	1
		_	1,776	1,617

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GR	OUP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
35. EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS (continued)				
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Community De	velopment			
	relepinent			
Annual Remuneration Car Allowance			1,088 57	1,534 86
Performance Bonuses			164	
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			28	39
			1,337	1,659
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Development F	Planning and Urban	Development		
Annual Remuneration		n ,	977	916
Car Allowance		NV	97	9
Performance Bonuses			147 12	39
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Acting Allowance	C		-	
	\cap		1,233	1,193
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Environmental	Management			
Annual Remuneration	\sim		1,504	1,41
Car Allowance Performance Bonuses			108 262	108
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			2	1
	0		1,876	1,520
()		•		
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Infrastructure	and Services			
Annual Remuneration			1,526	1,430
Car Allowance			153	153
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			2	1 50
			1,681	1,584
Remuneration of the Executive Director . Housing				
Annual Remuneration			724	675
Car Allowance			128	128
Performance Bonuses			15	0
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Acting Allowance			12	3 14
с С			879	98:
Remuneration of the Chief of Police				
Annual Remuneration			1,083	1,01
Car Allowance			1,083	102
Performance Bonuses			179	
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			163	153
Eminence Allowance			220	220
			1,747	1,491

Remuneration of the Executive Head : Emergency Management Services

	GR	OUP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
35. EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS (continued)				
Annual Remuneration			1,030	1,160
Car Allowance			27	109
Performance Bonuses			174	
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Eminence Allowance			33 18	1 220
			1,282	1,490
			1,202	1,430
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Transportation				
Annual Remuneration			1,508	1,418
Car Allowance		$\mathbf{\cap}$	73	73
Performance Bonuses			184	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds		NY .	228	214
	C		1,993	1,705
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Health	\sim	5		
Annual Remuneration	· V		1,562	1,466
Car Allowance	\frown		108	108
Performance Bonuses	U)		325	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	\sim		2	1
	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		1,997	1,575
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Corporate Servi	ces			
Annual Remuneration			1,697	1,393
Car Allowance			158	144
Performance Bonuses			107	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			30	39
\sim			1,992	1,576
Remuneration of the Director : Office of the City Manager				
Annual Remuneration			341	
Annual Remuneration Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			15	-
			356	-
Remuneration of the Manager Private Office of the Execut	ive Mayor			
Annual Demunoration			580	729
AUUUALKEUUUDEIAUOU				
Annual Remuneration Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			89	111
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Eminence			89 125	111 167

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	JP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
36. REMUNERATION OF COUNCILLORS				
Executive Mayor	995	964	995	964
Mayoral Committee Members	8,229	7,955	8,229	7,955
Speaker	829	771	829	771
Councillors	79,414	63,374	79,414	63,374
Councillors' pension contribution	8,824	7,582	8,824	7,582
	98,291	80,646	98,291	80,646
Remuneration of the Executive Mayor - Parks Tau				
Annual Remuneration		$\mathbf{\wedge}$	759	60
Car Allowance			128	11
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	1		48	10
Cell Allowance			-	3
	C		935	84
New appointment 1 June 2011.				
Remuneration of the Executive Mayor - Amos Masondo				
Annual Remuneration	\sim		-	685
Car Allowance			-	170
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			-	111
Cell Allowance	*		-	36
0	0	_	-	1,002
Contract was terminated on the 31 May 2011.				

In-kind benefits

The Executive Mayor, Speaker and Mayoral Committee Members are employed on a full-time basis by the Council.

Each is provided with an office and secretarial support at the cost of the Council.

The Executive Mayor and Speaker have use of a Council owned vehicle for official duties.

The Executive Mayor has four full-time bodyguards. The Speaker has two full-time bodyguards.

37. DEPRECIATION AND AMORTISATION

Property, plant and equipment Investment property Intangible assets	1,409,840 66,110 184,281	1,214,147 63,389 251,098	949,159 65,838 147,741	754,234 63,113 210,218
	1,660,231	1,528,634	1,162,738	1,027,565
38. IMPAIRMENT LOSSES				
Impairments Property, plant and equipment	150.492	42.783	144.319	41.872
Intangible assets	377	42,705	2	41,072
Investments in Municipal Entities	-	-	126,245	-
Loans to Municipal Entities	-	-	122,165	-
	150,869	42,789	392,731	41,872

	GROU	JP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
39. ALLOWANCE FOR IMPAIRMENT OF CURRENT RECEIV	/ABLES			
Impairments Allowance - Trade and other receivables Allowance - Consumer debtors Impairment loss - Trade and other receivables Impairment loss - Consumer debtors Reversal of allowance - Consumer debtors	80 260,070 2,148,272 16,907 (182,884) (114,150)	45,366 43,056 2,873,582 (177,727) (2,617) (1,290)	80 4,487 388,738 14,778 - -	45,330 15,709 1,206,017 1,619
	2,128,295	2,780,370	408,083	1,268,67
40. BULK PURCHASES				
Electricity Water Sewer purification	7,771,794 2,454,783 20,565 10,247,142	6,024,919 2,115,397 22,105 8,162,421	-	-
41. CONTRACTED SERVICES)		
Information Technology Services Fleet Services Operating Leases Specialist Services Other Contractors	238,241 550,000 215,936 863,350 486,991 2,354,518	320,535 831,298 245,202 786,418 568,954 2,752,407	238,211 57,551 179,834 816,122 16,925 1,308,643	320,446 46,387 214,971 778,035 18,398 1,378,237
Refer to note 45 for future operating lease commitments				

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	JP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
42. GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES PAID				
Grants paid to ME's				
Johannesburg City Parks	-	-	457,182	469,596
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd	-	-	24,110	21,637
Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd	-	-	293,919	291,841
Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd	-	-	445,300	439,693
Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd	-	-	17,764	16,794
Johannesburg Tourism Company	-	-	39,917	31,616
Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd	-	-	51,775	45,293
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	1,076,356	925,110
Roodepoort City Theatre	-	-	9,853	9,375
The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd The Johannesburg Zoo	-		26,818 42,793	25,000 40,370
			2,485,787	2,316,325
Other subsidies	C		_,,	_;• • • ;• _ •
Grant paid : Sporting Organisations (Marks Park Sports Club)	1,147	1,112	1,147	1,112
Grant paid : Other	18,868	19,004	18,868	19,004
Grant paid : Housing top structures	112,942	91,677	112,942	91,677
	132,957	111,793	132,957	111,793
	132,957	111,793	2,618,744	2,428,118

Grants paid to MEs are utilised to fund capital and operational expenditure.

The grants paid are based on the approved operating and capital budgeted amounts as approved by Council.

O'N'

	GROU	GROUP		CORE	
igures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
3. GENERAL EXPENSES					
dvertising	45,052	33,106	8,759	10,40	
uditors remuneration	38,502	30,951	19,574	11,77	
ank charges	66,643	54,760	56,402	47,45	
illing and meter reading charges	51,247	52,833	-		
leaning	24,112	21,549	-		
ommission paid	38,544	23,028	-		
omputer expenses	22,863	32,395	-		
onferences and seminars	10,901	20,262	5,048	11,8	
onsulting and professional fees	55,954	67,261	49,731	61,4	
onsumables	100,815	97,286	14,273	15,2	
bt collection	171,090	218,776	170,949	217,0	
ee electricity	36,456	48,782	33,230	32,4	
e	9,756	3,480	8,477	5,5	
rticulture	8,882	23,329	-	,	
stel charges	2,357	-	-		
urance	140,424	188,770	138,454	154,3	
ase rentals on operating lease	416,638	159,427	137,503	154,1	
- charges		-	318,101	211,3	
rketing	47,839	70,246	27,231	25,4	
tor vehicle expenses	124,220	86,568		_0,	
ier expenses	472,413	398,097	259,378	198,6	
cement fees	1,913	1,792	200,010	100,0	
st retirement expenses	95,010	91,691	95,010	91,6	
nting and stationery	89,041	89,088	75,838	73,1	
ductions	7,741	8,431	75,050	73,1	
vision for contingent liability	10,000	0,401	10,000		
ad maintenance	163,608	171,938	10,000		
curity (Guarding of municipal property)	332,631	318,410	- 179,684	172,8	
tware expenses	32,027	33,525	25,623	19,9	
ff welfare	7,283	7,690	25,025	1,0	
oscriptions and membership fees	12,635	16,558	20 9,408	13,1	
ephone and fax	99,067	112,202	9,408 43,603	46,3	
	-	,			
sining	33,930 19,922	40,641	23,386 5,875	27,4	
		18,559		3,5	
avel - overseas	6,589	14,087	5,707	9,2 227 0	
ities	627,734	281,365	486,559	337,0	
	3,423,839	2,836,883	2,207,831	1,952,7	

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	JP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
44. CASH GENERATED FROM OPERATIONS				
Surplus	4,814,661	2,240,916	1,606,019	733,800
Adjustments for:	4 000 004		4 400 700	
Depreciation and amortisation	1,660,231	1,528,634	1,162,738	1,027,565
Gain (loss) on sale of assets and liabilities	48,898	(15,283)	54,957	(6,429)
Gain/(loss) on sale of non-current assets and disposal	(300)	-	(300)	-
groups	(00,000)	40.407		
Taxation paid	(29,306)	18,197	-	-
Interest earned - outstanding debtors	(142,744)	(193,081)	(78,101)	(77,206)
Impairment of me loans	-	-	(4,962)	(17,984)
Impairment deficit	150,869	42,789	144,321	41,872
Debt impairment	2,128,295	2,780,370	408,083	1,268,675
Deferred income	528,639	98,550	536,229	64,827
Equity share in associate	111	(662)	-	-
Leasehold property received	(12,426)	(100 500)	171,547	55,080
Borrowings	(13,426)	(122,500)	-	45.020
Provisions Post retirement benefits	310,036	(851) 33.838	79,799	45,939
Investment movement	185,741	/	20,500 22,341	(25,820)
Changes in working capital:	(103,904)	(568,585)	22,341	(568,585)
Inventories	10,348	(36,598)	(2,760)	(70,977)
Trade and other receivables	166,611	(30,398) 119,424	1,242,608	(70,977)
Consumer debtors	(3,731,863)	(4,071,178)	(977,019)	(905,722)
Trade and other payables	(757,273)	(4,071,178) 724,439	(1,061,770)	(905,722)
VAT	166,713	239,991	37,388	(66,874)
	◆ 157,844			
Obligations arising from conditional grants and receipts		(69,617)	169,684	(61,564)
C	5,550,181	2,748,793	3,531,302	1,876,557
	V			
\sim				
s.0.				
\sim				
$\langle \rangle$				
Ÿ				

94

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	JP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
45. COMMITMENTS				
Commitments in respect of capital expenditure:				
Authorised and not yet contracted for Infrastucture	2,575,701	2,004,024	1,042,866	643,685
Community	103,600	69,370	100,200	29,170
Other	290,916	180,282	165,055	101,810
Heritage	15,100	1,500	15,100	1,500
	2,985,317	2,255,176	1,323,221	776,165
Authorised and contracted for				
Infrastructure	1,058,998	1,382,672	559,506	949,758
Community	169,152	17,000	28,558	17,000
OtherHeritage	48,100	47,351 15,800	29,600	42,601 15,800
Housing development fund	-	4,200	-	4,200
	1,276,250	1,467,023	617,664	1,029,359
	4,261,567	3,722,199	1,940,885	1,805,524
	0,			
This expenditure will be financed from: External Loans	1,314,000	1,000,000	274,300	128,600
Capital Replacement Reserve	22,642	20,760	11,142	14,260
Government Grants	2,628,616	2,701,439	1,650,443	1,662,664
Internal cash	291,309	-	-	-
District Council Grants	5,000	-	5,000	-
· V	4,261,567	3,722,199	1,940,885	1,805,524
Operating leases - as lessee (Fleet)				
Minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	447,757	676,023	-	155,639
- in second to fifth year inclusive - later than five years	1,513,954 1,441,973	1,468,635 1,807,883	-	-
	3,403,684	3,952,541		155,639
\sim	3,403,004	3,332,341		100,000
Operating leases – as lessee (Buildings)				
Minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	97,003	89,169	63,303	62,246
 in second to fifth year inclusive later than five years 	208,975 95,855	211,383 94,400	68,467 2,608	97,629 4,427
	401,833	394,952	134,378	164,302
Operating leases – as lessee (Office equipment)				
Minimum lease payments due - within one year	40	40	_	_
- in second to fifth year inclusive	30	70	-	-
-	70	110	-	-
				_

Operating leases – as lessor (income)

Minimum lease payments due

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand	GROU	JP (RE
	2012	2011	2012	2011
45. COMMITMENTS (continued)				
- within one year	8,013	5,677	-	-
 in second to fifth year inclusive 	7,443	4,430	-	-
- later than five years	2,897	3,120	-	-
	18,353	13,227	-	-

Bit with a second secon

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011		

46. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

GROUP

Johannesburg Roads Agency (Proprietary) Limited

These are legal claims that have arisen in the normal course of business and represent the possible amounts that could be awarded should the claims succeed against the entity. No provision has been made as management believes the claims will not succeed. The amounts have been based on attorneys' best estimates of the possible amount payable and are subject to interest at 15.5% from commencement date of the litigation. Refer to cases below:

- Pipe Jack v JRA (Claim for unpaid invoice) Amount involved R 213,067.00
- Hetta Eiendoms Bpk v JRA (Claim for specific performance / Alleged damage to property due to construction). Amount involved R492,473.77.
- Nortje v JRA (Labour court matter) Amount involved R450,000.00. Midnight Moon Trading (Pty) Ltd (Dispute on quality of work). Amount Involved R3,870,167.00. Superway (Pty) Ltd vs. JRA (Dispute on quality of work). Amount involved R974,431.00.
- A Britz vs. JRA (Interdict application to stop JRA from entering the applicant private property). Amount involved R100,000.00.
- Manong and Ass v JRA (Equality Court Matter) Amount involved R4,000,000.00.

The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Proprietary) Limited

The entity is involved in four (4) litigation matters and disputes relating to the dismissal of former employees. One (1) of these cases have been lodged with the bargaining council, one (1) case with CCMA, while one (1) case has been lodged with the Labour court and one (1) with the Labour Appeal court. All four of the cases have been brought forward from the prior year. The estimated exposure is unknown at this stage. The directors are of the opinion that the claims can be successfully resisted by the company.

٠

There are several potential claims and disputes against the entity, the outcome of which cannot at present be foreseen or reliably measured. The claims and disputes arise due to termination of contracts due to poor performance, possible noncompliance with procurement and SCM policies and possible fraud within the entity and relates to a number of suppliers or contractors.

There are also several uncertainties relating to the claims and disputes resulting from the litigation process which includes inter alia determination of possible value received and non-compliance with procurement and SCM policies.

Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd

There are several labour disputes that exist between Metrobus and ex-employees. Should the disputes be settled in favour of the former employees, management estimates Metrobus could incur or be liable for claims of backpay up to R657,000.00. At this moment the disputes are still uncertain.

Metrobus has been notified of a claim by a service provider relating to computer expenses over a number of years. Should this claim be settled in favour of the service provider, Metrobus will be liable for claims up to R900,000.00. An official invoice for this claim has not been received.

City Power (Pty) Ltd

Litigation is in process against the municipal entity by a customer, whereby the customer demands the municipal Entity to repay R1,062,472.00 paid due to the alleged irregular billing. The matter has been postponed for trial pending the outcome of R&CRM enquiry.

The municipal entity has received audit findings from South African Revenue Services on its income tax submissions for the years 2004 to 2007. South African Revenue Services is disputing the 100% bad debt deduction that the municipal entity has claimed as a deduction in its income tax returns. The matter is on-going and the quantum of the claim is still to be determined.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011		

46. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (continued)

City Parks (Pty) Ltd

There is a possible claim against the company from a number of employees with regard to the payment of accumulated sick leave.

Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd

In 2005, the JDA entered into a contract for the construction of Baragwanath Public Transport Facility, the supplier had FIGB provide a financial guarantee for the contract. On 13 February 2006, the JDA cancelled the contract because the supplier had defaulted. The JDA then turned to the FIGB demanding an amount of R1,400,000.00.

The matter was taken to court, and then judgement was granted in favour of the JDA. In August 2007, FIGB appealed and lost the appeal. The court issued a writ of execution for the judgement of debt but the Sheriff returned the writ with an explanation that she could not find any FIGB assets to meet the judgement. JDA has investigated the location of FIGB assets but was unsuccessful and is now considering abandoning the matter. Therefore, the chances of recovering the R1,400,000.00 are non-existent at this stage. Legal confirmation has subsequently confirmed that the amount of this claim is R1,754,279.00 plus interest at a rate of 15.5% per annum from 9 March 2006 to date of payment plus costs. Progress made in 2012 - Due to the improbability of ever recovering on the writ of execution the Accounting Officer has resolved to abandon the writ.

The JDA has entered into legal proceedings regarding the relocation of illegal occupants in various buildings around the Bertrams Priority Block. Eviction proceedings have been instituted in the South Gauteng High Court. Negotiations are underway with illegal occupants to settle the matter out of court. Progress made in 2012 - Some of the illegal occupants have agreed to be reallocated to properties operated by the Johannesburg Social Housing Company. The few that would be left because of inability to meet monthly rental payments will be accommodated by the Department of Housing.

The JDA was served with a summons from Leaf Security (Pty) Ltd for services rendered for the period of December 2010 to January 2011. The JDA had contracted Leaf Security for security services at a construction site in Bertrams. The JDA has subsequently filed an intention to defend the matter at the Magistrates Court.

Progress made in 2012 - Negotiations to settle the matter out of court are at an advanced stage and will be finalised by end of October 2012.

On the 12 July 2011, the Johannesburg Development Agency (SOC) Ltd, received a summons from an applicant, Gillian Anne Frost, claiming compensation for bodily injuries she sustained at one of the JDA's development sites. In the case of an adverse finding against the JDA, the JDA will be liabile for an amount of R405,108.00. The City of Johannesburg has filed its intention to defend the matter on behalf of all the cited respondents.

Progress made in 2012 - The matter has not advanced since the City's filing of their legal papers.

The JDA has initiated arbitration proceedings with one of its contractors to determine whether a claim for extension of time rejected by the JDA is reasonable. In the event, that the finding is against the JDA, the JDA will have to pay the contractor an estimated amount of R1,500,000.00 (including costs and disbursements).

Progress made in 2012 - The matter was determined and an agreement was concluded with contractor.

Letters of demand received in the 2010/11 financial year and the intentions of certain parties to sue against the JDA that was received included:

Total South Africa (Pty) Limited for loss of income as a result of JDA BRT construction works - R275,034.29. The matter is still being negotiated. Progress made in 2012 - The matter has no progress and no court action initiated. EasiHold (Pty) Ltd trading as Easipark for parking management services at 1 and 2 Central Place - R498,526.02. Blue IQ Holdings (SOC) Limited, on whose behalf Easipark provided services, settled the amount in full. Progress made in 2012 - Matter has not moved.

The City of Johannesburg and the JDA were served with a summons by Tembu Convenience Centre CC, trading as a convenience store and Engen Fuel dealership, for loss of income amounting to more than R17,000,000.00, as a result of BRT construction works. The City has filed its papers defending the matter in the South Gauteng High Court. We are still awaiting trial dates.

Pikitup (Pty) Ltd

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011		

46. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (continued)

Freehold land, buildings and servitudes purchased from The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality in terms of the sale of business agreement, have not as yet been transferred into the name of Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Ltd. Transfer duties might be payable by the company on the transfer of property. It is not possible to estimate an expected amount.

The company has a contingent liability to the value of R54,000,000.00 in respect of disputed claims with two of its services providers.

CORE

Uninsured claims & litigations

Five Plaintiffs brought action against the Council in the 1990's, arising from major road and other construction works at the Empire Interchange, around the suburbs of Braamfontein, Milpark, Cottesloe, Melville, Parktown West, Emmarentia and Richmond. They allegedly suffered damages as a result of the Council having closed the road. (This claim was originally for R4,146,583.00 the majority of which was for loss of earnings). The approximate amount that the plaintiff could now claim would be in the order of approximately R500,000.00.

A Court action emanating from the alleged breach of contract of lease by the City for an amount of R15,000,000.00.

Claim for damages for R10,000,000.00 in respect of relocation of homeless people. The Plaintiff sued the City allegedly because the City relocated homeless people onto the Defendant's property without his consent, making it impossible for him to develop the property.

Claim for damages against the COJ amounting to R11,668,746.00. The claim is based on an alleged breach of contract in that the City has allegedly failed to use its "best andeavors" to have the property transferred to the plaintiff.

Claim for R50,000,000.00 for services rendered in terms of contract for the provision of red light violation prosecution services.

Claim for at least R39,000,000.00 plus legal costs in respect of monies allegedly owing by the City of Johannesburg to a previous service provider for IT services rendered.

The Plaintiff instituted action against the City for termination of contract. It sought relief twofold, to uphold the contract, alternatively damages in the sum of R33,150,639.00.

The City appointed a Service Provider (JV) to construct 1 000 low cost RDP houses, the JV subsequently ceded its rights and obligations in terms of the agreement to another service provider. The latter only concluded 385 foundations. Various indulgences were granted to JV to comply with its obligations in terms of the agreement. Service provider then referred a dispute to arbitration in terms of the JBCC agreement claiming the sum of R29,406,592.00. The City has defended the claim, pleadings have been closed and the arbitration proceeding has been set down for hearing on 29 October 2012.

Claim in Labour Court against the City to declare Section 197 of the Labour Relations Act applicable to the City in respect of employees that were transferred from the City to the approved fleet outsourced service provider in terms of a previous tender. The matter went to arbitration in May 2012. The arbitrator ruled that the employees must transfer back to the City until the new service providers are appointed. The cost per month is approximately R3,000,000.00, which costs are incurred from March 2012.

This is an application for court order reviewing and setting aside the annual budget for the year 2009/2010 as adopted by Council on 21 May 2009 that the assessment rate tarrif be declared null and void; reviewing and setting aside the decision of Council to increase the rates ratios applicable to business, industrial and commercial property from 3:1 to 3.5:1 and that the City failed to comply with prescribed legislative procedures and principles of legality on 21 May 2009 when deciding to increase the ratios applicable to business, industrial property from 3:1 to 3.5:1; Judgement was granted in favour of the City including the cost of 2 Counsel. The plaintiff has now brought an application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal has been granted. The matter is being heard on the 30th August 2012.

The owner of 5 properties has placed an objection before the Valuation Appeal Board. The objection to the supplementary valuation of five properties owned by the abovementioned objector and the City's Supplementary Valuation Roll for the period 1 September 2009 to 31 March 2010 which has become known as a Supplementary Valuation Roll No. 4. The Objector is also contending that a portion if not the whole of Supplementary Valuation Roll No. 4 is invalid.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011		

46. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (continued)

The City of Johannesburg is appealing the judgement handed down on 17 May 2012. A dispute which centers around the method COJ uses in charging rates on properties catergories as multiple purpose properties.

An application by Labour for certain employees to remain in the employ of the City upon expiry of their fixed term contracts of employment, pending outcome of the application in the Labour Court under case no. J123/06. The essence of the dispute being that employees that were converted from permanent to fixed term employment must be re-converted back to permanency.



ures in Rand thousand	GROU	Г	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
7. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS				
Statement of financial position				
CJMM - Trade and other receivables	63,402	72,024	63,402	72,024
CJMM - VAT receivable	7,325	6,874	7,325	6,874
CJMM - Consumer debtors	(66,151)	(62,824)	(66,151)	(62,82
CJMM - Investment property	(236,973)	(179,734)	(236,973)	(179,734
CJMM - Property, plant and equipment	(58,742)	(30,013)	(58,742)	(30,01
CJMM - Other financial assets	1,754	-	1,754	
CJMM - Trade and other payables	164,472	230,966	164,472	230,96
CJMM - Obligations arising from conditional grants and	219	-	219	
eceipts				
CJMM - Deferred income	9,560	-	9,560	
CJMM - Consumer deposits	(209,899)	(220,894)	(209,899)	(220,89
CJMM - Accumulated surplus	375,029 🍗	211,784	375,029	211,78
RA - Property, plant and equipment	(2,334)	69	-	
RA - Trade and other payables	9,950	(1,649)	-	
RA - Trade and other receivables	(5,503)	-	-	
RA - Cash and cash equivalents	5,503	-	-	
CITYPARKS - Property, plant and equipment	(169)	-	-	
CITYPARKS - Trade and other receivables	(5)	-	-	
CIVICTHEATRE - Deferred tax	410	1,088	-	
IBUS - Cash and cash equivalents	····	449	-	
/IBUS - Inventories	(736)	-	-	
/IBUS - Property, plant and equipment	(1,137)	-	-	
IBUS - Assets held for sale	(446)	-	-	
IBUS - Retirement benefit obligation	(1,652)	-	-	
IBUS - Trade and other payables	(1,314)	-	-	
IBUS - Insurance fund	241	-	-	
PIKITUP - Property, plant and equipment	(2,199)	(3,628)	-	
PIKITUP - Investment in associates	(876)	(678)	-	
PIKITUP - Revaluation reserve	1,164	678	-	
PIKITUP - Trade and other receivables	(440)	(14)	-	
PIKITUP - Trade and other payables	-	902	-	
VATER - Trade and other receivables	(623)	1,711	-	
VATER - Retirement benefit obligation	2,802	7,746	-	
ZOO - Trade and other payables	460	-	-	
	52,692	34,857	49,996	28,18

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	P	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)				
Statement of financial performance				
CJMM - Property rates	81,331	81,000	81,331	81,000
CJMM - Service charges	(17,579)	4,625	(17,579)	4,625
CJMM - Interest received	(9,778)	-	(9,778)	-
CJMM - Other revenue	3,437	-	3,437	-
CJMM - Employee related costs	44,368	(132,582)	44,368	(132,582)
CJMM - Depreciation and amortisation	(85,968)	(33,956)	(85,968)	(33,956)
CJMM - Finance costs	(71,002)	(85,543)	(71,002)	(85,543)
CJMM - Allowance for impairment of current	(5,186)	-	(5,186)	-
receivables				
CJMM - Repairs and maintenance	12,199		12,199	-
CJMM - Contracted services	28,089	(5,771)	28,089	(5,771)
CJMM - General Expenses	(29,907)	144,044	(29,907)	144,044
CITYPARKS - General expenses	169	-	-	-
CITYPARKS - Revenue	5	-	-	-
CIVICTHEATRE - Taxation	(10)	(1,088)	-	-
JRA - Contractors general	(9,950)	1,444	-	-
JRA - Employee costs		137	-	-
JRA - Property, plant and equipment	2,334	-	-	-
MBUS - Revenue	736	(449)	-	-
MBUS - Loss on disposal of assets MBUS - Depreciation	1,583	-	-	-
MBUS - Depreciation MBUS - Pension fund contributions	1,652	-	-	-
MBUS - General expenses	1,314	-	-	-
MBUS - Insurance expense	(241)	-	-	-
PIKITUP - Revenue	440	14	-	-
PIKITUP - Expenditure	440	(903)	_	_
PIKITUP - Depreciation	2,199	3,628	-	
PIKITUP - loss from equity accounted investment	(288)	5,020	_	
WATER - Revenue from exchnage transactions	623	(1,711)	-	-
WATER - General expenses	(2,802)	(7,746)	_	_
ZOO - Employee costs	(435)	(1,1+0)	-	-
ZOO - General expenses	(400)	-	-	-
	(52,692)	(34,857)	(49,996)	(28,183)

The correction of the error(s) results in the restatement of comparative figures as follows - GROUP - 2012

Johannesburg Roads Agency (Proprietary) Limited

The adjustments mentioned below is based on services paid for in the current financial year but not accrued: Kwagga Holdings amounting to R850 167.47 for the year 2010/11, Impofana Construction and Projects amounting to R1 568 033.92. Information Decision and Systems was for IT related services amounting to R72 000.00. Kpg Surfacing /Ann Rose Joint Venture for road construction & maintenance was for R908 026.06. The raising of COJ Revenue and CRM call centre personnel which was not accrued for in prior year. amounting to R6 551. 999.69

The adjustment mentioned below is based on accumulated depreciation adjustment: Depreciation adjustment relates to fully depreciated assets written back in terms of GRAP 17 paragraph 66 amounting to R2 334 330.00

JRA bank account managed by JPC:

The raising of JPC portfolio bank account that was not consolidated in prior year amounting to R5 502 807.00

Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services SOC Limited

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011		

47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

The correction of the error(s) results in the restatement of comparative figures as follows - 2011:

Spare Parts (R735 475)

Management discovered that the spare parts disclosed as part of property, plant and equipment, no-longer met the recognition criteria as set out in GRAP 17 and was therefore disposed in the prior period, which led to a decrease in property, plant and equipment and an increase in loss on disposals of assets.

Office equipment (R437,812 & R489,952)

All office equipment which was held under finance lease had not previously been recognised as required by GRAP 17. These assets have now correctly been recognised which led to an increase in property, plant and equipment and a increase in depreciation.

Specialised vehicles (R18,907,966 & R17,823,301 & R446 031)

All specialised vehicles had not previously been recognised as required by GRAP 17. These assets have now correctly been recognised which led to an increase in property, plant and equipment and a increase in depreciation.

Pension fund (R1,651,699)

An accrual for pension fund payouts was not raised in the prior year. This led to an increase in trade and other payables and a increase in general expenses.

Inventory Accrual (R1,314,516)

Inventory costs had not correctly been allocated to the statement of financial performance in the prior period. This led to a increase in trade payables and a increase in general expenses.

Insurance fund (R240,484)

The insurance fund expense was overstated in the prior period. The error has been corrected and led to a increase in insurance assets and a decrease in insurance expense

The correction of the error(s) results in the restatement of comparative figures as follows - 2010:

Cash and cash equivalents (R449,431)

A cash receipt was incorrectly processed twice during the 2010 financial year.

Johannesburg Water (Proprietary) Limited

Revenue from non-exchange transactions:

During the current financial year, revenue adjustments amounting to R1,088 were performed that related to prior periods. These adjustments relate primarily to reversals of water and sewer charges charged during the previous financial years. These adjustments have now been reflected as a prior year adjustment resulting in the comparatives being restated.

Retirement benefit obligation:

Information provided to the actuary was inadvertently not updated in the previous financial year by the actuary. The situation was corrected in the current year where the prior periods have been adjusted by a net amount of R10,548.

Johannesburg City Parks NPC

Purchased equipment recorded as lease

The Johannesburg Zoo NPC

Amount of R435 167 relates to understated payroll related expense and liability in the 2010/2011 period.

Amount of R25 042 relates to an understated expense and liability in the 2010/2011 period.

Amount of R439 relates to understated income and VAT receivable in the 2010/2011 period.

Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011		

47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

The useful life of certain Property, plant and equipment were not estimated correctly as some of the assets were still in use although they were fully depreciated at the end of June 2009. This translates to an overstatement of the accumulated depreciation amount disclosed during 2010 and 2011 financial year.

Additionally the equity investment in Friedshelf was mistated as a results of differences between the draft annual financial statements and the audited annual financial statements which only became available after the finalisation of the audit of 2011 financial year.

Joburg Theatre (SOC) Limited

During the 2010/11 financial year the accounting policy of Property Plant and Equipment was changed from revaluation to the cost method. The carrying value of deferred tax balance was incorrectly reflected by an amount of 10,233. The effect of this deferred tax balance is diclosed by an adjustment to the opening accumulated surplus as at 01 June 2011

The correction of the error(s) results in the restatement of comparative figures as follows - GROUP - 2011

The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Proprietary) Limited

Rental income was raised in error in 2008 and was reversed. Retrospective electricity for RSA was billed in 2008. The factor used was found to be incorrect and an adjustment was made to reduce the charge.

In 2006 the purchase order accrual account was corrected to align the general ledger to the module. In 2009 a detailed reconciliation was performed and all integrity issues resolved leaving the 2006 correction redundant.

A purchase order accrual was raised for security costs for the month of May 2008. The contract expired prior to the financial year end and the purchase order was reversed.

Deposits made in 2006 were not allocated, and subsequent proof has now been recognised.

Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Proprietary) Limited

Finance lease liabilities where recalculated after it was found that the incorrect method was used. This resulted in a prior period error.

During 2009 JOSHCO discovered that items which had original cost prices of less than R600 was capitalised as Property, Plant and Equipment. These items should have been written of in full in the year of purchase in terms of the JOSHCO accounting policy. Accelerated depreciation was accounted for these items in order to fairly reflect the carrying value of Property, Plant and Equipment.

The cost of assets which were recognised at an original cost of R1 initially was re-assessed during the year to the current market price of these items and discounted to the purchase date at the average inflation rate. The accumulated depreciation was recognised in order to reflect these assets at their most appropriate carrying values.

Leasehold improvements recognised in JOSHCO as a result of the transfer of leasehold property from CJMM.

Johannesburg Roads Agency (Proprietary) Limited

Property, plant and equipment:

The correction of the prior period relates to the alignment of the fixed assets register to the general ledger.

Pikitup Johannesburg (Proprietary) Limited

The correction of the prior period relates to the alignment of the fixed assets register to the general ledger.

City of Joburg Property Company (Proprietary) Limited

This is as a result of deferred tax being incorrectly reflected as a liability of R218,581 instead of an asset.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011		

47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

Johannesburg Water (Proprietary) Limited

Revenue:

During the current financial year, adjustments amounting to R48,141 million were performed. The adjustment relates to the reversal of water and sewer charges billed during the previous financial year. These adjustments have now been reflected as prior year adjustments, resulting in the comparatives being restated.

Deferred income:

There was a release from deferred income of R63,096 million relating to Developer funded networks. The release was previously based on the depreciation charged over the useful life of the asset. IFRIC 18 however requires that assets acquired under these circumstances be recognised as revenue in full on transfer of the assets from the developer. Accordingly, the current year transfers from the developer are recognised as required by IFRIC 18 and the accumulation treated as a prior year adjustment. The financial impact of the revised treatment in the current year is a credit of R74,000 million to other income.

Fair value adjustments:

The fair value adjustments on debtors and creditors that was previously performed was based on a model that contained inaccurate assumptions. The model has been revised as part of the recommendation and the adjustments subsequently effected resulting in the prior period adjustment listed below for debtors and creditors.

Retirement benefit obligation:

During the current financial year, adjustments amounting to R5,559 million (2008: R -) were performed. The adjustment relates to additional pensioner members allocated by the City of Johannesburg to the company. This is in respect of post retirement medical aid amounting to R4,015 million, as well as additional allocations of benefits paid against the notional accounts held in the prior year amounting to R1,544 million. These adjustments have now been reflected as prior year adjustments, resulting in the comparatives being restated.

Joburg Theatre (SOC) Limited

During the 2010/11 financial year the accounting policy of Property Plant and Equipment was changed from revaluation to the cost method. The carrying value of deferred tax balance was incorrectly reflected by an amount of 1,087,501. The effect of this deferred tax balance is diclosed by an adjustment to the opening accumulated surplus as at 01 June 2010



Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

The correction of the error(s) results in the restatement of comparative figures as follows - CORE - 2012

Interest paid R 5,600 million Adjustment on CoJ 05 Bond interest accrued

Sundry Creditors R 4,100 million Correction of Capex Payments

Propcom Capital Creditors R 10,672 million Adjsuting for building costs

Sundry Creditors R 1,342 million Adjusting JRA creditors on electricity installation

Sundry Creditors - R 0,184 million Adjusting JRA creditors on roads and pathways

Propcom Capital Creditors R1,227 million Adjusting for consultation fees

Sundry Creditors R 0,004 million Adjusting for land clearing

Water Intercompany R0,025 million Adjusting for publicity charges

JRA Intercompany R 0,061 million Adjusting for traffic signs

Sundry Creditors R 0,615 million Adjusting for transportation costs

Sundry Creditors R 0,006 million Adjusting contract hire on casual workers

Sundry Creditors R 0,003 million Adjusting for jobbings

Sundry Creditors R 0,002 million Adjusting for subscription fees

Sundry Creditors R 0,077 million Adjusting for consultation fees

Sundry Creditors R 0,054 million Adjusting for advertising costs

Sundry Creditors R 0,002 million Adjusting for publicity costs

Interest expense R 0,002 million Adjusting for interest on contracted cellphones

Assets Under Construction R 0,010 million Adjusting for over provision of accrual

Sundry Revenue R 3,489 million Adjusting for sundry creditor raised

Z.	, 0, 0,	2	

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC			CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

Property rates R 81,331 million Adjusting for the correction of the revenue accrual

Service charges R 17,579 million Adjusting for the correction of the revenue accrual

Depreciation and amortisation R 85,968 million Adjusting for depreciation of investment property and property, plant and equipment

Allowance for impairment of current receivables R 5,186 million Adjusting for correction of the revenue accrual

ex ?

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

47. PRIOR PERIOD ERRORS (continued)

The correction of the error(s) results in the restatement of comparative figures as follows - CORE - 2011

Other revenue R 0,697 million Repayment from staff debtors and sundry debtors.

Employee costs (R 13,294 million) Adjustment of the Pension Fund to cater for prior year contributions.

Lease R 0,046 million Payments, interest and depreciation.

Depreciation adjustment R6,246 million Adjustment of depreciation on liabrary books

Other expenses (R 0,619 million) VAT adjustments as per SARS.

Interest paid (R 0,939 million) Adjustment of the Pension Fund to cater for prior year interest.

Property Rates R 48,653 million Adjustment of property rates revenue relating to errors in previous financial years.

Interest earned R 2,463 million Adjustment of the bond tap premium and discount account, as well as interest in respect of the Carnegie grant.

Kelvin interest R 2,719 million Adjustment of interest charged.

Contracted services R 9,396 million VAT incorrectly claimed on expenditure.

Service charges R 25,703 million Adjustment of service charges revenue relating to errors in previous financial years.

Grants and subsidies paid (R 0,119 million) VAT claimed on top structures.

General expenses R 0,277 million VAT incorrectly claimed on operating expenses.

Contracted services R 4,728 million VAT incorrectly claimed on expenditure.

General expenses R 8,639 million VAT incorrectly claimed on operating expenses.

Depreciation adjustment R 1,531 million Adjustment of depreciation on Investment Property

Property rates R 81,000 million Adjusting for the correction of the revenue accrual

Service charges R 4,625 million Adjusting for the correction of the revenue accrual

Depreciation and amortisation R 33,956 million Adjusting for depreciation of investment property and property, plant and equipment

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. RISK MANAGEMENT

Price risk

Overview

The CJMM has exposure to the following risks from its use of financial instruments:

- Liquidity risk
- Market risk.
- Credit/Counterparty risk

The CJMM's financial risk management objectives are as follows:

- To maintain sound liquidity levels such that optimal returns on surplus cash are realised and interest expenses are minimised.
- Ensuring that the CJMM's Credit Rating is maintained or improved by ensuring that financial risk ratios fall within required limits.
- To ensure sustainable financial viability of the Group by avoiding the occurrence of unnecessary/uncontrolled losses that could arise as a result of exposure in the financial markets. Adverse fluctuations if not properly controlled, could weaken the overall financial position of the Group.
- To protect the financial position of the Group.
- To provide Council with reasonable assurance that financial risks the CJMM is exposed to are identified and, to the best extent possible, mitigated and controlled.

The Treasury Unit identifies, quantifies and sets up control measures to mitigate financial risks in close co-operation with operating units. Treasury executes its responsibility in line with the approved Treasury and Assets and Liabilities Management (ALM) policies.

Financial Risk Management Framework



The Risk Management Framework serve to raise awareness, inform and guide the Group on its approved approach to risk management. The framework seeks to assist the Group in the effective identification, evaluation and control of risk that may impact upon the achievement of the corporate, mayoral and service delivery objectives and priorities that the Group has set itself to achieve.

Council has overall responsibility for the establishment and oversight of the CJMM's risk management framework. Council established the Assets and Liabilities Committee (ALCO), which is responsible for developing and monitoring the CJMM's financial risk management policies. ALCO reports regularly to the Mayoral Committee and Section 79 on its activities. Treasury reports its risk management activities to Mayoral, Council and ALCO on a regular basis. Risk management policies and systems are reviewed regularly to reflect changes in market conditions and the CJMM's activities

The CJMM's financial risk exposures are managed by the Treasury Unit. The CJMM's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks. The municipality's overall financial risk management programme focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimise potential adverse effects on the CJMM's financial performance. The group recognises that an effective risk management function is fundamental to its business. Risk awareness, control and compliance are embedded in Treasury's day-to-day activities.

Price risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash-flows of financial instruments will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Those changes are caused by factors specific to the individual financial instruments for its users, by factors affecting all similar financial instruments in the market. The municipal entity's financial instruments are affected by the wholesale price of electricity from Eskom and Kelvin. Kelvin's costs include coal, diesel and oil pass-through costs.

Distribution Losses

The electricity energy losses can be classified into technical and non-technical losses, during 2010/11 financial year The municipal entity's non-technical losses increased from 1.33% to 10.29%. The non-technical losses are attributable mainly to the following:

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. **RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)**

- Theft and bypass of meters
- Illegal decalibration of meters
- Damaged meters and faulty voltage and current transformers
- Billing errors
- Customers without meters

As part of the municipal entity's strategy to continuously reduce the impact of non-technical losses, the following interventions have been implemented and are being reviewed and improved on an annual basis:

Installation of automatic meter management systems, for both large and small power users i.e. automated metering technologies

- Continuous replacement of faulty conventional and pre-paid meters
- Automation of process to acquire new customers and change of meters (through the implementation of automated workflow and escalation system)
- Utilisation of anonymous "hot line" to report theft, vandalism and tampering
- Random and targeted audits are performed, followed by removal of illegal connections and normalisation supply

Liquidity and Concentration Risk

Liquidity Risk refers to the rdity risk is particisk that CJMM may not meet its periodic obligations with respect to its liabilities when they fall due. Management of liquiularly important as it ensures that capital and operating expenditure is met. Treasury enters into liability obligations to bridge funding gaps arising from both capital and operational expenditure. Treasury's function is to ensure the City meets its liability obligations when they fall due.

For each financial year, Council approves a funding plan that minimises liquidity risk. Treasury manages both the long-term and short-term cash requirements, with surplus funds from operations of the City invested in short term money market instruments.

Long-term liquidity risks arising from capital project initiatives are managed through issuance of long-term debt in the form of COJ bonds and long term loans.

Short-term liquidity constraints are managed through two types of short-term funding methods: i) General Banking Facilities– the Absa Short term facility of R 380 million. Citi Bank Committed facility of R200 million and ii) Commercial Paper Issuance. CJMM's Treasury makes sure that all short term facilities utilised within the financial year are paid before the end of the financial year in line with Section 45(4)(a) of the MFMA. A cash management policy for managing its short-term cash flows and cash balances in a cost-effective manner is in place. The cash management policy assists the Group in managing its liquidity risk through the use of cash projection models with the aim of minimizing variances between actual and projections.

Both Short and Long term borrowings are approved under CJMM's Domestic Medium Term Note (DMTN) programme.

Liquidity risk is also linked to Concentration risk which could be defined as the probability of high liquidity risk arising from the inability to spread liability obligations such that most debts payable are concentrated around the same period, resulting in defaulting of payments. In line with International Financial Reporting Standards 7 (IFRS 7), the tables below show CJMM's contractual maturity analysis of its interest rate swap and non-derivative financial liabilities.

Funding Debt Maturities

The Group funds its coupon, interest and capital payments for all liabilities, other than bonds, from a Contingency Reserve Fund (CRF). Operational surpluses generated by the City are channelled into the CRF. Capital redemptions for bonds are funded from the Sinking Fund. The CJMM's annual budget contains provisions for coupon, interest and capital payments.

Redemption analysis of SWAP

Loan name	Maturity date	Due in less than	Due in one to	Due in two to	Due in three to	Due in four to	Due in more than
Nedbank R1bn loan	30 Jun 11	a year (30,521,713)	two years (19,066,103)	three years (7,905,260)	four years (2,383,380)	five years 135,533	five years 7,021,663

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Redemption of non derivative financial instruments

Loan name	Institution		te Maturity da		Rate option
SCMB R200m	SCMB	200,000 19 Sep 0		BA Rate less 3.63%	Floating
DBSA 13541 - 1	DBSA	143,927 01 Oct 0		Jiber + 1.46%	Floating
DBSA 11073-1	DBSA	15,664 01 Apr 0		Jibar + 1.8%	Floating
DBSA11073-2	DBSA	46,563 01 Oct 0		6 months Jibar + 1.8%	Floating
DBSA 102761-1	DBSA	500,000 20 Aug 0		6 months Jibar + 2.85%	Floating
DBSA 103345-1	DBSA	600,000 17 Apr 0		6 months Jibar + 2.54%	Floating
NEDBANK R1b	NEDBANK	1,000,000 04 Mar 1		3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating
NEDBANK R315m	NEDBANK	315,000 21 Apr 1		3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating
CALYON	CALYON	362,800 05 Sep 0		3 Months Jibar less 0.35%	Floating
AFD R412m	AFD	412,000 11 May 1		6 Months Jibar + 0.7%	Fixed
DBSA-12033-1	DBSA	1,032 31 Dec 0		16.67%	Fixed
DBSA-12034-1	DBSA	554 31 Dec 0		16.67%	Fixed
DBSA-08056-1	DBSA	53,420 31 Mar 0		10.00%	Fixed
DBSA-12716-1	DBSA	299 31 Dec 0		16.55%	Fixed
DBSA-12717-1	DBSA	554 31 Dec 0		16.55%	Fixed
DBSA-12035-1	DBSA	170 31 Dec 0		15.26%	Fixed
DBSA-12036-1	DBSA		1 31 Dec 11	15.26%	Fixed
DBSA-12037-1	DBSA	1,795 31 Dec 0		15.26%	Fixed
DBSA-12038-1	DBSA		1 31 Dec 12	15.26%	Fixed
DBSA-12388-1	DBSA	2,136 31 Dec 0		15.26%	Fixed
DBSA-09005-1	DBSA	291,732 31 Mar 0		10.00%	Fixed
DBSA-08250-1	DBSA	7,570 31 Mar 0		10.00%	Fixed
DBSA-09637-1	DBSA	3,700 31 Mar 0		10.00%	Fixed
DBSA-11984 - 993	DBSA	77,831 31 Dec 0		13.22%	Fixed
DBSA-09337-1	DBSA	29,756 31 Mar 0		10.00%	Fixed
DBSA-09726-1	DBSA	2,872 31 Mar 0		12.00%	Fixed
DBSA-10295-1	DBSA	1,760 31 Dec 0		10.00%	Fixed
DBSA-11064-1	DBSA	2,309 31 Mar 0		16.50%	Fixed
DBSA-11064-2	DBSA	250,000 31 Mar 1		10.53%	Fixed
ABSA R54.7m	ABSA	54,700 27 Nov 9		16.22%	Fixed
ABSA R 150 M	ABSA	150,000 19 Dec 0		12.27%	Fixed
RMBR100	RMB	100,000 23 Dec 9		16.50%	Fixed
RMBR95	RMB	95,000 23 Dec 9		14.53%	Fixed
RMBR210	RMB	210,000 11 Dec 0		15.56%	Fixed
INVESTEC R100m	Investec	100,000 24 Dec 0		11.77%	Fixed
ABSA Springfield	ABSA	4,900 29 Aug 8		8.90%	Fixed
IBM/ IGF Milestone 1	IBM	71,054 01 Nov 0	•	11.14%	Fixed
Milestone 2	IBM	13,775 01 Dec 0		11.11%	Fixed
Milestone 3	IBM	18,042 01 Jan 1		10.95%	Fixed
Milestone 4	IBM	10,497 01 Feb 1		11.03%	Fixed
Milestone 5	IBM	8,601 01 Mar 1		10.78%	Fixed
Milestone 6	IBM	12,188 01 Apr 1		10.72%	Fixed
Milestone 7	IBM	14,413 01 May		10.37%	Fixed
Milestone 8	IBM	9,770 01 Jun 1		10.35%	Fixed
Milestone 9	IBM	13,655 30 Jun 1	0 01 Apr 15	10.26%	Fixed
Bonds	5504	4 000 000 04 D	4 00 1 40	44.000/	F : 1
COJ 02	BESA	1,000,000 31 Dec 0		11.90%	Fixed
COJ 03	BESA	700,000 26 Oct 0		9.70%	Fixed
COJ 04	BESA	1,733,000 05 Jun 0		9.00%	Fixed
COJ 05	BESA	2,268,000 05 Jun 0		12.21%	Fixed
COJ 06	BESA	900,000 09 Dec 0		10.82%	Fixed
COJ 07	BESA	850,000 23 Mar 1		10.78%	Fixed
Retail Bond Jozi03	BESA	127,300 21 Sep 0	7 21 Sep 12	3 months Jibar + 0.40%	Floating
		12,789,554			

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Redemption of non derivative financial instruments (Continued)

Loan name	Due in less than	Due in one to	Due in two to	Due in three to	Due in four to	Due in more than
SCMB R200m	a year 13,333	two years 13,333	three years 13,333	four years 13,333	five years 13,333	five years 30,000
DBSA 13541 - 1	10,111	10,111	10,111	10,111	10,111	42,970
DBSA 11073-1	1,362	1,362	681	10,111	10,111	42,370
DBSA 11073-2	4,049	4,049	2,024	-	_	_
DBSA 102761-1	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	337,500
DBSA 103345-1	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	390,000
NEDBANK R1b						1,000,000
NEDBANK R315m	63,000	63,000	63,000	96,457	-	-
CALYON	36,280	36,280	36,280	36,280	36,280	72,560
AFD R412m				34,333	34,333	343,333
DBSA-12033-1	99	-	_	-	-	-
DBSA-12034-1	53	-			-	-
DBSA-08056-1	4,227	-	· · · · · ·	-	-	-
DBSA-12716-1	49	28		-	-	-
DBSA-12717-1	91	51	· · ·	-	-	-
DBSA-12035-1	16	-	U 1 -	-	-	-
DBSA-12036-1	73	-	-	-	-	-
DBSA-12037-1	284	159	-	-	-	-
DBSA-12038-1	66	37	<u> </u>	-	-	-
DBSA-12388-1	338	189	• -	-	-	-
DBSA-09005-1	37,344	41,294	-	-	-	-
DBSA-08250-1	838	925	1,025	-	-	-
DBSA-09637-1	127	84	1,150	-	-	-
DBSA-11984 - 993	9,059	10,305	11,717	-	-	-
DBSA-09337-1	3,071	3,389	3,736	2,031	-	-
DBSA-09726-1	308	346	389	212	-	-
DBSA-10295-1	146	161	178	196	216	-
DBSA-11064-1	101	119	140	164	191	1,157
DBSA-11064-2	-		-	-	-	250,000
ABSA R54.7m	39,417	-	-	-	-	-
ABSA R 150 M		150,000	-	-	-	-
RMBR100	-	-	-	114,829	-	-
RMBR95		-	-	113,352	-	-
RMBR210		311,673	-	-	-	-
INVESTEC R100m	13,396	15,054	8,207	-	-	39
ABSA Springfield	130	130	130	130	130	-
IBM/ IGF Milestone 1	14,245	18,259	20,400	5,465	-	-
Milestone 2	2,828	3,497	3,906	1,046	-	-
Milestone 3	3,320	4,473	4,989	2,706	-	-
Milestone 4	1,929	2,602	2,905	1,576	-	-
Milestone 5	1,650 2,191	2,132	2,373	1,285	-	-
Milestone 6	2,191	2,821 3,335	3,272 3,853	2,693 3,162	-	-
Milestone 7 Milestone 8	1,837	2,318	2,612	2,013	-	-
Milestone 9	2,582	3,174	3,663	3,003	-	-
Bonds	2,302	5,174	5,005	3,003	-	-
COJ 02	_	_	166,667	333,333	333,333	166,667
COJ 03	_	_	100,007			700,000
COJ 03 COJ 04	-	-	-	-	-	1,733,000
COJ 05	-	-	-	-	-	2,268,000
COJ 06	-	-	-	-	-	900,000
COJ 07	-	-	-	-	-	850,000
Retail Bond Jozi03	-	- 35,381	-	-	-	
		50,001				

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROL	JP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand		2012	2011	2012	2011
48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued) 325,554	795,071	421,741	832,710	482,927	9,085,226

Market risk

Market risk is the risk that changes in market prices, such as interest rates and commodity prices will affect the CJMM's income or the value of its holdings of financial instruments. The objective of market risk management is to manage and control market risk exposures within acceptable risk parameters, while optimising the CJMM's service delivery objectives. IFRS 7 requires entities to disclose sensitivity analysis for each type of market risk as shown in the sections below. Interest rate risk is the main category of market risk which affects the Group.

Interest rate risk

This refers to the risk that the value of a financial instrument will change due to a change in i) the absolute level of interest rates; ii) in the spread between two rates; iii) in the shape of the yield curve or in any other interest rate relationship. CJMM's floating rate liabilities are exposed to interest rate risk in terms of both cash flow and fair values.

Interest Rate Fair Value Sensitivity Analysis

The fair values of the CJMM's floating rate liability portfolio are sensitive to interest rate changes. The fair values of these liabilities are based on projected cash flows calculated using market projected forward rates. The projected cash flows are then discounted using market implied discount factors. The table below shows how the fair values of floating rate liabilities change on the basis of the following assumptions:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A two percent upward and one percent downward movement in interest rates Management generally expects interest rates to rise in the future.

Fair value interest rate sensitivity analysis on variable financial liabilities as at June 2011

Loan name	Institution	Nominal	Redemption	Issue date	Maturity dat	eRate	Rate option
SCMB R200m	SCMB	200,000	3,333	19 Sep 03	30 Sep 18	BA Rate less 3.63%	Floating
Retail Bond Jozi03	BESA	127,300	127,300	21-Sep-07	21-Jun-12	3 months Jibar + 0.40%	Floating
DBSA 13541 -1	DBSA	159,241	2,528	01-Oct-00	30-Sep-20	3 months Jibar + 1.46%	Floating
DBSA 11073-1	DBSA	12,259	681	01-Apr-00	30-Sep-13	6 months Jibar + 1.8%	Floating
DBSA 11073-2	DBSA	36,440	2,024	01-Oct-00	30-Sep-13	6 months Jibar + 1.8%	Floating
DBSA 102761-1	DBSA	500,000	12,500	20-Aug-09	01-Jul-29	6 months Jibar + 2.85%	Floating
DBSA 103345-1	DBSA	600,000	15,000	17-Apr-09	30-Jun-29	6 months Jibar + 2.54%	Floating
NEDBANK R1b	NEDBANK	1,000,000	1,000,000	04-Mar-10	31-Mar-18	3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating
NEDBANK R315m	NEDBANK	315,000	31,500	21-Apr-10	21-Apr-15	3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating
CALYON	CALYON	362,800	9,070	05-Sep-06	02-Jul-18	3 months Jibar less 0.35%	Floating
AFD R412m	AFD	412,000	17,167	11-May-11	15-May-26	6 months Jibar + 0.7%	Floating
		3,725,040	1,221,103	-			

Loan name	Institution		Fair value se	ensitivity ana	lysis to inter	est rate mov	ement/shift	
		-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2
SCMB R200m	SCMB	70,771	70,432	70,104	69,786	69,478	69,179	68,889
Retail Bond Jozi03	BESA	34,250	39,710	34,813	34,375	34,423	34,473	34,595
DBSA 13541 -1	DBSA	97,669	98,187	98,707	95,713	98,013	100,279	99,100
DBSA 11073-1	DBSA	3,469	3,474	3,479	3,484	3,489	3,495	3,500
DBSA 11073-2	DBSA	10,311	10,326	10,341	10,357	10,372	10,388	10,404
DBSA 102761-1	DBSA	531,076	536,864	542,556	548,156	553,666	559,088	564,426
DBSA 103345-1	DBSA	608,453	615,152	621,743	628,227	634,609	640,891	647,077
NEDBANK R1b	NEDBANK	1,127,593	1,133,177	1,141,987	1,150,832	1,159,702	1,168,588	1,177,483
NEDBANK R315m	NEDBANK	265,722	265,996	266,286	266,590	266,908	267,239	267,583
CALYON	CALYON	249,339	250,350	251,373	252,409	253,454	254,508	255,570

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

			GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand		2012	2 20	011	2012	2011
48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)						
2,998,653	3,023,668	3,041,389	3,059,929	3,084,114	3,108,128	3,128,627

The fair value sensitivity analysis of variable rate liabilities shows that a 2% increase in interest rates will increase the value of floating rate liabilities by R87,2m, and a 1% decrease will decrease the value of floating rate liabilities by R42,7m

Interest Rate Cashflow Sensitivity Analysis

The Floating rate tables below shows the cash flow sensitivity analysis for floating rate liabilities. The sensitivity analysis is based on the following assumptions:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A two percent upward and one percent downward movement in interest rates.

Cash flow interest rate sensitivity analysis on variable financial liabilities as at June 2011

Retail Bond Jozi03 BESA 127,300 127,300 21-Sep-17 21-Jup12 21-Sep-12 21-Sep-12 31-Jup12 21-Sep-12 31-Jup12 21-Sep-12 31-Jup12 21-Sep-12 31-Jup12 21-Sep-12 30-Jup-12 31-Jup-12 21-Sep-12 30-Sep-12 30-Sep-12 30-Sep-12 30-Jup12 30-	Loan name	Institution		edemption Issue dat			Rate option
DBSA 13541 -1 DBSA 159,241 2,528 01-Oct00 60 Sep -11 21-Dec-11 3 months Jibar + 1.46% Floating DBSA 13541 -1 DBSA 159,241 2,528 01-Oct00 30-Sep -11 21-Dec-11 3 months Jibar + 1.46% Floating DBSA 11073 -1 DBSA 12,259 681 01-Apr 00 20-Sep -12 30-Sep -12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073 -2 DBSA 36,440 2,024 p1-Oct00 30-Sep -12 30-Sep -12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761 -1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 30-Jun-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345 -1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 30-Dec-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1000,000 4-Mar-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-66 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYO	Retail Bond Jozi03	BESA	127,300	127,300 21-Sep-07		3 months Jibar + 0.40%	Floating
DBSA 13541 - 1 DBSA 159,241 2,528 01-0ct00 30.5ep-11 3 months Jibar + 1.46% Floating DBSA 11073-1 DBSA 12,259 0.580 10-2010 30.5ep-11 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073-1 DBSA 12,259 61.01-2010 30.5ep-11 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 2,024 01-0ct00 30.5ep-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 30-Dec-12 6 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Mar-12 - - - NEDBANK R15m NEDBANK 315,000 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-	-			
DBSA 13541-1 DBSA 159,241 2,528 01-Oct00 90.5ep.11 21-Dec.11 3 months Jibar + 1.46% Floating DBSA 11073-1 DBSA 12,259 681 01-Apr.00 21-Jun-12 30.5ep.12 30.5ep.12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 36,440 2,022 01-Oct.00 20.5ep.12 30.5ep.12 30.5ep.12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec.11 30-Jun-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr.09 31-Dec.11 30-Jun-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30.5ep.11 30-Jun-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr.10 30-Sep.11 30-Jun-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep.06 30-Sep.11 30-Jun-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-	-			
- -	DBSA 13541 -1	DBSA	159,241	2,528 01-Oct-00		3 months Jibar + 1.46%	Floating
- - 21-Jun-12 21-Sep-12 30-Mar-12 30-Sep-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073-1 DBSA 12,259 68 01-Apr00 30-Sep-12 30-Sep-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 36,440 2,024 01-Oct-00 30-Sep-12 30-Sep-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 6000,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 4-Mar-10 30-Bec-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - 30-Jun-12 - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - 30-Jun-12			-				0
DBSA 11073-1 DBSA 12,259 68 (01-Apr-00 30.5ep-12 30.5ep-12 30.5ep-12 30.5ep-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 36,440 2,024 01-0c1-00 30.5ep-12 30.5ep-12 30.5ep-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 10000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Dec-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Dec-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Jun-12 -			-	-	31-Mar-00		
DBSA 11073-1 DBSA 12,259 681 01=Apr-00 30-Sep-11 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 36,440 2,024 01-Oct-00 30-Sep-11 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Jun-12 30-Jun-12 30-Jun-12 NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - 30-Jun-12 - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			-	- 🗸			
DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 36,440 2,024 p1-Oct-00 30-Mar-12 30-Sep-11 30-Mar-12 30-Mar-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 30-Jun-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 30-Jun-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 15,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 30-Jun-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 30-Jun-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 30-Dec-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 - 3 Months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - - -			-				
DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 36,440 2,021 01-Oct-00 30-Sep-12 30-Mar-12 30-Mar-12 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 30-Jun-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 30-Dec-12 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 30-Dec-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 30-Dec-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-06 30-Sep-11 30-Sep-12 3 Months Jibar less 0.35% Floating SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 30-Sep-12 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - <	DBSA 11073-1	DBSA	12,259	681 01-Apr-00		6 months Jibar + 1.8%	Floating
DBSA 11073-2 DBSA 36,440 2,024 01-Oct-00 30-Sep-11 6 months Jibar + 1.8% Floating DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31.500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Jun-12 -			-				
DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 000,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.54% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 0-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - 30-Jun-12 -		5504	-				
DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,006 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-12 6 6 6 6 100-un-12 30-Dec-12 6 6 6 100-un-12 30-Jun-12 30-Jun-12 30-Jun-12 6 6 100-un-12 6 100-un-12 6 100-un-12 6 100-un-12 10-un-12	DBSA 11073-2	DBSA	36,440	2,024 01-Oct-00		6 months Jibar + 1.8%	Floating
DBSA 102761-1 DBSA 500,000 12,500 20-Aug-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.85% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 15,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-06 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 3 Months Jibar less 0.35% Floating - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <			-	_			
DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-12 6 months Jibar + 2.54% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-12 3 Months Jibar less 0.35% Floating SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-13 30-Sep-11 SA Rate less 3.63% Floating - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DBSA 102761-1		500.000	- 12 500 20 Aug 00	30-Sep-12	6 months liber + 2 85%	Floating
DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.54% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Dec-12 3 months Jibar + 2.54% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-06 30-Sep-12 3 Months Jibar less 0.35% Floating SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 30-Sep-12 3 Months Jibar less 3.63% Floating - - - 30-Jun-12 - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - 30-Sep-11 - -	DB3A 102701-1	DBSA	500,000	12,500 20-Aug-08		0 montins sibar + 2.85 %	Floating
DBSA 103345-1 DBSA 600,000 15,000 17-Apr-09 31-Dec-11 6 months Jibar + 2.54% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-06 30-Sep-11 3 Months Jibar less 0.35% Floating SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-12 3 Months Jibar less 3.63% Floating - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - - - 30-Sep-12 - - -			<u>×</u>				
NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 30-Dec-12 NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK 1,000,000 1,000,000 30-Dec-11 30-Dec-11 - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - - - - - - NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 -	DBSA 103345-1	DBSA	600.000	15.000 17-Apr-09		6 months Jibar + 2.54%	Floating
NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK NEDBANK 1,000,000 04-Mar-10 30-Dec-12 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating NEDBANK R1b NEDBANK - - - 30-Dec-12 - <td< td=""><td></td><td>22011</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>. ioating</td></td<>		22011		-			. ioating
NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Jun-12 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				-			
NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - 30-Dec-11 - 30-Dec-11 -	NEDBANK R1b	NEDBANK	1,000,000	1,000,000 04-Mar-10	30-Sep-11	3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating
NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - 30-Dec-11 - 30-Dec-11 - <			()-	-	30-Dec-11		-
NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-12 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - - - 30-Dec-11 - <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>30-Mar-12</td> <td></td> <td></td>			-	-	30-Mar-12		
NEDBANK R315m NEDBANK 315,000 31,500 21-Apr-10 30-Sep-11 3 months Jibar + 2.8% Floating - - 30-Dec-11 - - 30-Jun-12 - <			-	-			
				-			
- - 30-Mar-12 - - 30-Jun-12 - - 30-Sep-12 - - 30-Sep-12 - - 30-Dec-11 - - 30-Dec-11 - - - -	NEDBANK R315m	NEDBANK	315,000	31,500 21-Apr-10		3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating
CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-06 30-Sep-12 30-Mar-12 - - - 30-Dec-11 - - - - - 30-Jun-12 - - - - - - - - - SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-12 - - SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-12 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-	-			
CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-06 30-Sep-11 30 Months Jibar less 0.35% Floating - - - 30-Dec-11 - - - - - - 30-Mar-12 - - - SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating - - - - 30-Dec-11 - - - SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-12 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-	-			
CALYON CALYON 362,800 9,070 05-Sep-06 30-Sep-11 3 Months Jibar less 0.35% Floating - - 30-Dec-11 -			-	-			
- - 30-Dec-11 - - 30-Mar-12 - - 30-Jun-12 - - 30-Sep-12 SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating - - - 30-Dec-11 - - - - - - 30-Dec-11 - - - - - - 30-Dec-11 -			362 800	- 9.070.05-Sep-06		3 Months liber less 0.350	6 Eloating
30-Mar-12 30-Jun-12 30-Sep-12 SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating 30-Dec-11 30-Mar-12 30-Jun-12 30-Sep-12	CALION	CALION		9,070 00-0ep-00			arioating
30-Jun-12 30-Sep-12 SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating 30-Dec-11 30-Mar-12 30-Jun-12 30-Sep-12			-	_			
SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating - - 30-Dec-11 - - 30-Mar-12 - - 30-Jun-12 - - 30-Sep-12			-	-			
SCMB R200m SCMB 200,000 3,333 19-Sep-03 30-Sep-11 BA Rate less 3.63% Floating - - - 30-Dec-11 -			-	-			
30-Dec-11 30-Mar-12 30-Jun-12 30-Sep-12	SCMB R200m	SCMB	200,000	3,333 19-Sep-03		BA Rate less 3.63%	Floating
30-Jun-12 30-Sep-12			-	-	30-Dec-11		-
30-Sep-12			-	-			
			-	-			
3,313,040 1,203,936			-	-	30-Sep-12		
			3,313,040	1,203,936			

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	UP	CO	RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Cash flow interest rate sensitivity analysis on variable financial liabilities as at June 2011 (Continued)

Loan name	Institution			Interest ra	ite movemen	t/shift		
		-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2
Retail Bond Jozi03	BESA	1,746	1,890	2,035	2,180	2,324	2,469	2,614
		1,725	1,884	2,042	2,201	2,360	2,518	2,677
		1,571	1,730	1,888	2,047	2,206	2,364	2,523
		1,596	1,757	1,917	2,078	2,238	2,398	2,559
		1,596	1,757	1,917	2,078	2,238	2,398	2,559
DBSA 13541 -1	DBSA	3,950	4,068	4,186	4,068	4,304	4,540	4,540
		3,950	4,064	4,179	4,064	4,294	4,523	4,523
		3,948	4,058	4,169	4,058	4,279	4,499	4,499
		3,998	4,105	4,212	4,105	4,319	4,534	4,534
		4,038	4,143	4,249	4,143	4,354	4,564	4,564
DBSA 11073-1	DBSA	795	803	812	821	829	838	846
		773	779	786	793	800	807	814
		757	762	767	772	778	783	788
DBSA 11073-2	DBSA	2,363	2,388	2,414	2,439	2,464	2,490	2,515
		2,297	2,317	2,337	2,358	2,378	2,398	2,418
		2,250	2,266	2,281	2,296	2,311	2,326	2,342
DBSA 102761-1	DBSA	30,336	31,502	32,668	33,833	34,999	36,165	37,331
		30,653	31,775	32,897	34,018	35,140	36,262	37,384
		31,810	32,913	34,015	35,118	36,221	37,324	38,426
DBSA 103345-1	DBSA	33,898	35,141	36,378	37,609	38,834	40,053	41,266
		33,660	34,739	35,809	36,869	37,919	38,959	39,989
		33,911	34,856	35,788	36,707	37,614	38,508	39,391
NEDBANK R1b	NEDBANK	36,976	39,483	41,989	44,496	47,003	49,510	52,017
		38,402	40,909	43,416	45,923	48,430	50,937	53,443
		41,936	44,443	46,950	49,457	51,964	54,471	56,977
		44,379	46,873	49,366	51,859	54,352	56,845	59,338
		47,553	50,060	52,567	55,073	57,580	60,087	62,594
NEDBANK R315m	NEDBANK	4,684	5,002	5,320	5,637	5,955	6,272	6,590
		36,237	36,552	36,866	37,180	37,494	37,808	38,122
		4,277	4,552	4,827	5,102	5,376	5,651	5,926
		36,058	36,336	36,614	36,892	37,170	37,448	37,726
		4,061	4,299	4,538	4,776	5,014	5,252	5,490
CALYON	CALYON	12,440	12,760	13,080	13,400	13,720	14,041	14,361
		11,924	12,230	12,535	12,840	13,145	13,451	13,756
		11,539	11,833	12,127	12,421	12,715	13,009	13,303
		11,485	11,770	12,056	12,342	12,628	12,914	13,199
		12,019	12,294	12,568	12,842	13,117	13,391	13,665
SCMB R200m	SCMB	5,103	5,129	5,155	5,180	5,206	5,232	5,258
		4,957	4,982	5,007	5,032	5,057	5,082	5,107
		4,793	4,817	4,842	4,866	4,890	4,914	4,939
		4,647	4,670	4,694	4,717	4,741	4,764	4,788
		4,512	4,535	4,558	4,581	4,604	4,626	4,649
		609,603	633,226	656,821	679,271	703,364	727,425	750,350

Hedging Interest Rate Risk

In the 2009/10 financial statements, it was mentioned that Treasury will propose the use of Interest Rate Derivative Swaps to hedge this risk in the 2010/11 financial year. At the end of the third quarter of the 2010/11 financial year, Treasury entered into a 7 year fixed interest rate swap on the R1 billion floating rate Nedbank loan at a fixed rate of 11,66 NACS.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	DUP	CO	RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. **RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)**

The rationale behind this was based on inflation upside risk factors at the time the swap approval was obtained, bearing in mind that inflation had been on a declining trend from 2008 and had reached its lowest levels in three decades in 2010 and an upward trend would soon follow. The anticipated increase in inflation would increase the interest payments on the liability in question.

The inflation risk factors identified were:

- Larger funding shortfalls for Eskom and the projected increases in electricity cost for consumers
- Larger current account deficit
- Boom in Public Infrastructure Spending
- Above inflation wage negotiations

International accounting standards (IAS) 39 does not provide specific guidance on how hedge effectiveness tests for hedge qualification purposes should be performed. IAS 39.AG 105 specifies that a hedge is normally regarded as effective only if (a) at inception and in subsequent periods, the hedge is expected to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows attributable to the hedged risk during the period for which the hedge is designated, and (b) the actual results are within a range of 80-125 per cent. It further states that the expectation in (a) can be demonstrated in various ways.

Two methods that are often used to assess hedge effectiveness when the critical terms of the hedging derivative and the hedged item are not identical are:

- 1. the Dollar-Offset Method
- 2. the Variability-Reduction Method

The effectiveness of the hedge was measured (both the fair value and the cash flows of the hedged item that are attributable to the hedged risk and the fair value of the hedging instrument) by using the above mentioned two methods that CJMM has advocated in measuring the hedge effectiveness.

Assessment of the actual effectiveness as at 30 June 2011 as per the two methods:

- 1. Dollar-Offset Method- 96%
- 2. Variability-Reduction Method- 87%

Note that the assessment of the actual hedge effectiveness was within a range of 80-125 per cent and as a result the hedge relationship in the current financial period is assessed as being effective. This implies that CJMM can continue with hedge accounting in the future financial periods and thus the swap can be recognized in the cashflow reserve in equity via other comprehensive income.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

48. **RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)**

Swap Cashflow Sensitivity

The table below shows how the cashflow resulting from the swap would respond to changes in interest rates assuming:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A two percent upward and one percent downward movement in interest rates

Cash flow interest rate sensitivity analysis on the interest rate swap - SWAP on Nedbank R1bn

Settlement			Interest r	ate movement/s	hift		
dates	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2
30 Sep 11	(16,030)	(16,030)	(16,030)	(16,030)	(16,030)	(16,030)	(16,030)
30-Mar-12	(19,580)	(17,038)	(14,492)	(11,944)	(9,392)	(6,837)	(4,279)
30-Sep-12	(16,011)	(13,465)	(10,915)	(8,362)	(5,806)	(3,247)	(685)
30-Mar-13	(13,225)	(10,690)	(8,151)	(5,609)	(3,065)	(517)	2,034
30-Sep-13	(10,529)	(7,918)	(5,304)	(2,686)	(65)	2,559	5,186
30-Mar-14	(7,718)	(5,161)	(2,602)	(39)	2,528	5,097	7,669
30-Sep-14	(6,812)	(4,239)	(1,664)	915	3,496	6,081	8,669
30-Mar-15	(5,841)	(3,282)	(719)	1,846	4,414	6,986	9,560
30-Sep-15	(5,344)	(2,770)	(193)	2,388	4,971	7,558	10,148
30-Mar-16	(4,824)	(2,249)	328	2,909	5,494	8,081	10,672
30-Sep-16	(4,172)	(1,597)	982	3,564	6,149	8,737	11,328
30-Mar-17	(3,515)	(953)	1,612	4,180	6,751	9,325	11,903
30-Sep-17	(3,111)	(548)	2,017	4,586	7,158	9,732	12,310
30-Mar-18	(2,718)	(155)	2,411	4,980	7,552	10,127	12,706
	(119,430)	(86,095)	(52,720)	(19,302)	14,155	47,652	81,191

On the basis of the above assumptions, a 1% change in interest rates will result in a 127% change in the value of the swap. There is a positive relationship between the swap value and interest rates, thus if interest rates increase, the value of the swap will increase.

Swap Fair Value Sensitivity



The table below shows how the fair value of the swap would respond to changes in interest rates assuming:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A two percent upward and one percent downward movement in interest rates.

Cash flow interest rate sensitivity analysis on the interest rate swap - SWAP on Nedbank R1bn

Settlement	Interest rate movement/shift						
dates	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2
30-Jun-11	(84,310)	(67,469)	(43,098)	(35,704)	(20,711)	(6,267)	7,654

The above fair values represent the all-inclusive price (price plus swap interest accrual).

Estimation of Fair Values

The fair value of financial instruments that are not traded in an active market (for example, trading and available for sale securities) is based on quoted market prices at the balance sheet date. The quoted market price used for financial assets held by the municipality is the current bid offer price. The fair value of financial instruments that are not traded in an active market (for example, over-the counter derivatives) is determined by using valuation techniques.

The municipality uses a variety of methods and makes assumptions that are based on market conditions existing at each balance sheet date. Quoted market prices or dealer quotes for similar instruments are used for long-term debt. Other techniques, such as estimated discounted cash flows, are used to determine fair value for the remaining financial instruments.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	OUP	CC	RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. **RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)**

To determine the fair values of floating rate instruments, the municipality uses market forward rates to estimate future interest and capital cashflows, and then utilises market implied discount rates to calculate their present values. To determine the fair values of fixed rate instruments, the municipality uses market implied discount factors to calculate their present values.

The fair value of interest rate swaps is calculated as the present value of the estimated future cash flows.

Fair Value Hierarchy

In terms of IFRS 7.27A, there are different levels of fair values based on the extent that quoted prices are used in the calculation of the fair value. The levels are defined as follows.

Level 1

The fair values are based on quoted market prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical instruments. In terms of the CJMM's liabilities, all bonds fall in this category as they are actively traded on the JSE/BESA.

Fair values of all financial liabilities as at 30 June 2011

Loan name	Institution	Nominal	Issue date	Maturity date	eRate	Rate optio	n Fair value
COJ 02	BESA	1,000,000	31-Dec-04	15-Sep-16	11.90%	Fixed	1,059,629
COJ 03	BESA	700,000	26-Oct-05	26-Apr-13	9.70%	Fixed	709,539
COJ 04	BESA	1,733,000	05-Jun-06	05-Jun-18	9.00%	Fixed	1,621,940
COJ 05	BESA	2,268,000	05-Jun-08	05-Jun-23	12.21%	Fixed	2,491,924
COJ 06	BESA	900,000	09-Dec-08	05-Dec-15	10.82%	Fixed	951,096
COJ 07	BESA	850,000	23-Mar-11	23-Mar-21	10.78%	Fixed	873,403
		7,451,000		0			7,707,531

The Level 1 Fair Value for the CJMM's liabilities was R7,707 billion as at 30 June 2011.

Level 2

Fair values are calculated using valuation techniques based on observable inputs either directly or indirectly other than level 1 inputs. This category includes instruments valued using quoted market prices in active markets for similar instruments, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are considered less than active or other valuation techniques where all significant inputs are directly or indirectly observable from market data. All liabilities other than listed bonds (COJ02 to COJ07) fall into this category including the interest rate swap.

Fair value sensitivity analysis to interest rate movement/shift - SWAP on Nedbank R1bn

Settlement		Interest rate movement/shift						
dates	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	
30-Jun-11	(84,310)	(67,469)	(43,098)	(35,704)	(20,711)	(6,267)	7,654	

The Level 2 all-inclusive fair value of the swap stood at R51, 28 m as at the end of 30 June 2011.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	DUP	CO	RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Fair values of all financial liabilities as at 30 June 2011

Loan name	Institution	Nominal Issue date	Maturity da	ato Pato	Pata ontio	n Fair value
SCMB R200m	SCMB	200,000 19-Sep-03	30-Sep-18	BA Rate less 3.63%	Floating	70,104
DBSA 13541 -1	DBSA	149.131 01-Oct-00	30-Sep-20	3 months Jibar + 1.46%	Floating	98.707
DBSA 11073-1	DBSA	2,724 01-Apr-00	30-Sep-13	6 months Jibar + 1.8%	Floating	3,479
DBSA 11073-2	DBSA	8.098 01-Oct-00	30-Sep-13	6 months Jibar + 1.8%	Floating	10,341
DBSA 102761-1	DBSA	275,000 20-Aug-09		6 months Jibar + 2.85%	Floating	542,556
DBSA 103345-1	DBSA	330,000 17-Apr-09	30-Jun-29	6 months Jibar + 2.54%	Floating	621,743
NEDBANK R1b	NEDBANK	1,000,000 04-Mar-10	31-Mar-18	3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating	1,141,987
NEDBANK R315m	NEDBANK	315,000 21-Apr-10	21-Apr-15	3 months Jibar + 2.8%	Floating	266,286
CALYON	CALYON	362,800 05-Sep-06	•	3 Months Jibar less 0.35%		251,373
AFD R412m	AFD	412,000 15-Nov-11	15-May-26	6 Months Jibar + 0.7%	Fixed	429,897
DBSA-12033-1	DBSA	1,032 31-Dec-01	31-Dec-11	16.67%	Fixed	104
DBSA-12034-1	DBSA	554 31-Dec-01		16.67%	Fixed	56
DBSA-08056-1	DBSA	53.420 31-Mar-02	30-Sep-11	10.00%	Fixed	4,363
DBSA-12716-1	DBSA	299 31-Dec-01		16.55%	Fixed	84
DBSA-12717-1	DBSA	554 31-Dec-01		16.55%	Fixed	156
DBSA-12035-1	DBSA	170 31-Dec-01		15.26%	Fixed	16
DBSA-12036-1	DBSA	795 31-Dec-01	31-Dec-11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fixed	76
DBSA-12037-1	DBSA	1,795 31-Dec-01		15.26%	Fixed	481
DBSA-12038-1	DBSDBSA	420 31-Dec-01	31-Dec-12		Fixed	113
DBSA-12388-	DBSA	2,136 31-Dec-01	31-Dec-12		Fixed	573
DBSA-09005-1	DBSA	291,732 31-Mar-02	31-Mar-13	10.00%	Fixed	83,270
DBSA-08250-1	DBSA	,	31-Mar-14	10.00%	Fixed	2.987
DBSA-09637-1	DBSA	3.700 31-Mar-02	31-Mar-14	10.00%	Fixed	1,228
DBSA-11984 - 993	DBSA	77,831 31-Dec-01		13.22%	Fixed	34,439
DBSA-09337-1	DBSA	29,756 31-Mar-02	30-Sep-14	10.00%	Fixed	13,166
DBSA-09726-1	DBSA	2,872 31-Mar-02	30-Sep-14	12.00%	Fixed	1,400
DBSA-10295-1	DBSA	1,760 31-Dec-01	· · ·	10.00%	Fixed	960
DBSA-11064-1	DBSA	2,309 31-Mar-02	31-Mar-20	16.50%	Fixed	1,243
DBSA-11064-2	DBSA	250,000 31 -Mar-11	30-Jun-26	10.53%	Fixed	75,904
ABSA R54.7m	ABSA	54,700 27-Nov-96	30-Nov-11	16.22%	Fixed	52,331
ABSA R 150 M	ABSA	150,000 19-Dec-02		12.27%	Fixed	162,047
RMBR100	RMB	100,000 23 Dec 99	30-Nov-14	16.50%	Fixed	161,292
RMBR95	RMB	95,000 23 Dec 99	30-Nov-14	14.53%	Fixed	153,236
RMBR210	RMB	210.000 11 Dec 00	31-Dec-12	15.56%	Fixed	353,846
INVESTEC R100m	Investec	100,000 24-Dec-03		11.77%	Fixed	39,196
ABSA Springfield	ABSA	4,900 29-Aug-85		8.90%	Fixed	652
IBM/ IGF Milestone	-	71,054 01-Nov-09		11.14%	Fixed	62,281
Milestone 2	IBM	13,775 01-Dec-09	•	11.11%	Fixed	11,762
Milestone 3	IBM	18,042 01-Jan-10	01-Oct-14	10.95%	Fixed	15,445
Milestone 4	IBM	10,497 01-Feb-10		11.03%	Fixed	9,676
Milestone 5	IBM		01-Dec-14	10.78%	Fixed	7,691
Milestone 6	IBM	12,188 01-Apr-10	01-Jan-15	10.72%	Fixed	11,735
Milestone 7	IBM	14,413 01-May-10		10.37%	Fixed	13,791
Milestone 8	IBM	9,770 01-Jun-10	01-Mar-15	10.35%	Fixed	6,993
Milestone 9	IBM	13,655 30-Jun-10	01-Apr-15	10.26%	Fixed	13,111
Loan Stock	Std Bank	30,000 31-Aug-02		14.70%	Fixed	26,013
Retail Bond Jozi03	Retail Bond		•	3 months Jibar + 0.40%	Floating	34,813
		4,827,353				4,793,003
		-1,021,000				7,733,003

The Level 2 Fair Value for the CJMM's liabilities was R4, 792 billion as at 30 June 2011.

The Level 2 Fair Value of CJMM's long term investments stood at R814 million as at 30 June 2011.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Fair value of long term investments

Investment	Date	Fair Value
RMB Security Deposit	30 Jun 11	45,467,104
RMB Amo Deposit	30 Jun 11	94,963,621
RMB EMLC	30 Jun 11	90,215,440
RMB R248 SF	30 Jun 11	354,963,402
STD Midr	30 Jun 11	49,928,808
ABSA	30 Jun 11	149,184,283
SCMB LS	30 Jun 11	29,424,532
		814,147,190

Level 3

Fair values are based on valuation techniques using significant unobservable inputs. This category includes all instruments where the valuation inputs are not based on observable market data. The CJMM does not have any instruments falling under the level 3 category

Credit/Counterparty Risk

The Group deposits surplus funds with financial institutions to mitigate against the negative cost of carry and these funds are diversified around different investment type and institutions.

The credit limit exposure table below depicts all investments with various counterparties as at the 30 June 2011. Total investments were R 276 million. The table also shows the credit limit approved per counterparty. Treasury constantly monitors the percentage limit utilized and warning signal levels are set at an investment with any counterparty above the 90% limit utilization

X

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

48. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Credit limit exposure report

Counter party	ST Ratings	Approved Limit	Call Deposits	Term Deposits	Total Exposure	Available % Limit For use Utilisation
ABSA	F1+	650.000	198.078		198.078	451.922 30.47%
RMB	F1+	500,000	1,114	-	1,114	498,886 0.22%
INVESTEC	F1+	350,000	1,157	20,351	21,508	328,492 6.15%
NEDBANK	F1+	500,000	3,049	43,800	46,849	453,151 9.37%
STANDARD	F1+	500,000	1,152	-	1,152	498,848 0.23%
Total: Domestic banks		2,500,000	204,550	64,151	268,701	2,231,299
CITIBANK	F1+	350,000	1,150		1,150	348,850 0.33%
DEUTSCHE BANK	F1+	350,000	1,000	NY	1,000	349,000 0.29%
CALYON SA	F1+	350,000	1,131	-	1,131	348,869 0.32%
HSBC	F1+	350,000	· · · ·	-	-	350,000 0.00%
Total: International banks		1,400,000	3,281		3,281	1,396,719
SARB		500,000	· ·	_	-	500,000 0.00%
LAND BANK	F1+	350,000	1,050	-	1,050	348,950 0.30%
ТСТА	F1+	350,000	1,000	-	1,000	349,000 0.29%
Total: Public sector		1,200,000	2,050	-	2,050	1,197,950
CADIZ	F2	50,000	-	-	-	50,000 0.00%
CORONATION	F2	50,000	-	-	-	50,000 0.00%
METROPOLITAN	F1	150,000	-	-	-	150,000 0.00%
PSG	F2	50,000	-	-	-	50,000 0.00%
SANLAM CAPITAL MARKETS	F1	150,000	-	-	-	150,000 0.00%
STANLIB	F1+	150,000	1,498	-	1,498	148,502 0.10%
Total: Asset management firms	1	600,000	1,498	-	1,498	598,502
Grand total: Investments		5,700,000	211,379	64,151	275,530	5,424,470
Credit quality of Investments	50					

CJMM has been placing investments with those entities with a short-term credit rating of F1+. F1+ indicates the strongest credit quality of the obligator, meaning the risk of default is minimal. The credit qualities of CJMM's investments as at 30 June 2011 are shown in the tables below.

Fair value of long term investments

Investment	Date	Fair Value
RMB Security Deposit	30 Jun 11	45,467,104
RMB Amo Deposit	30 Jun 11	94,963,621
RMB EMLC	30 Jun 11	90,215,440
RMB R248 SF	30 Jun 11	354,963,402
STD Midr	30 Jun 11	49,928,808
ABSA	30 Jun 11	149,184,283
SCMB LS	30 Jun 11	29,424,532
		814,147,190

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GRO	UP	COR)RE	
Figu	res in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
49.	FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE					
Ope Fruit Appı	onciliation of fruitless and wasteful expenditure ning balance less and wasteful expenditure current year roved by Council or condoned less and wasteful expenditure under investigation	8,528 896 (15) (51)	5,487 2,996 (138) 183	5,715 112 (7) (51)	5,324 208 183	
Гrar	sfer to other debtors for verification	9,358	8,528	5,769	5,71	
GRC	DUP - 2012					
1.	Johannesburg City Parks		\mathbf{O}			
	Interest on late payments made to suppliers.		×V			
	Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None	C				
2.	Johannesburg Roads Agency)			
	Interest and penalties paid on late payment and submit	ssion of statutory r	eturns (SDL and	PAYE).		
	Interest charged by Telkom, Microsoft Ireland and AFH	CO Property Mana	agement.			
	Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None	0				
3.	Metropolitan Trading Company	•				
	Lease Payments made for VOIP and Wifi installations.)				
	Interest paid on overdue accounts.	*				
	Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None					
4.	Johannesburg City Power					
	Interest paid on late payment and submission of statute	ory returns (VAT a	nd PAYE).			
	Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None					
5.	Johannesburg Property Company					
	The penalties and interest reflected above relates to th underestimation of the second provisional tax payme interest and penalties.				reverse thes	
	Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None					
б.	Roodepoort Civic Theatre					
	Interest paid on late payment and submiss of statutory	returns (VAT and	PAYE) due to the	e resignation of	the CFO.	
	Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None					
7.	Johannesburg Tourism Company					
	This is interest paid to suppliers for late payments.					
	Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None					

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GR	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

49. FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE (continued)

GROUP - 2011

1. Johannesburg City Parks

Interest was raised for late payments.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None



Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

49. FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE (continued)

2. Johannesburg Development Agency

An email was sent to JDA purporting to be from the authentic supplier requesting changes to the existing banking details. It was later discovered after the funds were transferred that email was fraudulent.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Employees who effected the change of banking details were issued with written warnings and the case has been reported to SAPS commercial crimes unit.

3. Johannesburg Roads Agency

Interest on late payment of accounts.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None.

4. Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Proprietary) Limited

Various traffic fines was paid

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

5. Metropolitan Trading Company (Proprietary) Limited

Interest was paid on overdue accounts. 98% of this was interest paid on the overdrawn sweeping account. This account was overdrawn because MTC experienced cash flow problems because of its inability to collect inter company debtors. The process issues that caused these problems were resolved in the last quarter of the financial year.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

6. Johannesburg Tourism Company

Interest levied on late payments

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Non

8. The Johannesburg Zoo

The fruitless and wasteful expenditure relates to prepayment to the supplier for the supply and installation of the acrilyc panels for the Temple of Ancient project. The supplier did not deliver in terms of the prepayment made. Legal action has been taken against the supplier to recover the amount paid. As at the date of issuing these financial statements, a forensic investigation was in progress.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None.

CORE - 2012

Office of the Speaker

Non-attendance of Conferences, Seminars and Training sessions by staff after the relevant bookings and payments has been made - R10,000.00.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None.

JMPD

Internal Affairs was not represented at the Arbitration hearing Invoice No. 0235 dated 28/01/2009 for R2,200.00, Invoice No. 0459 dated 06/03/2012 for R3,240.00 and Invoice No. 0658 dated 06/03/2012 for R3,000.00.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Copies of invoices given to Head of Internal Affairs to take necessary action.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

49. FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE (continued)

Economic Development, Revenue and Customer Relations, Housing, Development Planning and Urban Management, Emergency Management Services

Interest charged on late payments - R103,038.00.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Investigations have been made as to the cause of the problem and steps will be taken to prevent a recurrence in the future.

CORE - 2011

Office of the Speaker

Non-attendance of Conferences, Seminars and Training sessions by staff after the relevant bookings and payments has been made - R10,000.00.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None.

Development Planning and Urban Management

Consulting fees for the provision of training programmes to the Department R39,200.00.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Report submitted to Mayoral Committee for condonement.

Repairs to Fleet Africa Vehicle R14,793.54.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Report submitted to Mayoral Committee for condonement.

Economic Development, Office of the Executive Mayor, Finance, Revenue and Customer Relations, Housing, Development Planning and Urban Management, Emergency Management Services, JMPD

Interest charged on late payments - R327,288.03.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Investigations have been made as to the cause of the problem and steps will be taken to prevent a recurrence in the future.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	UP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
50. UNAUTHORISED EXPENDITURE				
Reconciliation of unauthorised expenditure Opening balance Unauthorised expenditure current year	94,444 164,046 258,490	94,444 94,444	48,498 3,213 51,711	- 48,498 48,498
GROUP - 2012				
1. Johannesburg Roads Agency				
This is as a result of the deficit incurred by the	entity at year end.	\mathbf{O}		
Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None		ŇV		
2. Johannesburg Property Company	C			
The above unauthorised expenditure mainly expenses which was not provided for on the re		isposal of asset	s, audit fees, a	and operating
Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None	\bigcirc			
GROUP - 2011	\sim			
1. Johannesburg Roads Agency				
This is as a result of the deficit incurred by the	entity at year end.			
Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None	γ			
2. Metrobus	• •			
Unauthorised expenditure could largely attribut	ted to overspending in emp	oloyee costs and	contracted serv	vices.
Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None	9			
CORE - 2012				

Emergency Management Service

Payments made to Fleet Africa for the leasing of vehicles, in the absence of sufficient budget R518,207.00

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : The Gauteng Provincial Government has signed a memorandum of understanding with the COJ EMS so as to increase the budget of leased vehicles.

Infrastructure and Services Department

Payments to Contractors for Guarding of Municipal Properties - R48,437,864.95.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : The matter is still under investigation.

CORE - 2011

JMPD

Payments to Contractors for Guarding of Municipal Properties - R48,437,864.95.

Additional text

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE				
Reconciliation of irregular expenditure Opening balance	454.160	2.290	339,732	
Irregular expenditure current year Approved by Council or condoned	434,100 83,011 (103,182)	454,759 (2,889)	275 (141)	- 339,732 -
	433,989	454,160	339,866	339,732

GROUP - 2012

1. The Johannesburg Development Agency

Appointment of suppliers without following SCM process.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

2. The Johannesburg Market

Due to non-compliance identified in the prior years, irregular expenditure to the value of R10,613,999 has been carried forward or incurred in the current year. These are as a result of legacy contracts. Subsequent to the 2011 financial year a full compliance audit was conducted in the supply chain department to test the processes implemented. As indicated in the report, the consultants observed that steps were implemented to address short-comings identified in the external audit and by the entity itself. However, recommendations were made to further improve compliance. It was noted that the supply chain department structure is being filled and that the supply chain management processes are being aligned to best practices.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

3. Johannesburg Roads Agency

No prior approval obtained for change in scope of work. Services rendered without a valid contract. Contract has expired and work was undertaken. Expenditure exceeded the appointed value of the contract. Transgression of the Supply Chain procedures. Section 32 appointment not approved by the Accounting Officer.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

4. Metrobus

Supply chain policies and procedures have not been adhered to.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

5. Metrotrading Company

Service Provider was appointed by the Project Manager. He then failed to pay the Service Provider. MTC settled the claim.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Under investigation so appropriate steps can be taken.

6. Pikitup Johannesburg

This relates to the ICT contract that lapsed and running on a month-onmonth pending the pending the finalization of the new tender process. A new contract is now in place.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

7. Roodepoort City Theatre

Acting Allowances to the Corporate Services Manager, Technical Manager and Gradings for the Finance Officer and Reception/Computicket clerk. due to resignations of CFO. and Admin Manager. Soweto Theatre Opening Launch Expenses.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

GROUP - 2011

1. Johannesburg Market

Based on the current system in place, irregular expenditure to the value of R50,237,904 was identified in the current year. A large portion of these breaches related mainly to a number of legacy contracts and arrangements awarded in the prior years and a few in the current year that did not comply with the requirements of the SCM policy. Although no documented evidence could be provided by management that certain processes prescribed by SCM Regulation 38 were followed, no evidence to the contrary could be found. Based on this and to be prudent an amount of R6,063,944 was classified as irregular expenditure as opposed to non-compliance. The system of identifying irregular expenditure is in a constant state of improvement so that in future all irregular and wasteful and fruitless expenditure will be identified and reported on.

~ /

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

2. Metrobus

Supply chain policies and procedures have not adhered to.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None.

3. Johannesburg Development Agency (Proprietary) Limited

The expenditure incurred was in contravention of the Supply Chain Management Policy, Regulation 36(1).

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : The Bid Adjudication Committee's request for approval of this ratification was not approved by the Accounting Officer.

4. Metrotrading Company

No bid documentation, Awards to employees in service of the state, No quotations and Non compliance with SCM Policy

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : Under investigation so appropriate steps can be taken.

5. Pikitup (Proprietary) Limited

This relates to the ICT contract that lapsed and running on a month-on-month pending the finalization of the new tender process. A new contract is now in place.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

6. City Power Johannesburg

Payments were made to suppliers in contravention of declaration in terms of Supply Chain Management regulation 13.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

CORE - 2012

- 1. Multilingual : service acquired without contract in place Ratification Approved, R141,215.00.
- 2. Events Galore : service incurred without valid order Awaiting ratification R66,883.00.
- 3. Tim Dollar Cleaning Service : no valid contract Awaiting ratification R52,150.00.
- 4. Butomi : procurement processes not followed (RFQ not done) Awaiting ratification R14,475.00.

Disciplinary steps/criminal proceedings : None

CORE - 2011

 Condonation/Ratification Extension for the utilization of a Service Provider due expired contract (992/06), Mponegele Consultancy, R300,240.00. Reason

Contract 992/06: Temporary Staff Employment Agency expired on 4 December 2008. A new Tender A350 was advertised but however its validity expired in December 2009. Since the expiry of Contract 992/06 the Department has been utilizing this contract from September 2008 to June 2010 without any authority.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

2. Ratification Engagement of a service provider without following the necessary Supply Chain Management Policy and Procedures, Sepolane Trading CC, R14,975.74. Reason

Procurement processes and procedures were followed through advertising on the City's notice board but the recommended service provider. failed to deliver the required services on time and the department was compelled to use the previous expired contract without obtaining authority.

3. Adjustment of the contract amount for the provision of professional project management services for the management of Contract No.178/08 renovations to existing hospital facilities and 177/08 renovations and alterations of Casa Mia building, Profica Project Management Services, Casa Mia R5,284,869.68 and BBV Phase 2 R4,647,934.64. Reason

Adjustment of professional fees due to the increase in the scope of work. Work already commenced without the department obtaining the necessary authority. Therefore the department's action be ratified.

The EAC's approval for payment of Malani Padayachee & Associates (Pty) Ltd (MPA Consulting Engineers) for the 4. work performed-advice on effects of diversion on City of Johannesburg due to Gauteng Freeway Improvement Scheme, MPA Consulting Engineers, R30,000.00. Reason

Procurement process was followed in terms of soliciting of quotations from the panel of service providers, however the service provider was engaged without an official order/report being signed by the delegated authority due to time constraints.

R160.000.00

R11,475.00

R11,475.00

R14,875.00

R11,475.00

R11,475.00

R12,750.00

R12,825.00

R15,535.00 R14,875.00

R17,750.00

R12,750.00

- Ratification, Engaging a Service Provider without following 5. the necessary Supply Chain Management Policies and Procedures:-R80.000.00
 - a) MC Masilo Peace Communications,
 - b) Nyasha Management Consultants, Pinky and Beauty Catering Services, c)
 - d) Impumelelo's Kitchen,
 - Kwapeng Construction and Services e)
 - f) JZK Business Enterprise,
 - Mapeteke Catering, g)
 - Mapeteke Catering, h)
 - i) Thabo M Designs,
 - Dinkwe Caterers, j)
 - Tiphineni Catering, k)
 - Kwapeng Construction & Services, I)
 - m) Mahlubandile Catering & Events,

Reason

Procurement processes and procedure were followed through advertising on the City's notice board but the department engaged the service provider before the report was signed by the relevant authority, Director SCMU.Security Services

Acquisition of service provider to clean two vacant properties of builders rubble and remove loose bricks on pavements 6. along the street, Elite Cleaners, R275,000.00.

Reason

The cleaning of the (IBC) was satisfactorily undertaken until the major influx of media arrived and the service provider was unable to respond to the increased numbers in terms of cleaning the toilets properly. On 7 June 2010 the service provider was called in and verbally warned. On the 15 June 2010. The Executive Director: 2010 Office was again contacted by FIFA who complained that the state of the toilets was shocking, and then in consultation with legal department, the contract was terminated and Soccer City cleaning company (Elite) took over the cleaning without the department following normal procurement process.

Approval of the payment of the service provider for Membership Fee, Gartner, R549,045.00. 7. Reason

Request approval for the payment of the services already rendered.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

 Condonation of deviation from Supply Chain Management Procedures: Approval for appointment of Bohlokoa Outdoor Advertising (Pty) Ltd for the provision of the storage for the minibus-taxis removed from the Phase 1A starter service routes, Bohlokoa Outdoor Advertising (Pty) Ltd, R563,684.40 and R47,652.00.

The previous service provider Tirhani advised the City that their lease of the said premises where the 167 taxis were stored was about to lapse. Tirhani indicated that they have another storage facility in Linbro Park. It, however, transpired that moving the vehicles from Devland to Linbro Park would be too expensive as some needed to be towed or transported due to insufficient fuel, flat tyres, faulty brakes and/or inoperative batteries.

To remove the vehicles to a different facility is a logistical nightmare, considering that, whilst in storage, their batteries and tyres go flat and therefore Bahlokoa Outdoor Advertising (Pty) Ltd was appointed without following SCM process to store the vehicles. Sporting attire for JMPD Sport Team, Ria's Embroidery, R39.

9. Rental payment for 2010 FWC Branding of the cooling towers at Soccer City Stadiums, Industrial Zone Limited, R296,400.00. Reason

The LOC as the custodian of FIFA branding requested the City to install and replace on all identified precincts along principal public routes all torn and damaged flags. The LOC has thus supplied the City with about 150 FIFA branded flags that require to be re-installed on M1 highway by 09 July 2010, therefore it was urgent to install these flags on time for the closing ceremony match at Soccer City on the 11th July 2010 and therefore quotations could not be solicited in compliance to SCM procedures.

10. Football for hope festival 2010 in Alexandra, Street Football World, R215,217.75. Reason

Due to the additional recreational facilities available for the participants, a decision was taken by the LOC and FIFA to move the team Village from River Park to Queens High School. As a result the City will not be contributing to the labour to manufacture the furniture but will be contributing towards the cost of the bedding while Streetfootballworld to procure the temporary bridge and bedding as they already have existing contracts with the relevant service providers.

11. Deviation Enterprise Resource Planning Post Implementation Support and End-user Training and training material review and updating, EOH Hetu and UCS, R64,796,000.00.

Reason

EOH Hetu and UCS have been providing resources for the function since the inception of the programme. It was not feasible nor cost effective to go out on tender now or extend IBM statement of work in its current format due to the steep rates and high mark up they levy of the resources. Direct contracting with the companies will save the City in excess of 35% of resources costs as there will be no third party make up hence EOH Hethu and UCS have been contracted as they have the required skill and capacity to provide the required services.

12. Ratification of payment to a service provider, deviate to continue utilize a contract for a further six months and authorization of payments for the months April, May and June 2010, Mponegele Consultancy, R515,200.00 and R193,200.00.

Reason

The department filled the vacancy of learnership project manager through a City contract for placement of personnel with a service provider for a period of six (6) months. The contract was extended in March 2010 for three (3) months as the incumbent was able to provide course for the new employees and learneship candidates. On extending the service level agreement, Mpongele Consultancy, contract with the City had gone past its appointment period it is therefore urgent to extend the contract for a period of six (6) months while the process is put in place to have the position filled on a full time basis.

 Request for extension of contract period for Rea Vaya Bus Rapid Transport System probity services by Grant Thornton, Grant Thornton, R149,402.50.
 Reason
 Extension of scope of work for probity advisory services for BRT.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

 Extension of the appointment of a service provider for the facilitation of signing of bus operating contract for phase 1A of the Rea Vaya BRT, Stratalign/Tokiso, R2,621,767.00. Reason

The contract of a service provider expired on the 30th June 2010 and it will not be possible to move forward and finalize the outstanding matters without the services of the service provider as they provide a critical role of being an independent chair and facilitator for negotiations therefore it is urgent to extend the contract of stratalign/Tokiso.

15. Approval for the appointment of a service provider for provision of the storage for the Minibus-Taxis removal from the Phase 1A starter service route, Bohlokoa Outdoor Advertising (Pty) Ltd, R515,565.00. Reason

Due to urgency it is important to appoint a service provider for a period of three (3) months to assist in the provision of the storage for the minibus-taxi because the contract of the previous storage facility had expired.

- 16. Appointment of a service provider to provide specialist legal support Dorljota taxi association for the formation of the trust company for the Rea Vaya Project, Modise Mabule Inc (Attorneys Labour and Commercial Practitioners, R143,000.00.
 - Reason

DORLJTA felt that the model of forming (TOICS) will not be suitable for them and requested the City to assist them to form a trust company of lawyers to help them form a trust. The City is about to finalize negotiations with the taxi industry and the transportation department does not have enough time to go on a tender for the appointment of specialist lawyers to help DORLJOTA to form a trust. As a way forward, DORLJOTA approved Modise Mabute Inc to assist them with formation of the trust.

17. Appointment of a service provider to provide technical support to the taxi industry for the finalization of bus operating contracts for phase 1A of the Rea Vaya, Fot Consulting, R1,500,000.00. Reason

Good progress at negotiations between the City and the Taxi Industry has been made, negotiations are about to be finalized. (TOICS) have been formed for all associations except for DORLJOTA Taxi Association. For the City to wrap up negotiations and handover the Bus Operating Company to the Taxi Industry, some of the task needs to be finalized. It is anticipated that it will take about three (3) months to finalize all outstanding issues pertaining to Rea Vaya contracts and taxi industry will still need technical support, hence it is necessary to extend the contract of the service provider for a three months (1 July 2010 to September 2010).

18. Report for the Park-and- Ride and Park-and-Walk facilities that were hired during the simulation on the 24 and 27 May 2010, Nasrec Park-and-Ride, Nasrec Park-and Walk, Shareworld Park-and-Walk, Rand Show Park-and-Walk and Constitutional Hill, R353,640.00. Reason

It was impractical for the Department of Transportation to go out on a competitive bidding process as the facilities were identified in terms of their location and suitability to operate as Park and Ride and Park and Walk for the 2010 Fifa World cup.

19. Report for the Constitutional Hill Park-and-Ride facility that was hired during the 2010 FIFA World Cup, Constitutional Hill, R786,500.00.

Reason

Constitutional Hill was the only suitable venue in terms of location for the Rea Vaya bus route and therefore normal procurement processes could not be followed, the negotiations with Constitutional Hill were concluded a few weeks before the event of the 2010 Fifa World Cup.

20. Request for Approval to Deviate from Normal Supply Chain Management Procedures to Extend the Appointment of FOT Consulting to Provide Technical Support to the Taxi Industry During the Rea Vaya Transition Period, FOT, R1,150, 000. Reason

On the 23rd August 2010 the City finalised Rea Vaya negotiations and signed the final agreement with the taxi industry paving the way for taxi operators to take over the Bus Operating Company from Clidet (Interim Bus Operating

Company). This agreement was signed after complex negotiations with the taxi industry. As part of the Executive Mayor's undertaking, the City has been providing technical support to the taxi industry through FOT Consulting. The contract of FOT Consulting would have come to an end on the 30th of September 2010 hence the deviation to extend the contract to conclude these negotiations.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

21. Extension of Services of Eyethu/ITS JV by Virtue of Tender A379, Eyethu Events and ITS Engineers JV , R1,768,750.00.

Reason

On 16 April 2010 tender A379 was awarded to Eyethu Events and ITS Engineers JV. The contract had additional services such as pedestrian bridge over the dangerously busy road adjacent to the Soccer City. However it was at the last minute found that additional pedestrian fencing, security and crowd control officer were indeed required leading up to the Rea Vaya stations at Ellis Park Soccer City. There was no longer time left to put out a bid for the additional services or to explore the provision of analternativeservice provider to provide the services since all other arrangements were finalised with Events and ITS Engineers. IV during the last week before the Soccer World

arrangements were finalised with Eyethu Events and ITS Engineers JV during the last week before the Soccer World Cup opening match at Soccer City hence the request for a Ratification.

22. Extension of Contract number Trans 001/02/07 for a period of 15 months to offer specialised coaching services for the MMC: Transportation, MIS Vidal T/a Wellspring, R228,000.00.

Reason

MIS Vidal T/a Wellspring was appointed initially on 23 May 2007 under contract number Trans 001/02/07 to provide specialised coaching services to the MMC Transportation. Due to continuity the service provider contract is further extended to complete the whole programme.

23. Facilitation of Preparatory Talks (Talks about Talks) with Potential Operations for Phase 1B of Rea Vaya, to Agree on a Suitable Model to be used for Phase 1B Contracts, Stratalign/Tokiso, R416,000.00. Reason

Transportation Department has completed negotiations with taxi operators for phase 1A of the Rea Vaya. All affected operators have formed taxi operating companies to take over Rea Vaya operations from Clidet. As part of the

preparation of Phase 1B, several stakeholders were engaged to try to agree on the model to be used for Phase 1B of the Rea Vaya. From this discussions it became clear that different stakeholders have divergent views about the model and based on this all parties felt that there was a need for a facilitated process to try to agree on the model and most of the stakeholders felt that Tokiso should be appointed to facilitate this engagement. Tokiso is preferred because they already have experienced with Phase 1A negotiations and they have been successful in facilitating the signing of Phase 1A contract hence the request for a deviation.

24. Motivation to Purchase Equipment and Call Centre Furniture Installed at 28 Harrison by Sibize for Gauteng Shared Services Centre (GSSC), Sibize, R888,050.00. Reason

The Executive Adjudication Committee at its meeting of 28 May 2010 approved the lease of 28 Harrison Street Building as it has been identified as a suitable site for the Unified Customer Contact Centre (UCCC). The identified building was once used as a Call Centre for GSSC and has all the required infrastructure, furniture that can be utilised by the City hence the request for deviation to procure this infrastructure from Sibize who were running the call centre on behalf of GSCC which contract has been terminated and they were vacating the building on 20 September 2010. Five other quotations were solicited from service providers on the City's contract, contract 103/08 for benchmarking purposes and all the service providers' pricing for the infrastructure and IT related equipment were exceptionally very high as apposed to the price offered by Sibize which was the lowest and included all the items required by the City.

25. Proposed Extension of the Contract for the Appointment of MTN Service Provider for Cellular Phone Provision, MTN, To be determined after a month, R8,000,000.00. Reason

Contract A186 Provision for Cellular Phone Services was awarded to MTN with effect from 1 January 2007 ending 31 July 2010. The ratification and deviation for the extension of the MTN contract is due to the change over and porting of lines from MTN to Vodacom which would only occur once all the City's contractual obligations with MTN have been satisfactory resolved.

26. Procurement Processes and Proposed Extension of Lease and for Additional Parking Bays to Accommodate Various City Departments at the CJ Cronje Building, City property administration (PTY) LTD, R4,123,287.00 and R714,780.00. Reason

The former Region 8 now Region F head office is located at the CJ Cronje building in Johannesburg. The original three year lease expires 30 November 2010 and the Region has requested to continue to occupy the premises for a further period of three years hence the deviation.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

27. Motivation for extra funds to pay Gondwana Environmental Services for the management of the City's Air Quality Monitoring stations and related data services, Gondwana, R140,725.40. Reason

Contract A222 was awarded to Gondwana Environmental Solutions for an amount of R1,752,066 including VAT for a period of three years starting from April 2007 ending June 2010. However the department omitted to include in the contract services such as minor repairs and maintenance of faulty equipment including the meteorological sensors and analyzers, which were deemed to be consumables and were to be separately catered for by the City hence the request for ratification for the amount of R140.725.40 to pay the service provider for the related services rendered.

28. Ratification of the services rendered by the supplier and final payment for the sourcing strategy (new IT Contract), Gartner, R180,576.00.

Reason

The Executive Adjudication Committee on the 29 February 2007, approved the Master Consulting contract with Gartner Ireland Ltd for a period of 12 months ending 20 March 2009. On January 2009, the then CIO concluded a Sourcing Strategy for the City's new IT contract with Gartner Consulting Ltd totaling R528,000.00 exclusive of VAT. A total of R421,343.70 has to date been paid and the R180,576.00 is for the last deliveries. The service provider could not complete the required services as per the relevant sourcing strategy before the contract termination on 30 March 2009, hence the request for the ratification.

Extension of Temporary Appointment of Dimension Data SA as a Result of Unforseen Circumstances in the Bid Evaluation, Adjudication and Award Processes and a Requirement for Due Diligence and Transitioning in respect of 29. New Proposal Number A387 - Outsourcing of ICT Services, Dimension Data SA, On the same rates as per contract A117. R159.908.103.04.

Reason

Contract A117 was awarded to Masana Technologies for a period of five years ending in 2010. Unfortunately Masana Technologies entered into a voluntary liquidation in 2009 and the City had no alternative but to urgently arrange for a take over the contract by Dimension Data and IBM for a period of 12 months ending September 2010. The contract for Dimension Data was further extended by the Executive Adjudication Committee1 October 2010 for a further period of two months until 30 November 2010 and thereafter continuing on a month to month basis with a 30 days notice period for termination until the finalisation of the appointment of service providers for the new contract number A387.

30. Appointment of a Service Provider for the 2011 and 2012 Joburg open including budget and expenditure, Trinergy, R1,000,000.00.

Reason

The City had a one year contract with Navitude (Pty) Ltd as subsidiary company of the SAIL Group now called Trinergy Group to manage the Joburg open 2011. With the City having rights to host the Joburg open up to 2015 it is important that there is an continuation on delivering the same standards if not better than previously demonstrated with the 2010 tournament. The main reason for not going out on a competitive bidding process is due to the fact that the scope is limited in golfing industry in relation to the management of major golfing tournaments. Trinergy has an extensive market track record of experience in managing international golfing tournaments across the country.

31. Extension of lease agreement to accommodate development planning and urban management guadrant offices. Murray an Roberts Properties Services (Pty) Ltd, R287,455.08. Reason

On the 17 September 2007, the Executive Adjudication Committee approved the lease for Development Planning & Urban Management, for office space for 137 Sivewright Avenue, New Doornfontein, for a period of 3 years ending September 2010. When the Urban Management model was revised, it introduced the Quandrants 1 & 2 and this deviation for the extention of the initial lease is meant to accommodate the Quadrants as the Quadrants Multidisciplinary Task Team (MDTT) already operates in this building.

32. Motivation for ED: ISD to Sign a New Two Year Lease Agreement with Broll from 01 October 2010 to September 2012, Broll, R545,313.84 per month excluding VAT. Reason

A deviation was approved by the Executive Adjudication Committee at its meeting of 29 March 2007 for ISD to enter into a three year lease agreement with the owners of 125 Simmonds Street ending September 2010. ISD further request to occupy the building for a further period of 24 months hence the deviation.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

 Continuation of a maintenance Contract for Digital High Speed Copiers, Pambili Document Solutions, R7,000,000.00 for a period of three years. Reason

Extension of existing contract prior to the commencement of the new contract.

34. Winding down on the MTN Contract and porting to Vodacom Service Provider, MTN, R24,770,391.65 for the period 1 December 2010 to 31 March 2011.

Reason

An extension was required to enable the City to settle all issues including porting with the existing service provider and the suspension of lines would have had an adverse effect on service delivery. Porting is a process outside the control of the City, MTN or Vodacom and controlled by a third party (ICASA) This process could take longer than anticipated.

35. Ratification of the actions of officials from the Transportation department for appointing a service provider without following normal SCM procurement process, Ka Lethabo Trading and Logistic CC, R322,500.00. Reason

The City had to prepare for the removal and transfer of the scrapped Minibus Taxis to the scrapping site within the first week of January. Due to the holiday season and the unavailability of many service providers, the short period of time within which the scrapping process was to be completed, the City had to make an urgent appointment of a vehicle towing service provider who was prepared to do the work within the 5 days period. The Department could not obtain prior approval to engage the service provider hence a request for condonation of their actions was submitted to the Accounting officer.

36. Ratification of the actions of officials from the Transportation department for appointing a service provider without following the normal SCM procurement process, Taxi Scrapping Administrator (Pty) Ltd, R494,959.00. Reason

The taxis to be scrapped had been in storage for a period of over a year and needed to be towed to the Taxi scrapping Administrator site which would have cost over R4 Million. It was therefore decided to scrap the taxis closer to where they were stored in order to minimize the cost. The Taxi Scrapping Administrator (Pty) Ltd needed to be paid for the provision of offsite mobile machines, labour and equipments. The Department could therefore not obtain prior approval to engage the service provider hence the request for condonation.

37. Deviation from the normal SCM policy and procedure to appoint a service provider to provide specialist legal support to Victimized operators participating in the Rea Vaya phase 1A bus operating contract, Leonard Singer Attorneys, R1,800,000.00.

Reason

On 3 February 2011, the Mayoral committee approved a four-pillar strategy to be adopted by the City to assist taxi operators who have been victimized, and as a result are unable to operate their vehicles, because of their involvement in the phase 1A bus operating contract. The appointment of legal counsel on behalf of the victimized operators was included in this mayoral committee approval. The victimized operators wanted to nominate their own service provider, and this was considered desirable, since the City wanted to avoid any potential conflict of interest situation that could have arisen as a result of the appointment of legal counsel chosen by the City. The appointment of the service provider was urgent, because the City was paying compensation to victimized operators, and urgent legal steps needed to be taken to move towards the reinstatement of the victimized operators. The appointment was also considered appropriate as the service provider was one who had been nominated by various groups in the taxi industry, and was considered to be the sole provider of the services required to be rendered.

 Deviation from normal procurement policy and procedures :Renewal of a maintenance Agreement for the Rea Vaya Station Doors, Dorman South Africa (PTY)Ltd, R618,165.00.
 Reason

Dorman and Sandpalm were the original suppliers of the Rea Vaya station glass sliding and steel roller-shutter doors, who also guarantee the doors. As the functioning of the stations depends largely on the functioning of its doors, the doors have to function optimally at all times, which requires ongoing maintenance and repair. Pending the appointment of a contractor to take responsibility for the maintenance of all aspects of the stations, maintenance SLA's were in the interim concluded by the City with Dorman and Sandpalm directly, which contracts expired at the end of January 2011. Permission was sought from the EAC to extend the contracts with Dorman and Sandpalm until the end of May 2011, where after they would be taken over by the station maintenance contractor.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

 Deviation from the normal SCM policy and procedures for the appointment of a service provider to implement a joint billing call centre solution, EOH, R13,145,245.00.
 Reason

Billing "challenges" were experienced within R&CRM. EOH was at that stage involved with the Billing Systems; enhancements; configurations and billing developments to address system challenges post Phakama implementation. EOH therefore had the necessary system knowledge, business knowledge and all necessary background to assist the City with the Billing challenges.Furthermore In order to ensure to the continuity and completion of work in progress a deviation was requested to appoint EOH as the preferred business partner.

- 40. Ratification of the actions of officials from the office of the CIO for appointing a service provider without following the normal SCM policy and procedures :
 - a) Di Data-Completion of projects Jan to March 2011
 - b) Di Data-Telkom Jan to March 2011
 - c) Fastrack- SAP Business Consulting
 - d) Highveld PFS-SAP Business Consulting
 - e) Sysma-Software for MPD EMS
 - f) Micotel-SAP Tech Programme Manager
 - g) MtechSA-SLA for tevonis, COJ technical environment
 - h) Eben Jacobs-management for technical environment
 - i) Moiloa-PM bucket Consultant
 - j) Blue Biason Basis Support
 - k) Motheo Consultants-Pick it ip-Blue Pencil

R6,901,500.00 R193,500.00 R326,800.00 R258,000.00 R172,000.00 R251,280.00 R640,000.00 R159,300.00 R666,500.00 R290,250.00 R1,440,500.00

Reasons

Due to the fact that tender A392 was adjudicated during February 2011 when critical ICT services necessary for the operations had to continue within COJ and those services were not covered by tender A387 or A392. A further month (March 2011) was requested as implementation of A392 took longer than anticipated and these critical services were requested from the service providers indicated.

41. Extension of the existing lease agreements at 222 Smith Street :-

a)	Housing building	-	R3,749,009.00
b)	Parking	-	R300,960.00
c)	OCIO building	-	R2,078,676.00
d)	Parking	-	R184,680,00
e)	Additional parking at Parkode	-	R594,000.00
s			

Reasons

1. The OCIO & Housing departments have established themselves at 222 Smit Street for efficient service delivery 2. The rental negotiated with the landlord was favorable and cheaper than the other rental space available for similar

accommodation in Braamfontein.

3. 222 Smit street is next to the Park station and convenient for its staff etc.

4. Close proximity to Metro Building (about 200 metres) and operational efficiency

5. The cost and time for set-up of new accommodation for operational requirements would amount to additional expenses and costs.

Therefore it was impractical to go out on a competitive bidding process for any other building as this building meets all the requirements of the two departments.

42. Deviation report for the appointment of Hamilton Hydraulic Services for the repairs of specialized equipments, Hamilton Hydraulic Services, R505,467.12.

Reason

Quotations were solicited for strip and repair various specialized equipments used for rescue purposes and generating power from various service providers for EMS's The other service providers contacted had other engagements and therefore could not respond to the request for quotations, whereas Hamilton Hydraulic Services had ample space and storage to hold on to the equipment. The service provider also happens to be a sole provider for the equipment. It was thus impractical to go out on Tender.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

43. Ratification of the actions of JMPD Officials for failure to comply with the provisions of the SCMU in respect of the appointment of a service provider to finalize disciplinary matters, Mthobembeni and Associates, R371,125.00. Reason

The service provider was appointed to prosecute JMPD Officers who obstructed the free way 0n 25 June 2008. The Service Provider has been used by the City in the past in similar cases and that prompted the Acting Chief of Police to appoint the service provider as he thought that they have a contract with the City. Hence the request for the ratification. The Deviation request relates to the extension of the mandate of the service provider to finalize those cases as it would be impractical to go out on tender to get a new service provider to finalize the cases.

44. Ratification of the actions of officials from the Health Department for appointing a service provider without following the normal SCM policy and procedures, Impilonhle Trading and Projects, R68,791.25. Reason

Region B health Department requested various quotations from service providers on the City Database to provide quotes for protective clothing. Impilonhle Trading Projects was found to comply with all the specification as required and that their price was the most reasonable. The department however contravened Section 19.2.5 of the City's Supply Chain Management Policy (splitting of requirement with the sole intention of circumventing any of the procurement mechanism listed is not allowed in the SCM policy) in that they have requested 14 Quotations from the

service provider totaling R68,791.26 as opposed to consolidating all these requirements and advertising them on the Notice Board as per the MFMA Regulations. The Region was of the impression that individual invoices from each clinic were less than R30,000 and therefore they can be dealt with in terms of soliciting quotations under R30,000.

45. Appointment of a service provider to construct the City Of Johannesburg Metro's Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) Model, University of Johannesburg, R1,100,000.00. Reason

DED solicited quotations from three High Education Institutions namely, Wits University, University of Johannesburg and University of South Africa to construct the City's Metro Computable General Equilibruim(CGE) Model as the City has signed a MOU with these Institutions.

46. Ratification for payment of outstanding account for Arch Chemicals (Pty) Ltd, Arch Chemicals (Pty) Ltd, R631,200.00. Reason

The service provider was appointed to maintain the chemical dosing system at all municipal swimming pools as they are the sole providers of the chemical dosing system. When the initial appointment was about to expire the department called for tenders to determine if there were new players in the industry. The same service provider was the only company that responded to the tender and the tender was only evaluated and approved four months after its expiry and there were invoices for the four months that need to be paid hence the request to ratify the actions of officials from Community Development were submitted.

- 47. Renewal of lease for Blackheath and Malvern Libraries without following the normal SMU policy and procedures ;a) Momentum Property Investment (PTY) Ltd-Blackheath Library - R344,027.67
 - Momentum Property Investment (PTY) Ltd-Blackheath Library R344,027.67 Momentum Property Investment (PTY) Ltd-Blackheath Library - R162,021.12

Reason

h)

The Libraries have been operational in these locations for more than 9 years and have established these premises as their base. It was therefore impractical to go out on a competitive bidding process due to the accessibility and location of these libraries since they have established themselves there for longer periods.

48. Ratification of the actions of officials from the Community Development department for appointing a service provider without following SCM policy and procedures :-

a)	Elite Cleaning Services	-	R396,104.90
b)	Malvern Plaza CC	-	R155,921.00
c)	Malvern Library	-	R100,595.56

Reason

The contract went beyond its legal deadline whilst the process of appointing a new contractor who is on the Panel of Contractors was in the process of being finalized.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

51. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

49. Deviation from the normal SCM policy and procedures in the appointment of a service provider in relation to the payment of the sponsorship, ATP & ITF, R6,000,000.00. Reason

The Department attained approval from Mayoral Committee to purchase the rights to the tournament and the approval from the EAC to appoint the service provider but not the mechanism through which the payment is going to be made. Hence the deviation to request an approval to pay the amount through The Sponsorship Company.

52. IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE

Pikitup Johannesburg received 350 concrete bins from COGTA worth R148,750.00.

Johannesburg Property Company received assets from the parent municipality worth R483,000.00.

The Johannesburg Zoo received donations from various individuals and corporates worth R210,423.00

Health and Social Development received the following:

- Refreshments for women's fun walk donated by ABI Coke worth R15,000.00.
- Tea, milk and coffee donated by Nestle worth R2,500.00.
- Fruits worth R800.00, donated by Joburg Market.
- Ablution facilities worth R680.00 donated by Supreme Toilets.

Human Development received the following:

- Food and financial support of staff donated by Immaculate Night Shelter, R10,000.00.
- Catering of fruits, juice, toothpastes, toothbrush and transport for a Children's event, amounting to R81,000.00, donated by Dental Health SA.
- Cereals, vegetables, fruits, bread and non perishables worth R3,500.00 donated by Food Bank SA.
- Donation of a OVC Camp by Sports and Recreation worth R4,000.00.
- 4 piece lounge suite and clothing donated by the Union of Jewish amounting to R4,000.00.
- Plates, towels, slippers and gowns, donated by Fairway Hotel in Randburg, amounting to R2,000.00.
- Laptop worth R6,000.00, donated by Dimension Data.
- Appliances for the clubhouse competition (54cm TV, Microwave, Mini Radio), worth R2,000.00 donated by A.A Wholesalers.
- Food and blankets worth R2,000.00 donated by Twilight Children.
- Food to the amount of R5,000.00 by Woolworths Killarney.
- Bread and food donated by Rosebank Union, R2,000.00.
- Every child's birthday refreshments donated by ABI Coke, R30,000.00.
- T shirts donated by Pambili and Mkhonza, R52,000.00.
- Cakes worth R6,500.00 donated by Daitso.
- Gifts worth R12,000.00 donated by Digital Invent and Mjayeli Security.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

52. IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE (continued)

- Sweets, chocolates and biscuits worth R1,000.00 donated by Food Bank.
- Music CDs worth R9,000.00 donated by Ulrico.
- Entertainment (Winnie Khumalo and Mzambiya) donated by Universal Record Company, R20,000.00.
- Ice cream worth R3,500.00 donated by KULFI (Lenasia).
- 100 tickets for the Cinderella show, donated by the Joburg Theatre, worth R25,000.00.
- 300 tickets donated by Joburg Zoo for senior citizens to visit the Zoo, R1,020.00
- 700 grocery packs for senior citizens christmas donated by the CEO of Dreamteam Trading 333, R126,000.00.
- 1000 vegetable packs for senior citizens christmas party hamper, donated by the Joburg Market, R15,000.00.
- 34 scarves and hat combo for senior citizens christmas party donated by Joburg City Parks, R1,360.00.
- School uniforms worth R6,000.00 donated by Snappers and Jet Midrand.
- Cooked lunch for day care for the aged worth R2,000.00, donated by Meals on Wheels.
- Venue, toiletries and non perishables, donated by the Food Bank and Sports and Recreation worth R2,400.00.
- French polony donated by Alex Butchery, R350.00
- Catering donated by Dr. Ishmail, R300.00.
- Sewing Machinery to the value of R100,000.00 donated by Crown Gold Recoveries (Pty) Ltd.
- Food donation for the Phiri day care centre, donated by Dikgabane Primary School governing body, R50,000.00.
- Clothing to be used at Tladi skills centre donated by Best Men Foundation (NPO), R200,000.00.
- 70 vegetable packs and fruit packs for the International Women's Day celebration in Region A, B, D and Migrant unit amounting to R7,000.00 donated by the Joburg Market.
- Vegetables worth R2,000.00 donated by the Joburg Fresh Produce.
- Food, blankents and clothing worth R4,000.00 donated by the Bryanston Methodist Church.
- Clothing and assistance of payments donated by His People worth R2,500.00.
- Refreshments worth R30,000.00 donated by ABI Coke.
- Catering donated by Impilo College worth R15,000.00.
- Rolls and bread worth R600.00 donated by Fournos Bakery Southgate.
- Altrek Sports Complex donated by Sports and Recreation to the amount of R2,300.00.
- Catering for training on parenting and toy making in Diepsloot donated by Hope Worldwide, R3,000.00.
- Accredited training of 100 ECD facilities on Inclusion worth R300,000.00 donated by the Inclusion Support Services in partnership with ABSA and the Southern African Association for Learning & Educational Differences.

Libraries and Information Services received the following:

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

52. IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE (continued)

- 1210 books received, but only 660 were usable. Donation to the amount of R42,900.00 made by various schools for JCL friends.
- 21 sets of 20 titles donated by Bhaktivendata Book Trust worth R56,700.00.
- 81 books for prizes towards the scuffle program donated by Books Only, R12,625.00.
- Books donated towards the opening of the Johannesburg City Library by Katherine Munroe, R270.00.
- Books (set of world books and books for young adult reference) donated towards the opening of the Johannesburg City Library by the United States Information Services, R24,405.00.
- Children and adult books worth R71,250.00 donated by La Salle Primary School.
- Adult and children fiction books donated by Macmillan Publishers, R756,630.00.
- Books donated by the Sandton Friends (Exclusive) worth R9,618.20.
- Books donated by the Randburg Friends (Estoril) worth R5,000.00.
- Books donated by the Riverpark Friends (Exclusive) worth R3,125.20.
- Books donated by the Parkview Friends (Exclusive) worth R53,542.20.
- Books donated by the Linden Friends (Exclusive) worth R10,527.68.
- Books donated by the Bryanston Friends (Exclusive) worth R4,018.50.
- Adults' and childrens' books donated by various libraries worth R400,555.00.

Sports and Recreation received the following:

- 1 permanent volunteer at Ivory Park North Community Hall Cleaning 5 days a week, donated by Sgezamadolobha Community project to the amount of R1,500.00.
- 2 permanent volunteers at Rabie Ridge Community Hall Cleaning 5 days a week donated by Sgezamadolobha Community project to the amount of R1,500.00
- Filling of 2 potholes and replacing 1 wooden door at parkhurst recreation to the tune of R10,700.00 donated by Greg.
- 1 advertising board for Spanish dancing donated by Nan Roberts Estates, R500.00.
- Renovation of Ladies Bathroom, painting of passage, replace 1 gum pole and fixing of a burst pipe at Roosevelt park Recreation Centre, donated by Graham Gymnastics, R9,300.00.
- Fixing urn at Roosevelt park recreation by Ian de Waal worth R300.00.
- Repaired parking lights, changed relay contractor, replaced ballast and faulty detector at the Danie van Zyl Recreation Centre, donated by Herman van der Merwe worth R3,500.00.
- Painting and installing of mirrors in the boxing hall at the Danie van Zyl Recreation Centre, donated by Gert Strydom worth R1,500.00.
- Painting burglar doors and walls of the nursery school at the Danie van Zyl Recreation Centre, donated by Dorothy Adams, R1,500.00.
- 2 pool tables, 10 soccer balls, 4 table tennis bats and ball set, 1 soccer table, etc. at the Riverlea Recreation Centre, donated by DRD mining amounting to R20,000.00.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

52. IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE (continued)

- 2 by 20 litre paint donated by Eniro Parks worth R1,100.00.
- Donation of groceries at the Paterson Park Recreation Centre worth R1,290.00. Donation made by Teddy Bear Clinic, Antalis, Lynne Morgan, Cartoon Candy and JC Bakery.
- Donation of stage lights, emergency lights and other repairs amounting to R1,100.00, donated by Friends of Jabula Recreation Centre.
- Outreach : Math lessons for 1 child amounting to R7,480.00 donated by Elsie van Solen (KipMcGrath).
- Studio 2 paint, vacuum cleaner, vacuum bags, etc donated by the Friends of Ernest Allmann Recreation Centre to the amount of R46,226.80.
- KOI fish food worth R1,440.00 donated by Manor Gallery to the Norscot Manor Recreation Centre.
- 2 platters of food donated by Rose Johnson, R600.00.
- Two volunteers from CWP, one at Marlboro hall and the other at Eastbank hall amounting to R1,000.00. Donation made by Community Works Programme.
- 2 interns donated by the Gauteng provincial Dept. of Sport and Recreation, Arts and Culture and Heritage worth R3,000.00.
- 25-30 kg meat every week (sometimes twice a week) donated to Lenasia Ext. 7 Recreation Centre by Khans Butchery, R1,000.00.
- Money for training senior citizens once a week at the Protea South MPCC amounting to R100.00 daily. Donation made by Shape-Up Gym.
- Food, fruits and vegetables for senior citizens at the Don Matemann Recreation Centre, donated by Pick and Pay, R1,000.00.
- Boxing kit with punching bags for the Boxing Club at the Don Matemann Recreation Centre. Donation to the amount of R8,000.00 made by Booysens Boxing Club.

Environmental Management received the following:

- Flight costs towards C40 CITIES Conference held in Basel, attended by Barney Kgope, donated by C40 Global Energy Basel, R7,563.47.
- Reimbursement of flight costs towards a workshop held in Korea, attended by Linda Phalatse, donated by Iclei Unisdr, R11,749.41.

Health Department received the following:

- A wheelchair received from Roodepoort Rotary Club worth R1,000.00.
- A walker received from Roodepoort Rotary Club worth R500.00.
- A pair of crutches received from Roodepoort Rotary Club worth R500.00.
- 100 tablet counting trays worth R1,000.00 donated by Aspen Pharmacare.
- Fruits worth R1,500.00 donated by the Fresh Produce Market.
- Flowers worth R350.00 donated by Multi Flora.
- 2 Childrens play areas donated by Momentum worth R4,000.00.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE (continued) 52.

- Defy 20 litre microwave donated by Old Mutual worth R800.00.
- Portable vegetable garden boxes for the Alexandra community donated by the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations worth R75,000.00.
- Active plasma kit worth R15,293.00 donated by Glucose Machines and Roche Diagnostics.
- Samsung celeron laptop donated by Broadcast HIV Africa worth R2,999.00.
- Evavirenz worth R1,182,080.00 donated by Aarum Institute.
- Tenofovir worth R1,511,680.00 donated by Aarum Institute.
- Abacavir worth R480,000.00 donated by Aarum Institute.
- Electric oven donated by Edgar Adams worth R499.00.

Johannesburg Metropolitan Police Department received the following:

- 5 x HP Box sets donated by Traffic Management Technologies, totalling R40,000.00.
- 5 x 19 inch LG Monitors donated by Traffic Management Technologies, totalling R7,500.00.
- 2 x Television sets donated by Cosira International (PTY) Ltd, totalling R2,400.00.
- 1 x Washing Machine donated by Cosira International (PTY) Ltd, totalling R2,200.00.
- 1 x KIC Fridge donated by Cosira International (PTY) Ltd, totalling R3,600.00.
- 1 x Phillips Fridge donated by Cosira International (PTY) Ltd, totalling R1,800.00
- 5 x Dogs donated by various donors worth R2,500.00.
- 6 x Horses donated by various donors worth R160,000.00

A register of all donations and assistance is available for inspection at the registered office.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	
53. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNIC	CIPAL FINANCE MANA	GEMENT ACT			
Contributions to organised local government					
Council subscriptions Amount paid - current year	9,800 (9,800)	9,500 (9,500)	9,800 (9,800) -	9,500 (9,500) -	
Audit fees					
Opening balance Current year audit fee Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years	3,725 37,454 (33,517) (5,151)	1,721 27,078 (21,562) (3,512)	3,289 19,408 (21,142)	775 12,720 (10,206) -	
	2,511	3,725	1,555	3,289	
PAYE and UIF	0	3			
Opening balance Current year payroll deductions Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years	57,054 1,024,521 (930,679) (56,059)	42,623 912,088 (854,803) (42,854)	49,061 657,897 (607,196) (49,061)	35,514 609,855 (560,794) (35,514)	
	94,837	57,054	50,701	49,061	
Pension and Medical Aid Deductions	<u>%</u> .				
Opening balance Current year payroll deductions and council contributions	79,773 1,429,451	68,487 1,267,627	78,931 1,113,175	67,373 977,328	
Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years	(1,340,754) (79,799)	(1,154,087) (102,254)	(1,025,181) (78,931)	(898,397) (67,373)	
	88,671	79,773	87,994	78,931	
VAT					
VAT receivable VAT payable	115,243 841,938	150,851 710,833	110,274 -	147,662 -	
	957,181	861,684	110,274	147,662	

VAT output payables and VAT input receivables are shown in note .

All VAT returns have been submitted by the due date throughout the year.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

53. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued)

Councillors' arrear consumer accounts

The following Councillors had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days at 30 June 2012. All amounts are disclosed in Rands and not rounded to the nearest thousand.

30 June 2012

Matladi JM Radebe MT Dyodo P Pretorius LR Valentine ML Nkoane MM

	Outstanding less than 90 days R	Outstanding more than 90 days R	Total R
	1,747 516 774 249	125 17,066 1,656 5,518	125 18,813 2,172 6,292
C	249 58	579 15,075	828 15,133
	3,344	40,019	43,363
\mathbf{Q}		,- •	,- 30
\mathcal{O}^{\cdot}			
<u>%</u> .			

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

53. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued) The following Councillors had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days as at 30 June 2011. All amounts are disclosed in Rands and are not rounded to the nearest thousand.

30 June 2011	Outstanding less than 90	Outstanding more than 90	Total R
	days	days	
	R	R	
Cooper JL	1,300	6,937	8,237
Dyodo P	456	3,205	3,661
Gcabashe BTS	410	2,600	3,010
Gwebu JS	-	23,238	23,238
Kekana L	194	1,259	1,453
Landis R	2,176	13,275	15,451
Lemao SJ	1,839	5,403	7,242
Maimane MA	1,182	2,607	3,789
Maisha NP	1,310	1,116	2,426
Maluleke MF	2,218	4,626	6,844
Masemola MP	1,083	160	1,243
Matladi JM	- 1 000	125	125
Matiou MG	1,860	3,328	5,188
Mbatha IN	972 938	3 718	975 1,656
Mgcina SE Mncwabe MH	6,555	256	6,811
Mnisi MS	1,051	256 9,911	10,962
Makoko F	2,035	18,567	20,602
Matsumi LI	2,035	894	1,042
Mthombeni SB	4,007	1,589	5,596
Netnow DM	5,221	301,863	307,084
Nhose JL	58	2,173	2,231
Nkhasi G	2,051	11,755	13,806
Ravid M	2,031	7,107	9,886
Sethole PE	1,341	11,799	13,140
Sikwega Q	1,041	4	4
Stewart PLL	10,579	11,390	21,969
Tseleli ET	652	7,689	8,341
Tshabalala J	4,666	1,433	6,099
Twala YA	437	195	632
Valentine ML	92	155	247
Valentine ML	268	598	866
Van Der Merwe MT	-	3,802	3,802
Vondo FC	89	1,617	1,706
Weir PEH	2,173	53,745	55,918
	60,140	515,142	575,282

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GR	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

53. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued) During the 2012 year the following Councillors' had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days.

30 June 2012			Highest outstanding nount > than	Total (Rands)	Comments
		an	90 days		
Bittkau R		-	6,355	16.675	Arreas 90+days
Cooper JL		-	7,736		Arreas 90+days
Dewes DS		-	5,416		Salary deduction
Dewes DS		-	2,874	4,774 \$	Salary deduction
Dewes DS		-	14,234		Salary deduction
Dube EA		-	33		Arreas 90+days
Dyodo P		-	3,925		Salary deduction
Dyodo P			1,656		Arreas 90+days
Gcabashe BTS Gudlhuza SP			2,656 30,022		Salary deduction
Guulluza SP Gwebu JS		(-	23,238		Arreas 90+days Salary deduction
Jane DK			23,230		Arreas 90+days
Kekana L			1,281		Salary deduction
Kubayi RM		V	3,509		Salary deduction
Landis R	(* -	14,597		Salary deduction
Lemao SJ		ノ) -	6,219	8,240	Arreas 90+days
Louw MA	\sim	-	229		Arreas 90+days
Mafokwane MM		-	1,176		Arreas 90+days
Maimane MA		-	708		Arreas 90+days
Maimane MA		-	1,169		Arreas 90+days
Maisha NP		-	855		Arreas 90+days
Maluleke MF Masemola MP		-	7,049 1,223		Salary deduction
Masemola MP		-	922		Salary deduction Salary deduction
Matladi JM		-	125		Arreas 90+days
Matlou MG		-	779		Arreas 90+days
Mbatha IN	1	-	455		Arreas 90+days
Mgcina SE	XV	-	1,365		Salary deduction
Mncwabe MH		-	1,919		Arreas 90+days
Mnisi MS		-	10,719		Salary deduction
Matohane-Mtubu TA		-	191		Arreas 90+days
Motha MS		-	1,190		Arreas 90+days
Motsumi LJ		-	954		Salary deduction
Mshayisa W Mthombeni SB		-	167 2,497		Arreas 90+days Salary deduction
Mthombeni SB		-	5,399		Salary deduction
Mulaudzi MS		-	802		Arreas 90+days
Ndlela NM		-	1,728		Arreas 90+days
Netnow DM		-	302,496		Salary deduction
Nhose JL		-	2,178		Arreas 90+days
Nkhasi G		-	12,637		Arreas 90+days
Nkoane MM		-	20,724		Arreas 90+days
Nkqayi ZE		-	397		Arreas 90+days
Nodikane NP		-	2,914		Arreas 90+days
Pretorius LR		-	5,518		Arreas 90+days
Radebe MT Rakosa PM		-	33,066 9,178		Arreas 90+days Salary deduction
Raphata AM		-	2,300		Arreas 90+days
Ravid M		-	8,093		Arreas 90+days
Seefort CM		-	1,657		Arreas 90+days
Sethole PE		-	13,234		Salary deduction
Sibanyoni LP		-	73		Arreas 90+days
Sikweqa Q		-	4	4 /	Arreas 90+days

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

53. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued)

- - -	2,230 17 3	8,446 Salary deduction 5,671 Arreas 90+days
-		5,671 Arreas 90+days
-	3	
	0	45 Arreas 90+days
-	6,285	6,948 Arreas 90+days
-	859	859 Arreas 90+days
-	3,024	8,579 Arreas 90+days
-	5,126	10,246 Arreas 90+days
-	11,090	12,148 Arreas 90+days
-	632	1,392 Salary deduction
-	182	308 Arreas 90+days
-		828 Arreas 90+days
-		1,069 Arreas 90+days
-		3,802 Salary deduction
		2,162 Arreas 90+days
Г		1,942 Salary deduction
	128	4,929 Arreas 90+days
		58,459 Arreas 90+days
		12,995 Arreas 90+days
	674,314	828,788 -
		- 102 - 579 - 777 - 3,802 - 119 - 1,848 128 55,213 2,557 - 674,314

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GF	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

53. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued) During the 2011 year the following Councillors had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days.

30 June 2011	Highest outstanding amount > 90 days	Total (Rands) Comments
Bailey LR -	1,830	2,951 Salary deduction
Bailey LR -	1,566	2,732 Salary deduction
Bittkau R -		
Bovu BD -	5,792 168	15,685 Arrears 90+days
	6,937	168 Arrears 90+days
Cooper JL -	28,931	8,237 Arrears 90+days
Dewes DS -		36,651 Salary deduction
Dewes DS -	34,572	43,774 Salary deduction
Dewes DS -	5,717	7,361 Arrears 90+days
Dewes DS -	84	1,231 Arrears 90+days
Dewes DS	18,512	26,186 Arrears 90+days
Dewes DS	5,619	9,676 Salary deduction
Dhlomo AN	2,459	2,623 Query on account
Dlamini TJ	54,181	62,618 Query on account
Dlamini TJ	4,399	5,598 Salary deduction
Dyodo P	3,205	3,661 Arrears 90+days
Gcabashe BTS	2,600	3,010 Arrears 90+days
Gwebu JS -	23,238	23,238 Arrears 90+days
Kekana L -	1,259	1,453 Arrears 90+days
Khanyi CJ -	1,528	2,708 Salary deduction
Landis R -	13,275	15,451 Arrears 90+days
Lemao SJ -	5,403	7,242 Arrears 90+days
Lichaba DL -	7,627	9,195 Salary deduction
Louw MA -	262	1,827 Arrears 90+days
Lutya RN -	2,085	2,506 Salary deduction
Machaba TEL -	687	1,313 Query on account
Mahlangu JP -	5,745	6,398 Salary deduction
Maimane MA -	2,607	3,789 Arrears 90+days
Maisha NP -	1,116	2,426 Arrears 90+days
Maluleke MF -	4,626	6,844 Arrears 90+days
Masemola MP -	160	1,243 Arrears 90+days
Matladi JM -	125	125 Arrears 90+days
Matlou MG -	3,328	5,189 Arrears 90+days
Mbasela JV -	1,104	6,587 Arrears 90+days
Mbatha IN -	23	503 Arrears 90+days
Mgcina SE -	718	1,655 Arrears 90+days
Middlemas-White -	541	569 Arrears 90+days
Mkhize DS -	3,703	4,849 Salary deduction
Mncwabe MH -	256	6,811 Arrears 90+days
Mnisi MS -	9,911	10,961 Arrears 90+days
Moepi NR -	25	150 Arrears 90+days
Mohlala NP -	9,276	35,085 Arrears 90+days
Mokoena A -	1,253	1,253 Arrears 90+days
Mokoko F -	18,567	20,602 Arrears 90+days
Monareng OE -	576	576 Arrears 90+days
Motsumi LJ -	894	1,042 Arrears 90+days
Msiza SB -	377	6,871 Arrears 90+days
Mthalane P -	90	154 Arrears 90+days
Mthombeni SB -	1,589	5,596 Arrears 90+days
Mtshali EV -	191	633 Arrears 90+days
Netnow DM -	301,863	307,084 Arrears 90+days
Nhose JL -	2,173	2,231 Arrears 90+days
Nkhasi G -	11,755	13,805 Arrears 90+days
Nyambe AT -	1	54 Arrears 90+days
•		

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	OUP	CORE	
-igures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
			a mélia ya al	
53. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS (Phakathi TZ	OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANA			
Pilakauli 12 Putsoa A	-	5,051 9,460		Query on account Salary deduction
	-			
Radebe C	-	9,639		Salary deduction
Ramakhula MS	-	206		Salary deduction
Ravid M	-	7,107		Arrears 90+days
Sabbagh ST	-	21,686		Query on accoun
Sabbagh ST	-	34,426		Query on accoun
Seboyane MA	-	1,026		Arrears 90+days
Seefort CM	-	10,274		Arrears 90+days
Sethole PE	-	11,799		Arrears 90+days
Sikweqa Q	-	4		Arrears 90+days
Stewart PLL	-	11,390		Arrears 90+days
Tseleli ET	-	7,689		Arrears 90+days
Tshabalala J	-	1,433	6,099 A	Arrears 90+days
Twala YA	-	195		Arrears 90+days
/alentine ML		598		Arrears 90+days
/alentine ML	-	155		Arrears 90+days
Van Der Merwe MT		3,802		Arrears 90+days
Vondo FC		1,617		Arrears 90+days
Weir PEH	· · · ·	53,745		Arrears 90+days
			995,342	
		805,831	995,342	
	0,			
	~~·			
	^v			
5	~			
. ?	S			
	-			
\sim				

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS

In terms of Section 36 (2) of the supply chain management regulation,

 Accommodation-Health Department, Region B, Auckland Park period 3 years, service provider, ACA Krans Trust R2,661,815.52.
 Reason

The Health Department has eastablished its offices at 35 Symons Road, Auckland Park over the period of 8 years and the public and the suppliers have been using the premises to engage with the Department, hence the request for a deviation to extend the lease for those premises as it is impractical to go out on tender on the same building.

- Appointment of Events Galore Once off payment, Service provider Events R53,547.00. Reason
 Service Providers scope of work extended to cover the emergencies that occurred as a result of demonstrations by communities.
- 3. Appointment of Technical Support to Phase 1A BRT Mini-Bus Taxi Operators Owned Investment Companies (TOIC's) Once off payment, Service Provider FOT Consulting R154,400.00. Reason

Extension of the original scope of work done by FOT.

4. Appointment of Gil Penelosa from "8-80 Cities" to Conduct a series of "Street Alive" Workshops for Transport Officials, Key Stakeholders and Members of the Public. Once off payment, Service provider Mr Gil Penelosa R151,864.00. Reason

The City's Transport department has adopted the "Streets Alive" programme as part of its goals of transforming the way transport programmes are implemented in communities.

The Street Alive programme is in line with the City's 2040 GDS strategy, which aims to transform Johannesburg into an African City that provides quality of life for it's citizens.

5. Accommodation - Shareholders Unit, Service Provider Kuper Leigh Property Management Pty Ltd R1,819,041.17, Reason

The Shareholders Unit lease at Parktown was extended on a month to month basis for a period of 3 years whilst the department awaits the outcome of the institutional design.

- Accommodation Corporate and Shared Services, Service provider Life Properties R11,905,710.24, Reason
 The Finance Department lease at 66 Jorissen Street was extended on a month to month basis for a period of 12 months as the department was awaiting the consolidation strategy championed by Group Corporate and Shared Services.
- Approval of payment of the Service Provider, Manenzhe Hygiene Solutions, Service Provider, Manenzhe Hygiene Solutions, R72,000.00.
 Reason

Revenue and Customer Relations Management utilised the services of Manenzhe Hygiene Solution from FMMU approved (Contract 144/08) Panel of Service Providers to provide services to the City of Johannesburg. This contract expired in March 2012 but the department continued to untilise the service provider for a period of three months (April to June), as these services could not be discontinued as it was going to pose a health risk to call centre staff and management.

8. Approval to Appoint a Service Provider to Supply Operational Uniforms for Emergency Services using quotations, Service Provider Fine Fit Uniforms and Overall CC, Link Reflective and Protective Designs CC, Simon Setuke Distributors CC, R9,775,275.30.

Reason

Emergency Management Services has been unable to procure and issue uniforms to employees over the past three years and the situation reached levels where employees were de-motivated, threatening industrial action and at times report to work in civilian clothes. The issue of the lack and non-provision of uniform had already been a subject of the media through some disgruntled employees and may be used as a basis by labour representatives to incite industrial action. It is on this basis that EMS management approached the City Manager and the Executive Adjudication Committee to allow the process to solicit "quotation process" on the basis of the submissions that have been received during the tender process as the process to re-advertise would be lengthy.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

9. Procurement of Early Flood Warning System, Service Provider, The South African Weather Services, R192,935.80. Reason

The South African Weather Services is the sole provider for the Early Food Warning System.

10. Supply and delivery of Protective Clothing: EMS Disaster Management Section, Sercive Provider Tshwarella ka Thata R101,093.30

Reason

The requirements were advertised on the notice board as required by the SCM Policy. A company by the name of Divas Trading Enterprise was recommended initially, however it was discovered that they did not submit samples and were then disqualified and the second complying service provider Tshwarella was recommended but however was engaged prior to the report being signed/authorised.

11. Deviation from Supply Chain Management Processes Regarding the Extension of Contract No: A264 for the City of Johannesburg Website and News Agency During the Website Migration Project Implementation, Service Provider Dimension Data, (i) Ratification: Reg 36(1)(b) 6 August to 5 September R367,891.66

(ii) Deviation: Regulation 36(1)(v) September 2011 to February 2012 R1,839,458.30.

Reason

The current contract for the hosting of the City's website expired on 5 August 2011. The user department requested that the contract be extended to facilitate migration of the website to the City's CIOG office. Sufficient lead times are required to implement the website migration project. The required extension will allow for the procurement of the required infrastructure, installation and hand over the implementation of the project.

- 12. Authorisation for Procurement of Catering for 500 People at the Funeral of the Late Mrs Albertina Sisulu, Service Provider Lapa La Rona Catering, R345,556.80. Reason Urgent requirement, it was impractical for the department to go out on tender.
- Appointment of a Service Provider, Service Provider REN-FORM, R95,190.00. Reason
 The Departmental tender A290 could not be utilized as supplies on that tender could not be used due to their previous none delivery.
- 14. Increase the tender amount of Tender A358, R6,000,000.00

Reason The amount allocated to Tender A358 was appropriate for the Public Liaison Department only. Due to the successful management of the branding at events and campaigns, other departments tapped into this contract. The launch of the GDS2040 Outreach Programme, led to the limit of R9,000,000.00 being reached before the expiry date. The Public Liaison Department planned for all the projects for the 2011/2012 fiscal including the 125 years, plus remaining branding, stand building and associated projects between November 2011 and March 2012. The celebration for Joburg have an additional amount of R6,000,000.00 hence the request to increase the tender A358 value in order for the Department to undertake its remaining projects and at the same time initiate a new tender process.

 Request for Approval of Payment for the Discovery 702 Walk the Talk Event for 2011, Service Provide Primedia Broadcasting R44,890.49 Reason

Primedia broadcasting is the sole provider for the 702 Walk the Talk.

 Launch of the growth and Development Outreach Programme: Payment of deposit for venue and catering, Service Provider The Forum Company R275,019.82.
 Reason

Due to tight time lines in connection with the GDS Outreach Programme, it was impractical to follow the normal procurement process in securing the venue; hence the request for the deviation was requested.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

- 17. Appointment of a Task Team to support Clusters in Translating Joburg 2040 to implementation Service Provider
 - Ms Leticia Greylin
 - Mr Roland Hunter
 - Mr Ralph Mathekga
 Dr Sandra Musengi-Ajulu
 - Dr Sandra Musengi-Ajuli
 Dr Maukiai Oaba
 - Dr Mzukisi Qobo
 - Dr Bridget Ssamula
 - Dr Marinda Schoonraad
 Dr Christopher Malikane
 - Dr Christopher Mail R2,000,000.00.

Reason

Strategic planning ("translating strategy into implementation") requires a certain degree of expertise and experience and in most cases these expertise are not easily accessible to local government. These experts will serve as a "nerve centre" and provide policy/programmatic input to support the work of various Clusters. The composition of a Task Team includes the individuals/experts who have done an extensive work in the public and private sector (in the continent, nationally and locally) in various areas ranging from macro-economic policy, political economy, economic development and entrepreneurship, water management, climate change, local government finance, local governance and research, transport planning, housing and urban planning, public safety and crime prevention (abridged professional profile for each task team is attached herewith). Hence the request for a deviation from normal procurement process was requested to secure the service of these experts.

- Approval of Payment for the Service Provider: Events Galore, Service Provider Events Galore Oasis Initiative and Tsutsumani In Alexandra R1,401,118.44, Marlboro and Alexandra Techno-Hub R3,987,856.80. Reason
 - (1) Quotations were solicited from a panel approved by the EAC: Proposal number A290C:Appointment of Consultants for the provisions of strategic marketing, administration on Events Management for the City of Johannesburg. The two companies recommended by the user department, are only awarded the items/services: Events Management

Brand Management

Communications

- (2) The department awarded project management to the service provider Events Galore who was not allocated/awarded this item in the panel.
- Request to Approve Variation/Scope of Work: Gas Expansion Strategy for the City of Johannesburg, Service Provider SAHA International (Pty) Ltd R141,600.00.
 Reason

The Central Adjudication Committee awarded RFP A390 to SAHA International (Pty) Ltd on 10 November 2010 to develop the strategy for the expansion of natural gas in the City. While conducting these two of the project which was scoped in the original appointment, the Energy Sector (IS) realized that the scope of the project was limited and confines itself only to natural gas. Upon evaluating the risk, cost and opportunity cost of not including other gases in the current strategy, the Energy Sector was of the opinion that the scope of contract be increased to cover other gases rather than confine narrow the assignment to natural gas alone. A decision was taken to have the service provider evaluate the scope change and its impact thereof, which resulted in the increase in the original pricing of R951, 200.00 with an additional R141,600.00 hence the request for the deviation under Regulation 36(1)(a)(v).

20. Engaging a service provider without following supply chain management procurement process, Service Provider Ulwaza Protection Services, R86,251,84.

Reason

(1) There were councillors who were attacked and threatened to be attacked due to service delivery protests and a service provider was identified as a suitable service provider to undertake the risk assessment profile of two (2) of councillors of the City. Due to the urgency and sensitivity of the matter, it was therefore impractical or impossible for the City to go out for an open Bid process after the 2011 Municipal Elections.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

21. Extension of the Scope of Work for Contract No. A192 for the provision of Technical and Operational Services associated with the Macro Surveillance Closed Circuit Television CCTV System of the City of Johannesburg, R5,297,186.72.

Reason

The deviation request was submitted due to the fact that JMPD experienced unforeseen challenges in finalising the phase 2 of the expression of interest. 17 bids were received, 15 qualified in terms of the regulatory requirements, then 1 bidder qualified in terms of the requirements and the 14 were disqualified because they did not have letters of commitment from credible guarantors prepared to bind themselves to a performance guarantee on behalf of the service provider, as this was required in terms of the bid document and is deemed essential for contract risk management purposes. It then followed that the Bid Evaluation Committee had only one bid which was fully compliant

which provided difficulty in terms of whether the Bid Evaluation Committee should:

- Relax any criteria
- Cancellation/re-invite
- Proceed to evaluate,

hence the request to extend the current contract to finalise the evaluation process in respect of the single complying bidder.

22. Enterprise resource planning frontline support services, Service Provider, Dimension Data, R528,000,00. Reason

During the transitional period for Contract: A387, previous service providers continued to provide services to the department even after the expiry of their contracts hence the request for the ratification.

23. Extension of Rental Agreement with the current vendor for Office Accommodation and Facilities at 86 Juta Street, Service Provider Phomella Property Investments (Pty) Ltd

Ratification: July-August 2011 R1,135,984.98.

Deviation: September-December 2011, R1,135,984.98.

Reason

The current lease agreement with the service provider expired in June 2011. The deviation was on request to extend the lease agreement for office accommodation for a period of six months.

24. Provide KNOWHOW Support for the period January 2010 to 31 December 2011, Service Provider Bridge People & Technology R1,571,210.00 and R560,000.00.

Reason

The contracts for these services were sourced in terms of the contract with Masana, who were paying licence fees on behalf of the City to Bridge People and Technologies. Since the liquidation of Masana, these amounts were not paid and the City required the license for SAP productivity hence the request for ratification.

25. Appointment of a service provider for temporary staff for the Billing Management Department, Service Provider, Mandisa Personnel CC, R481,536.00. Reason

When the procurement process to appoint a Recruitment Agency commenced, it was established that the contract expired and that Corporate and Shared Services (Human Resources Shared Services) commenced with the tender process but that the process was not finalised. Due to the lengthy process of finalising the tenders, awarding a contract as well as the urgency of dealing with the Auditor General matters, Revenue and Customer Relations Management made a decision to obtain three quotations from an expired contract hence the request for a ratification.

 Supply of Resources for a Call Centre Solution, Service Provider, Call Centre Solution R6,397,281.00 (Resource Services) R80,000.00 R1,650,000.00 (IT Infrastructure) Reason EOH is involved with the Billing Systems, Enhancement, Configuration and Billing Devices

EOH is involved with the Billing Systems, Enhancement, Configuration and Billing Development to address system challenges. EOH is ahead of any configuration and development that is currently work in progress, post Phakama implementation, and therefore has the necessary system knowledge, business knowledge as well as the necessary background required to assist the City. In view of the above and to ensure the continuity and completion of work in progress it would be to the benefit of the City to utilise EOH as the preferred service provider to supply the City with resources in order to assist with the Call Centre challenges and also to procure the required software and hardware infrastructure from TSS, hence the request for a deviation, as EOH was already on site working on the billing crisis.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

27. Enterprise Resource Planning for Exceeding the Value for EOH Mthombo (Pty) Ltd and request to increase the value Service Provider EOH Mthombo (Pty) Ltd, R16,648,239.00. Reason

The City of Johannesburg entered into an agreement with EOH Mthombo (Pty) Ltd for the period 1 July 2010 to 30 June 2011, to render the Post Implementation Support for Programme Phakama solution for the 1st and 2nd line SAP IS-U and SAP CRM support to the value of R50,000,000.00 (excluding VAT). The nature and scope of the service provided by EOH transferred from Programme Phakama into Support were documented in the Statement of Work. The Statement of Work also referred to services to be rendered in terms of the business requirements as identified from time to time. During the Support engagement, CoJ required EOH to perform additional R&CRM business related task as well as performing the normal SAP support and build new functionality configuration into the SAP Application. These business related tasks were in addition to the normal support functions budgeted for in the Scope of Work. These additional tasks were absolutely necessary as part of system stabilisation and were not pre-planned activities nor were they foreseen. Most of the additional or unforeseen work came about as a result of Auditor General's requests for information which led to writing of additional reports and queries which were not standard in SAP as implemented in the City. Hence the request for a ratification as the original approved amounts was exceeded by R16, 648,239.00.

 Deviation from the Normal Procurement Processes for Enterprise Resource Planning Post Implementation Support and End-User Training, Service Provider EOH Mthombo (Pty) Ltd, R68,706,800.00.

The City entered into an agreement with EOH Mthombo (Pty) Ltd for the period 1 July 2010 to 30 June 2011 to render the Post Programme Phakama implementation support for the 1st and 2nd line ISU/CRM. The Contract came to an end on 30 June 2011 and there was a risk that the COJ SAP ISU/CRM end-users were not skilled enough to transcut on the SAP system without the assistance from the 1st line support consultants; hence the request for the extension of the contract of the service provider to continue to provide the specified specialist post implementation support for the 1st and 2nd line end user training.

 Request Contingency amount on provision of validation service for voluntary carbon standard Rea Vaya (BRT) Johannesburg, Service Provider Palmer Development Group (PDG), R96,458.16.
 Reason

During the appointment of the service provider the contract value was R447,507.00, but due to the fluctuating currency exchange rate between the Swiss Franc and the Rand, the Department had to access the contingency amount of 15% which is R71,626.00, for the provision of validation service for voluntary carbon standard, however the contingency fee was also exceeded by R96,458.16.

30. Payment of the Service Provider:Netgen for Upgrade of the City's Waste Information System during 2010/2011 Financial Year, Service Provider Netgen R184,942.00. Reason

All procurement process followed, however there was an oversight from the user department to obtain the signature from the delegated official in terms of SCM delegations to approve the appointment and therefore the service provider was engaged without proper authority, hence the request for a ratification.

31. Request for Extension of Contract of Stratalign/Tokiso for the Facilitation of the Seat Allocation Working Group Talks (Talks about Talks with the Potential Operators for Phase 1B of Rea Vaya, Service Provider, StratAlign/Tokiso R1,205,760.00.

Reason

The EAC of 12 November 2010 approved the appointment of StratAlign/Tokiso to facilitate the initial preparatory talks about talks process for the determination of the business modes between the City and potential affected

operators. This extension was to facilitate the further preparatory talks for phase 1B and bearing in mind the

sensitivities of these talks about talks. This service provider has the detailed understanding and knowledge of the industry and the BRT process. Further the parties were asked who they would feel comfortable with and requested these service providers hence the request for a deviation, as it would be impractical for the City to go out on tender as the taxi industry has identified their choice of a service provider.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

32. Renewal of Taxi Storage Contract for 48 Taxis with "Bohlokoa", Service Provider Bohlokoa Outdoor Advertising (Pty) Ltd, R171,000,00.

Reason

This is for the storage of mini bus taxis which was required prior to the mini bus taxis being disposed of. The service provider was initially sourced on open tender. However as the tender needed to be extended since the process of disposal to look longer than anticipated due to the fact that some taxis had problems with their operating licenses and others still had HP amounts owing. The Transport Department working with the taxi industry have been working on solutions for these problems but at the time of this EAC request, 48 taxis still needed to be stored. It was impractical for the department to go out on competitive bidding process to finalise the assignment already undertaken and awarded to the service provider.

33. Extension of SAHA's AFC Consulting Services contract until installation of AFC System by TMT, Service Provider SAHA, R5,408,502.00.

Reason

This motivation was taken to the EAC to report on the necessity to renegotiate the TMT AFC tendered contract after the publication of the Automated Fare Collection regulations by the National Department of transport and to seek permission to commence. It also addressed the necessity to contract ABSA outside of the banking agreement for a period of three years.

34. Extension of Taxi Storage contract for 53 taxis with Bohlokoa (Pty) Ltd, Service Provider, Bohlokoa Outdoor Advertising (Pty) Ltd R126,760.50.

Reason

This is a further deviation in respect of the above. The number increased because some taxis that were repossessed by the banks were returned after the City paid the outstanding HP requirements, hence the request for the ratification as the vehicles were already stored at the same venue by the same service provider.

35. Payment of Stratalign/Tokiso for services rendered to the city as facilitators of the Rea Vaya Phase 1A negotiation Service Provider, Stratalign/Tokiso R80,175.00. Reason

Extension of a scope of work. The service provider was already on site therefore it was impractical to go out on tender. Hence the request for ratification.

36. Extension of SAHA's AFC Consulting Services contract until installation of AFC System by TMT, Service Provider SAHA R586,135.85. Reason

This is the deviation including a scope adjustment and it was impractical for the department to go out on tender.

 Ratification of Actions of Department Community Development for Exceeding Value of the Carnival 2010 Programme and Request to Increase the Value, Service Provider Event Masters R172,532.95. Reasons

(1) The Community Development (Directorate Arts/Culture) processed a call for proposal under panel approved by the City, panel for the provision of strategic marketing services project management, administrators and event management services to manage the implementation of the 2010 carnival event.

2) However during the process of reconciling the invoices with payments made, it was established that the original budget of R2,000,000 has been exceeded by R172,532.95.

 Utilisation of the services of the South African Football Association in Johannesburg (SAFA JOBURG) for Mayoral Cup 2011 in the City of Johannesburg. Service Provider South African Football Association Johannesburg (SAFA Joburg) R35,000,00. Reasons

SAFA sole provider for the administration and officiating of the SAFA Joburg.

39. Appointment of a contractor for the storage and moving of books and other items for the Johannesburg City Library and the registration of the storage company as once off supplier on the supplier data base. Service Provider, Office Removals R340,079.20. Reason

Contractor not appointed by the City but appointed by the service provider, who was renovating the library hence the request for the deviation.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

 Payment of service provider appointed for Preliminary Design Reports under Panel of Service Providers A225 Beyond Contract Period, R1,186,820.79 and R572,857.97. Reason

Work could not be completed in time in terms of the contract period, due to the fact that JRA's reports were still outstanding and this resulted in the delay of work by consultants, who could only complete the work once JRA has submitted their comments, hence the ratification as no work/payment was to have been done post the contract period.

41. Extension for the Utilisation of the Sithole Human Capital, Service Provider, Sithole Human Capital R376,382.40. Reason

Initial approval for work done was exceeded due to the amendment of the Strategy and this did not allow the department sufficient time to solicit additional funding and authorisation hence the request for deviation.

42. Extension of procurement of protection services at the Erstwhile and the newly elected executive mayors residences Service Provider, Zungizile protection services R350,100,06 and R83,357,16. Reason

Contract to provide Security Services to the residence of the Erstwhile Executive mayor and new Mayor extended due to the hand over period and pending finalization of a new procurement process to appoint a new service provider.

43. Failure to comply with the provisions of the supply chain management policy for the repairs of the city x-ray machine and approval for the payment of the service provider, HISSCO security Detection product R66,120,00. Reason

Hissco is the only company that could repair the machine as the original importer of the machine.

44. Payment of a service provider for the forensic restitution, Service Provider Forensic, Restitution (Pty) Ltd R5,463,00 Reason

Due to the urgency of the matter in relation to the disciplinary process that was underway, the user department only solicited one quotation from the database as opposed to three quotations for the services of a forensic investigator, hence the request for the deviation.

45. Approval of a Lease Agreement with the company Motani Industries and Sound Exchange CC, Service Provider Motani Industries (Pty) Ltd, R145,466.28.

Reason

The Alexandra Renewal Programme has established its offices at 65 Andries Street, Wynberg from long ago and the public has been using the premises to engage with the Department, hence the request for a deviation to extend the lease for those premises as it is impractical to go out on tender on the same building.

46. Removal of unsafe signage structures, Service Provider Ernst Pienaar and Thembane Cleaning Services R24,624.00, R25,536.00 and R5,928.00.

Reason

Urgent engagement of a service provider to remove illegal signage that were dangerously situated and could endanger the lives of the public, hence the request for ratification.

47. Extension of Mandate for Forensic Investigation at EMS, Service Provider, Pricewaterhouse Coopers Advisory Services (Pty) Ltd R164,968.00.

Reason

Joburg Risk and Advisory Services (JRAS) appointed an external service provider, Pricewaterhouse Coopers Advisory Services (Pty) Ltd in August 2010 to conduct a forensic investigation at EMS. The services of Pricewaterhouse CoopersAdvisory Services (Pty) Ltd for this investigation was procured at a cost of R404,073.24 excluding VAT. After completion of the above investigation further allegations of the mismanagement of assets were reported and needed to be investigated and be covered by the initial scope. A quotation for the above extended mandate in the amount of R164,968.00 excluding VAT and disbursements was obtained from Pricewaterhouse Coopers Advisory Services (Pty) Ltd. Hence a request for a deviation was requested to extend the mandate of the service provider to cover the new allegations in their investigation as they were busy with the initial investigation at the same department.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued) 54.

Over-expenditure and Payment of the Final Invoice for Rea Vaya BRT System Probity Services by Grant Thornton 48. R184.238.10 and R76.349.73 Reason

On the 28 May 2008, the Central Adjudication Committee awarded a contract to Grant Thornton to provide probity services on the BRT project. A reconciliation of all awards and the total expenditure to end of contract period has been prepared and based on the reconciliation; there is an over - expenditure totalling R76,349.73, hence the request for the ratification.

49. Approval of Payment of the Service Provider: Turncard Trading 111 (Pty) Ltd for Bruma Lake Bioremediation Project, Service Provider: Turncard Trading 111 (Pty) Ltd R199,785.00. Reason

The Procurement Process relating to the advertisement of quotations on the Notice Board was followed however: The service provider was engaged without the appointment report being signed by Director SCM in terms of her SCM delegation, hence the request for a ratification.

Approval of Payment of the Service Provider, Kaleo Consulting, for the Facilitation of the GDS Environmental Week 50. Session, Service provider Kaleo Consulting R96,843.00.

Reason

The GDS Co-Ordinating Committee through the Central Strategy Unit was mandated to source services for all department for the outreach process. However this could not materialise due to the short notice given and therefore individual departments were only advised to source their services directly. This was only after the consumption of such services. Hence the request for a ratification.

51. New Lease Agreement at Traduna Centre Braamfontein, service Provider Kovacs Investment R18,449,540.00. Reason ٠ In terms of the institutional Review Process (IRP), the Infrastructure Services Department and Environmental

Management Services Department have integrated. This has resulted in the extension of the lease at Traduna being extended to accommodate the integration of the two departments. Hence the request for a deviation.

52. Baseline Increase: Current Microsoft Enterprise Agreement, Service Provider, Microsoft, R3,449,089.01. Reason

Sole provider of Microsoft Software.

- Approval of Payment of Service Provider: Steiner Hygiene, Service Provider Steiner Hygiene R80,401.60. 53. Reason Revenue and Customer Relations Management utilised the services of Steiner Hygiene from FMMU approved (Contract 176/08), which expired on the 31 September 2011. This service could not be discoontinued as it was going to pose a health risk to call centre staff and management. Hence the ratification for approval of payment.
- Request for subscribing to HIS Information & Insight (Global Insight) Pty and Quantec Research (Pty) Ltd Service 54. Provider HIS Information & Insight (Pty) Ltd (Global Insight) R49,590.00 and Quantec Research (Pty) Ltd R69,084.00 Reason

Sole Provider of Regional Explorer (Rex) Database and EasyData.

55. Extention of SAHA'S AFC Consulting Services contract until Installation of Rea Vaya Phase 1A AFC System by TMT Service Provider R5,994,637.85. Reason

Due to delays in installation of AFC system, the Consultant could also not timely complete its oversight, testing and certification functions, which can only be finalised once the AFC system has been operationalised.

Approval of Payment of Service Provider: Steiner Hygiene R151,044.00. 56. Reason

Community Development (Art Culture and Heritage) utilised the services of Steiner Hygiene from FMMU approved (Contract 176/08) Panel of Service Providers to provide Cleaning Services to the City of Johannesburg, that later expired and R&CRM informed FMMU but response was not clear whether the contract would be renewed by FMMU. This service could not be discontinued as it was going to pose a health risk to the museum staff and public, hence the ratification for approval of payment.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

57. Approval of Payment of the Service: Request to appoint Events Galore to Facilitate a Strategic Breakaway of the Private Office of the Executive Mayor 13-14, Service Provider Events Galore, R127,442.70. Reason

The private Office of the Executive Mayor followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting three quotations from a approved panel, but however the adjudication report was not submitted to the Director: SCM for consideration/approval prior to the engagement of the supplier.Hence the ratification for approval of payment.

 Ratification of Actions on contract 207/08: The Supply and Delivery of Weekday and Weekend Newspapers to the City. Service Provider Continent Transport Services R241,642.54.
 Reason

Service were rendered after the expiry and within the transition to the new contract which came into effect in January 2012. the amount of R241 642.54 was for the services rendered in December 2011. Hence the ratification for approval of payment.

- 59. Approval of Payment of Printing for the Notifications to Property Owners of Supplementaries as printed in Supplementary Valuation roll 9 for the period, 2 January 2012 to 23 April 2012, Service Provider Mailtronic Direct Marketing CC R463,002.15.
 - Reason

The Sec 49 notification from the supplementary valuation Roll 9 needed in terms of urgency to be posted with the monthly statements, hence Mailtronic Direct Media cc, the current service provider for the posting of monthly statements was requested to include in those statements the section 49 notification. Unfortunately due to urgent nature of the requirement no price approval was obtained. Hence the ratification for approval.

60. Extension of the existing Lease Agreement for a further period of three years relating to Office Accommodation at 222 Smit Street, Braamfontein, Service Provider Broll Property Management Company R11,301,848.02. Reason

The Housing Department has established its offices at 222 Smit Street, Braamfontein the public and the suppliers has been using the premises to engage with the Department, hence the request for a deviation to extend the lease for those premises as it is impractical to go out on tender on the same building.

61. Extension of the existing Lease Agreement, Service Provider ACA Krans R314,094.51. Reason

FMMU has established its offices at 222 Smit Street, Braamfontein the public and the suppliers has been using the premises to engage with the Department, hence the request for a deviation to extend the lease for those premises as it is impractical to go out on tender on the same building.

62. Deviation and Ratification of actions of Community Development in Relation to the services and period of operation Service Provider Steiner Hygiene, R240,000.00. Reason

The user department engaged the service provider under contract 176/08 approved by the city, however the contract expired in October 2011 and the user department continued to utilised the services of Steiner Hygiene from the period February to July 2012 as discountinuing these services would have posed a serious health risks.

63. Extension of the existing Lease Agreement, Service Provider Liberty Life Properties (Pty) Ltd R751,786.78. Reason

The department had requested permission to reside in Jorissen Place from 1st January 2012 to 31 December 2012, being the period which an alternative accommodation would be sourced.

THE JOHANNESBURG MARKET

1.	Sole supplier deviations	R1,569,782.00.
2.	Single source deviations	R1,295,962.00.
3.	Historical Contracts	R489,968.00.
4.	Extension of contracts	R3,026,335.00.

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		CORE		
igur	es in Rand thousand		2012	2011	2012	2011
54.	DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN M	IANAGEMENT REGUL	ATIONS (co	ntinued)		
j.	Sensitive/High risk procurement	R166,687.00.		-		
5 .	Multi Source deviations	R497,536.00.				
	Emergency deviations	R1,021,079.00.				
8.	Unavoidable deviations	R180,952.00.				
OHA	NNESBURG SOCIAL HOUSING COM	PANY				
	Price Waterhouse Coopers	R158,804.00.		0		
2.	Tremendous Pot Plant hire	R6,058.00.		NV		
8.	Simon Mvubu	R30,000.00.	C			
OHA	ANNESBURG ROADS AGENCY		\sim	5		
	Emergency repairs to Silver Lane lo	w level bridge - Eyethu	Translodge,	PH Bagale, R24	5,649.00.	
2.	Emergency repair to the JRA's main	entrance - Johannesb	urg Roller Do	oors, R7,425.00		
	Emergency embarkment to the Willi	am Nicole bridge - Dev	elopment and	d Consulting, R	964,912.00.	
	Emergency repair with sand bag wall due to flooding in Orlando West - Well Earned Trading, R4,385,965.00.					
5.	Emergency repair to Crownwood bri	idge - Rainbow / Kyasa,	R877,193.0	0.		
i.	Emergency repairs due to flooding in	n Kelland - Lettam Build	ling, R464,03	35.00.		
	Emergency procurement of protectiv Uniforms, Megaphase, Mohoco Trac R3,356,493.00.					
/ETF	ROTRADING COMPANY	1				
	Deviation from the normal procurem	ent processes (Excepti	onal Cases),	R536,131.00.		
2.	Emergency, R970,824.00.					
8.	Emergency (only one supplier respo	onded), R77,785.00.				
OHA	ANNESBURG PROPERTY COMPANY					
	IT Support	R899,583.00.				
	IT Infrastructure & licences	R964,844.00.				
8.	Advisory services	R1,658,228.00.				
	JPC Head Offices - rental	R6,032,336.00.				
j.	JPC Corporate DVD	R230,000.00.				
	Office Refurbishment	R1,189,068.00.				

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

			GRC)UP	CO	RE
⁼igu	res in Rand thousand		2012	2011	2012	2011
54.	DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN N	MANAGEMENT REGUL	ATIONS (cor	ntinued)		
1.	Maintenance of equipments	R183,480.00.				
2.	Security services	R44,080.00.				
3.	Hiring of trucks	R107,603.00.				
4.	Advertising	R33,972.00.				
5.	Consulting and advisory	R167,157.00.				
6.	Repairs and Maintenance	R313,348.00.				
7.	Catering	R2,750.00.		ŇV		
CITY	POWER JOHANNESBURG		C			
1.	Ndou Cleaning Services contract w contract value also increased by R2		s, from Nove	mber 2011 to 3 ⁻	1 January 2012	and the
2.	Powertech Transformers were app valued at R580,066.00.	ointed to replace failed	substations o	ver the period,	08 June 2012 to	o 12 June 201
3.	Actom was appointed to replace fai R2,470,000.	iled substations over the	period 8 Jun	e 2012 to 12 Ju	ne 2012 valued	at
4.	Primedia was appointed to provide R1,600,785.00.	communication channel	s for Revenue	e step change p	project amountin	g to
5.	Clickatell was appointed to provide	Sms credits for emerger	ncy related qu	eries to restore	e power valued a	at R41,400.00.
3.	Emergency services was procured R1,005,180.00.	from ABB to replace 88k	kV circuit brea	akers at Orland	o substation, co	ntract valued a
7.	Strike Technologies was appointed incorporated into the AMR system	to ensure that existing E valued at R182,799.00.	Enermax and	Enermax+ mete	ers are successf	ully
3.	Elster A1700 and EDMI meters mo	dems were procured from	m Billing Onlii	ne amounting to	0 R1,381,409.00	
9.	10 Laptops, 20 Desktops and 2 pri at R472,000.00.	inters were procured for	the metering	management s	system from Eve	erest PD value
10.	African Olive Trading was appoint R710,937.00.	ed to install protection r	relays at Rar	dburg and Mid	rand substation	s amounting
11.	Powertech IST contract was extend at Kelvin Power Station, the contract				and control cat	ole replaceme
12.	Actom Power Transformers contrac damaged parts and double handling contract value also increased by R	g of transformers as the				
13.	F.I.T Component CC was appointed	d to provide NEMA 1800	VA photocells	s valued at R16	0,238.00.	
14.	Edison Jehomo Power was appoin at R32,027.00.	ted for the inspection se	rvice of Kelvi	n/Delta/Westfie	ld 88 transmissi	on lines value
15.	TM Engineering was appointed to p	provide repairing services	s on vacuum	pumps amounti	ing to R20,728.0	0.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

- 16. Wimann Trading provided repairing services on current transformers amounting to R18,950.00.
- 17. Digsilent Buyisa was contracted to supply Digsilent software valued at R144,640.00.
- 18. Metrohm SA provided repairing services on 684 KF Coulometers, amounting to R62,295.00.
- 19. Baymont Feild Services was appointed to replace a stolen earthing, valued at R37,351.00.
- 20. Capital Air was appointed to provide a helicopter to inspect the transmission lines amounting to R15,640.00.
- 21. Southern Africa Fault Location provided repairing services on UL7 Geophone and LCD monitors amounting to R10,470.00.
- 22. FESS (Pty) Ltd was appointed to provide repairing services on 4 fire water pumps amounting to R61,124.00.
- 23. Associated Chemicals was appointed to supply Hydranal Coulomat CG laboratory chemicals valued at R14,715.00.
- 24. Budget Waste was appointed to provide cleaning services on a gasket failure amounting to R54,640.00.
- 25. SA Tech Craft System was appointed for the service and sharpening of power tool blades amounting to R14,529.00.
- 26. Rapid Spill Response was appointed to follow up on rehabilitation of soil and clean up an oil spill on transformers amounting to R73,740.00.
- 27. Xavier Innovative Security was appointed for repairing services on locking mechanisms and the installation of Vimba locking mechanisms amounting to R14,752.00.
- 28. Budget Waste was appointed for the disposal of PCB contaminated stones amounting to R83,000.00.
- 29. Xavier Innovative Security was appointed for repairing services on locking mechanisms and the installation of Wimba locking mechanisms at Xavier off ramp amounting to R21,363.00.
- 30. Verotest was appointed for repairing services on AC Hypotonic equipment amounting R23,280.00.
- 31. Verotest provided repairing services on Seba equipment amounting to R17,325.00.
- 32. TM Engineering was appointed to provide a major service on vacuum pumps amounting to R46,000.00.
- 33. Impact Energy was appointed to provide annual PQ recorder rentals amounting to R31,800.00.
- 34. Instrument Transformers Technologies provided repairing services on Gas Turbines amounting to R11,000.00.
- 35. Drizit Environmental provided cleaning services on spilled oil from damaged transformers amounting to R12,580.00.
- 36. Sheshisa Cash Loan t/a Cable Safe was appointed to supply cable safe anti theft products valued at R98,610.00.
- 37. Static Power provided repairing services on battery chargers for Static Power Substations amounting to R68,290.00.
- 38. Actom was appointed to supply Actom 3 Core Current Transformers valued at R19,044.00.
- 39. Verotest provided repairing services on equipment amounting to R10,397.00.
- 40. Farad provided repairing services on a ripple transmitter at Eldorado Park substation amounting to R90,078.00.
- 41. Silicon Engineering was appointed to supply 50 HBL Nicad batteries at various substations valued at R17,550.00.
- 42. Matla TPM provided repairing services on UPS systems at SCADA departments amounting to R19,803.00.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	OUP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

- 43. HV Test providing repairing services on two Bauer HV tests amounting to R17,803.00.
- 44. Lubri-Tech Marketing was appointed for repairing services amounting to R49,955.00.
- 45. SA Fault Location was appointed for repairs and maintenance services amounting to R40,606.00.
- 46. Verotest was appointed to provide repairing services on Seba cable ID machines and 25kv pressure testers amounting to R17,822.00.
- 47. Diesel Electric Services was appointed to replace injector pumps on standby generators amounting to R10,036.00.
- 48. Roxtec Africa was appointed to supply and install Roxtec cable sealing products amounting to R75,349.00.
- 49. Verotest was appointed to provide repairing services on SEBA testers amounting to R19,825.00.
- 50. Inter Southern Power Maintenance was appointed to provide repairing services on bushing amounting to R10,258.00.
- 51. Inter Southern Power Maintenance was appointed to replace Bucholz relay on transformer No. 2 valued at R15,140.00.
- 52. Inter Southern Power Maintenance was appointed for repairing services on radiator oil leaks at Mulbarton.
- 53. SABC Group sales and marketing was appointed to provide air time to broadcast messages to customers amounting to R345,000.00.
- 54. SG Convenience was appointed to supply canteen goods valued at R193,629.00.
- 55. Simba was appointed to supply canteen goods valued at R33,612.00.
- 56. The Citizen was appointed to provide communication services amounting to R72,336.00.

JOHANNESBURG CITY PARKS

- 1. Purchase order number PO028825 for temporary staff was made to Happy People @ Work for the amount of R50,164.63 as the company was unable to procure the necessary skills from the preferred service providers within the required time.
- 2. Purchase order number PO029020 for temporary staff was made to Happy People @ Work for the amount of R26,325.00 as the company was unable to procure the necessary skills from the preferred service providers within the required time.
- 3. Purchase order number PO028993 for vouchers was made to Shoprite for the amount of R78,656.45 as they are the sole providers of such vouchers and a competitive bidding process is not possible for such transactions.
- 4. Purchase order number PO028999 for training was made to The Voice Clinic for the amount of R22,180.00 as they are the sole providers of such training.
- 5. Purchase order number PO029171 for payroll stationery was made to VIP Personnel & Payroll System for the amount of R3,750.00 as they are the sole providers of such stationery.
- 6. Purchase order number PO029117 for the absenteeism & incapacity management report was made to ABSA Health for the amount of R18,000.00 as they are the sole providers of such reports.
- 7. Purchase order number PO029293 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17,710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	UP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

- 8. Purchase order number PO028882 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R11,550.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 9. Purchase order number PO029423 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R26,000.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 10. Purchase order number PO029424 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17 710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 11. Purchase order number PO029425 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17,710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 12. Purchase order number PO029777 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17,710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 13. Purchase order number PO029933 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R6,160.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 14. Purchase order number PO030545 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17,710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 15. Purchase order number PO030804 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17,710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 16. Purchase order number PO031248 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17,710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 17. Purchase order number PO031248 for the JCP website maintenance was made to Big Media for the amount of R17,710.00 as they are the host and developers of the JCP website.
- 18. Purchase order number PO031524 for training was made to CQS for the amount of R31,800.00 as they are the sole providers of Caseware training.
- 19. Purchase order number PO031775 for review of the year end AFS was made to Altimax for the amount of R15,400.00 as they are the CJMM recommended providers of such services.
- 20. Purchase order number PO031755 for training was made to Profounder Intelligence Management for the amount of R19,998.00 as they are the sole providers of such training.
- 21. PO032393 for King III Corporate Governance was made to Mkhabela Hurtley for the amount of R51,300.00 as they were the only sole providers available within the required time.
- 22. Purchase order number PO032339 for the landscaping and upgrade of the Alexandra Township entrances was made to Amaluba Trading cc for the amount of R199,216.00.
- 23. Purchase order number PO032609 for concrete rugby and cricket balls was made to Gallo Precast for the amount of R27,520.00 as they are the manufacturers of such concrete rugby and cricket balls.
- 24. Purchase order number PO032773 for the SAMTRAC training course was made to NOSA (Pty) Ltd (Micromega) for the amount of R11,394.74 as they are the sole providers of such training.
- 25. Purchase order number PO032772 for training was made to ESOH Consulting for the amount of R17,543.84 as they are the sole providers of such training.
- 26. Purchase order number PO032771 for training was made to ESOH Consulting for the amount of R7,894.72 as they are the sole providers of such training.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	UP	CORE	
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

- 27. Purchase order number PO032591 for training was made to NOSA (Pty) Ltd for the amount of R4,868.42 as they are the sole providers of such training.
- 28. Purchase order number PO033299 for payslip stationery was made to Softline VIP for the amount of R5,616.00 as they are the sole providers of such stationery.
- 29. Purchase order number PO033335 for training was made to ESOH Consulting for the amount of R17,543.84 as they are the sole providers of such training.
- 30. Purchase order number PO033070 for procurement of EMgro was made to EMgro Natrology for the amount of R25,842.00 as they are the sole providers of such product.
- 31. Purchase order number PO033069 for training was made to Altimax for the amount of R21,800.00 as they are the CJMM recommended providers of such training.
- 32. Purchase order number PO033836 was made to PI South Africa for the amount of R182,000.00 as they are the sole providers of such software.
- 33. Purchase order number PO033610 was made to PI South Africa for the amount of R172,250.00 as they are the sole providers of such software.
- 34. Purchase order number PO028997 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 35. Purchase order number PO029207 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 36. Purchase order number PO029533 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 37. Purchase order number PO030212 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 38. Purchase order number PO030735 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 39. Purchase order number PO031251 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 40. Purchase order number PO031287 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 41. Purchase order number PO031826 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 42. Purchase order number PO032421 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 43. Purchase order number PO032791 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 44. Purchase order number PO033148 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.
- 45. Purchase order number PO033783 for software licences was made to Mimecast for the amount of R20,700.00 as they are the sole provider of such software.

JOHANNESBURG THEATRE

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	OUP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

54. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

- 1. Acquisition of sets, lighting equipment, paintings, props and costumes for the 2011 pantomime costs R301,000.00 (special work of art, specification would be difficult to compile).
- 2. Acquisition of sets, sound costs and design, lighting equipment, painting, props, special effects and costumes for the 2012 pantomime costs R500,000.00 (special work of art, specification would be difficult to compile).
- 3. Acquisition of sets, building, set painting, stage properties, special effects and/or hire of costumes, hire of lighting and sound equipment for the production of Andrew Lloyd Webber's Starlight Express in 2013 costs for 2011/12 R280,848.00.
- 4. Acquisition of services from marketing partners/special barter arrangements for the individual productions presented at Joburg Theatre for the 2011/12 - costs R1,596,152.00. It is impractical and impossible to follow official procurement processes because of the complexity of the process of identifying and negotiation with appropriate partners in order to reach the best possible savings of costs for the theatre.
- 5. Continental Outdoor (Billboards) R1,978,098.00.
- 6. DWR Distribution (Le Maitre Haze/Smoke Machine; LSC Haze Machine Fluid; Repair of Robe fixtures; DF 50 Haze Fluid) R42,240.00.
- 7. Electrosonic (Jem Martin DMX Splitter; versalight LED RGB high power PAR643w) R29,830.00.
- 8. Schindler Lifts (OEM Maintenance) R328,383.00.
- 9. Show Ads (negotiate the best possible discounts for the live entertainment industry in the newspapers) R1,041,427.00.

- 10. Sennheiser Electrosonic (MK E2 EW Gold Microphones) R24,119.00.
- 11. Pastel Software R2,598.00.
- 12. Prosound (Pty) Ltd (Compact Headset) R10,563.00.
- 13. ICAS Employee and Organisation Enhancement Services (Counselling) R3,306.00.
- 14. Roto Rooter Africa (Plumbing) R3,026.00.
- 15. Finware Consulting (Pastel software installer) R17,846.00.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	UP	CORE		
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011	

55. HEDGING ACTIVITIES

During the financial year 2010/2011, CJMM entered into an interest rate swap by exchanging the Nedbank R1 billion 3 months JIBAR rate + 280 bsp for a 11.66% fixed interest rate.

Swap Details

Trade Date :	30 March 2011
Settlement Date:	29 March 2018
Nominal Amount:	R 1,000 (million)
Fixed Rate:	11.66%
Pavable:	Semi- annual

The swap was designated as a cash flow hedge in accordance with IAS 39.88 and the actual hedge relationship was measured as 100% effective as it was between the effective range of 80%- 125% and thus the full portion of the loss on the hedging instrument shall be recognized in other comprehensive income in the statement of financial performance.

The hedge effectiveness was assessed using the following method:

Variability Reduction Method 104%

Note that the SWAP value presents the clean fair value as at 30 June 2012. (All inclusive price less any SWAP interest accrual outstanding)

SWAP market-to-market as at 30 June 2012

Opening Balance Effective (loss) / gain	(43,098) (66,604)	- (43,098)	(43,098) (66,604)	- (43,098)
	(109,702)	(43,098)	(109,702)	(43,098)
Interest expense recognised in the statement of financial performance during the financial period	31,944	8,190	31,944	8,190

financial performance during the financial period

56. CASH MANAGEMENT

During the 2012 financial year the City of Johannesburg had cash losses due to fraudulent activities amounting to R 0,497 million (2011 : R 6,165 million). The losses incurred involve both internal fraud by staff members and bankings made which were never credited to our bank account. Management is in the process of prosecuting personnel implicated in fraudulent activities and/or in dereliction of duty. The process of recovering losses incurred through fraudulent activities by staff will follow the outcome of the disciplinary process now underway. The process of recovering deposits not credited (long-outstanding deposited) had been commenced. The gross losses for the year amounted to:

	497	66.938
Recoveries	-	(16,382)
Total gross losses	497	83,320

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

57. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION

GROUP - 2012

GROUP - 2012	Original budget	Adjusted budget (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	(i.t.o.council	Final budget	Actual outcome		Actual outcome as % c of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Financial Performance								
Property rates	4,979,582	4,979,582	(\mathbf{n})	4,979,582	5,563,013	(583,431)	112 %	112 %
Service charges	17,891,221	17,717,077	(94)	17,716,983	18,576,277	(859,294)	105 %	104 %
Rental of facilities and equipment	190,885	193,049	(146)	192,903	189,356	3,547	98 %	99 %
Interest received	1,131,005	1,163,154		1,163,154	481,184	681,970	41 %	43 %
Income from agency services	426,661	446,956		446,956	194,642	252,314	44 %	46 %
Fines, licenses and permits	252,732	332,772		332,772	436,139	(103,367)	131 %	173 %
Governement grants	6,989,082	7,475,109	60,630	7,535,739	4,967,075	2,568,664	66 %	71 %
Other revenue	1,622,851	2,181,333	708	2,182,041	1,676,059	505,982	77 %	103 %
Gains on disposal of assets		264		264	144,698	(144,434)	54,810 %	DIV/0 %
Total revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions)	33,484,019	34,489,296	61,098	34,550,394	32,228,443	2,321,951	93 %	96 %

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

57. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Adjusted budget (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o.council approved)	Final budget	Actual outcome		Actual outcome as % o of final budget	
Employee related costs	(6,868,127)	(7,037,135)	21,909	(7.015.226)	(7,100,142)	84,916	101 %	103 %
Remuneration of councillors	(97,880)			(100,026)	(98,291)	(1,735)	98 %	100 %
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment losses	(1,590,011)	(1,589,282)	(866)	(1,590,148)	(1,811,100)	220,952	114 %	114 %
Finance costs	(2,268,466)				(1,615,578)	(648,909)	71 %	71 %
Allowance for impairment of current receivables	(1,723,445)	(1,754,748)	-	(1,754,748)	(2,128,295)	373,547	121 %	123 %
Repairs and maintenance	(594,455)		(1,017)		(468,228)	(111,260)		79 %
Bulk purchases	(10,727,279)			(10,297,784)	(10,247,142)	(50,642)		96 %
Contracted services	(2,212,152)	(2,975,518)		(3,053,290)	(2,354,518)	(698,772)		106 %
Grants and subsidies paid	(2,462,397)				(132,957)	(2,550,923)		5 %
Loss on disposal of assets	(353)			(376)	(69,911)	69,535	18,593 %	19,805 %
General expenses	(3,834,649)	(4,228,044)	57,146	(4,170,898)	(3,423,839)	(747,059)	82 %	89 %
Total expenditure	(32,379,214)	(33,449,584)	(60,767)	(33,510,351)	(29,450,001)	(4,060,350)	88 %	91 %
Surplus/(Deficit)	1,104,805	1,039,712		1,040,043	2,778,442	(1,738,399)	267 %	251 %
Transfers recognised - capital Contributions recognised - capital and contributed assets	2,259,029 442,410	2,075,936 424,423		2,075,936 424,423	1,273,830 143,887	802,106 280,536	61 % 34 %	56 % 33 %
Surplus (Deficit) after capital transfers and contributions	3,806,244	3,540,071		3,540,402	4,196,159	(655,757)	119 %	110 %
Fair value adjustments Share of deficit of associate accounted for under the equity method	-	-		-	(25,889) 42	25,889 (42)	DIV/0 % DIV/0 %	DIV/0 % DIV/0 %
Loss on non-current assets held for sale or disposal groups	-	-		-	(300)	300	DIV/0 %	DIV/0 %
Taxation	(295,486)	(281,963)		(281,963)	(445,714)	163,751	158 %	151 %
Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	3,510,758	3,258,108		3,258,439	3,724,298	(465,859)	114 %	106 %

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

57. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Adjusted budget (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o.council approved)	Final budget	Actual outcome		Actual outcome as % ou of final budget	Actual utcome as % of original budget
Capital expenditure and funds sources Sources of capital funds			(nV i				
Transfers recognised - capital	2,259,029	2,075,936		2,075,936	1,273,830	802,106	61 %	56 %
Public contributions and donations	442,410	424,423	\sim	 424,423 424,423 	194,642	229,781	46 %	44 %
Borrowing Internally generated funds	1,000,000 20,760	1,000,000 248,844	332	1,000,000 249,176	2,212,604 13,264	(1,212,604) 235,912	221 % 5 %	221 % 64 %
Total sources of capital funds	3,722,199			,	3,694,340	55,195	99 %	99 %
	raft	7	,			-		

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

57. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

CORE - 2012

CORE - 2012	•	Adjusted budget (i.t.o. 28 and s31 of the MFMA)	(i.t.o.council	Final budget	Actual outcome		Actual outcome as % o of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Financial Performance								
Property rates	4,979,582	4,979,582	$(\mathbf{N} \mathbf{S})$	4,979,582	5,563,013	(583,431)	112 %	112 %
Service charges	897,025	982,222		982,222	923,481	` 58,741	94 %	103 %
Rental of facilities and equipment	61,450	62,720		62,720	73,107	(10,387)	117 %	119 %
Interest received	999,134	994,570	12,000	1,006,570	1,121,377	(114,807)	111 %	112 %
Income from agency services	150,102	185,102		185,102	194,642	(9,540)	105 %	130 %
Fines, licenses and permits	252,732	332,772		332,772	436,139	(103,367)	131 %	173 %
Governement grants	4,542,170	4,973,188	60,630	5,033,818	4,967,075	66,743	99 %	109 %
Other revenue	866,884	1,035,160	468	1,035,628	1,012,518	23,110	98 %	117 %
Gains on disposal of assets		-		-	143,471	(143,471)	DIV/0 %	DIV/0 %
Total revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions)	12,749,079	13,545,316	73,098	13,618,414	14,434,823	(816,409)	106 %	113 %

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

57. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Adjusted budget (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o.council approved)	Final budget	Actual outcome		Actual outcome as % c of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Employee related costs	(3,952,603)	(4,013,715)	13,615	(4,000,100)	(4,107,177)	107,077	103 %	104 %
Remuneration of councillors	(97,880)	(100,910)	884	(100,026)	(98,291)	(1,735)	98 %	100 %
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment losses	(539,153)	(571,456)		(571,456)	(1,550,508)	979,052	271 %	288 %
Finance costs	(1,504,141)	(1,504,284)	(183)	(1,504,467)	(1,607,070)	102,603	107 %	107 %
Allowance for impairment of current receivables	(955,063)	(955,308)	(82)	(955,390)	(408,083)	(547,307)	43 %	43 %
Repairs and maintenance	(129,915)		(1,404)	(128,146)	(106,260)	(21,886)		82 %
Contracted services	(958,642)			(1,457,810)	(1,308,643)	(149,167)		137 %
Grants and subsidies paid	(2,462,397)		(60,868)	(2,683,880)	(2,618,744)	(65,136)		106 %
Loss on disposal of assets	-	(23)		(23)	(62,625)	62,602	272,283 %	DIV/0 %
General expenses	(1,899,880)	(2,041,207)	67,216	(1,973,991)	(2,207,831)	233,840	112 %	116 %
Total expenditure	(12,499,674)	(13,314,522)	(60,767)	(13,375,289)	(14,075,232)	699,943	105 %	113 %
Surplus/(Deficit)	249,405	230,794		243,125	359,591	(116,466)	148 %	144 %
-								
Transfers recognised - capital	1,906,313	1,713,762		1,713,762	1,129,213	584,549	66 %	59 %
Contributions recognised - capital and contributed assets	31,345	43,266		43,266	143,404	(100,138)	331 %	458 %
Surplus (Deficit) after capital transfers and contributions	2,187,063	1,987,822		2,000,153	1,632,208	367,945	82 %	75 %
- Fair value adjustments	-	-		-	(25,889)	25,889	DIV/0 %	DIV/0 %
Loss on non-current assets held for sale or disposal groups	-	-		-	(300)	300	DIV/0 %	DIV/0 %
Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	2,187,063	1,987,822		2,000,153	1,606,019	394,134	80 %	73 %

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand

57. STATEMENT OF COMPARATIVE AND ACTUAL INFORMATION (continued)

	Original budget	Adjusted budget (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o.council approved)	Final budget	Actual outcome		Actual utcome as % ou final budget	Actual tcome as % of original budget
Capital expenditure and funds sources Sources of capital funds			(nV i				
Transfers recognised - capital	1,906,313	1,713,762		1,713,762	1,129,213	584,549	66 %	59 %
Public contributions and donations	31,345		$\sim \circ$	43,266	143,404	(100,138)	331 %	458 %
Borrowing	310,600			365,425	586,828	(221,403)	161 %	189 %
Internally generated funds	14,760		332	243,126	13,264	229,862	5 %	90 %
Total sources of capital funds	2,263,018	2,365,247	• 332	2,365,579	1,872,709	492,870	79 %	83 %
	raft							

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

58. RELATED PARTIES

JO. RELATED FARTIES		
Other members of the group	The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan M City Housing Company (Pty) Ltd City of Johannesburg Property Company City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Munic City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg City Parks Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Service Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Tourism Company Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Roodepoort City Theatre The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Marke The Johannesburg Zoo	y (Pty) Ltd cipality y) Ltd s (Pty) Ltd
Related party balances) *	
Amounts included in Loans, Trade and other receivables regarding related parties City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg City Parks Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Tourism Company Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Kelvin Power (Pty) Ltd Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Roodepoort City Theatre The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd The Johannesburg Zoo	3,074,564 10,628 11,309 - 79,245 291,120 76,850 6,797 3,300,460 62,136 97,299 438,473 1,284 737 183,685 8,764 7,643,351	4,466,522 12,440 34,081 2 108,601 135,416 51,353 20,461 3,081,331 76,717 104,671 218,078 1,829 563 191,729 6,184 8,509,978

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GR	OUP	COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
58. RELATED PARTIES (continued)				
Amounts included in Loans,				
Trade and other payables regarding related parties City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			2,525,125	438,180
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd			68,594	
Johannesburg City Parks			298,146	45,329
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd			174,534	266,498
Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd			31,739	817
Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd			108,976	126,486
Iohannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd			69,345 18,056	55,217 11,218
Iohannesburg Tourism Company Iohannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd			431,091	231,858
Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd			132,442	43,253
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd		N V	315,950	59,785
Roodepoort City Theatre			-	749
The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd	C		38	260
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd			31,686	54,824
The Johannesburg Zoo	\mathbf{O}		11,677	17,233
	· V		4,217,399	1,351,707
Related party transactions	0,			
Revenue from related parties	\mathbf{O}			
City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			-	515,540
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd	*		3,360	4,969
Johannesburg City Parks			32,014	5,028
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd			50	1,083
Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd	, ,		5,660	16,901 20,885
Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd			44,776 26,882	20,885
Johannesburg Tourism Company			20,002	275
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd			87,905	213,360
Johannesburg Zoo			7,186	406
Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd			2,305	1,110
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			194,292	14,325
The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd			9,198	-
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd			4,772	1,973
			418,400	797,701

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		COR	E
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011
58. RELATED PARTIES (continued)				
Subsidies paid to MOEs				100 500
Johannesburg City Parks			457,182	469,596
The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd			26,818	25,000
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd			24,110 293,919	21,637 291,841
			445,300	439,693
Iohannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd Iohannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd			445,300 17,764	439,693 16,794
Johannesburg Tourism Company			39,917	31,616
Johannesburg Zoo			42,793	40,370
Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd			42,793 51,775	40,370 45,293
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			1,076,356	925,110
Roodepoort City Theatre		()	9,853	9,375
		NV	2,485,787	2,316,325
			_,,	_,0:0,0_0
Capital Expenditure	C			
City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			14,274	52,934
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd			48,329	129,110
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd *	V		38,635	25,743
			101,238	207,787
	\sim			
Operating Expenditure City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		873,773	147,060
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd			10,150	3,000
Johannesburg City Parks *			73,996	39,515
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd			114,081	744
Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd			2,659	708
Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd			18,967	2,802
Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd *			27,528	11,278
Johannesburg Tourism Company *			574	56
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd			344.140	333,426
Metropolitan Trading Company (Pty) Ltd			8,669	510
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd *			126,380	78,564
Roodepoort City Theatre *				38
The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd *			8,915	-
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd			4,340	23,054
The Johannesburg Zoo *			3,554	548
Ŭ V			1,617,726	641,303
			.,	0 + 1,000

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		RE
Figures in Rand thousand	2012	2011	2012	2011

59. CHANGE IN ESTIMATE

Property, plant and equipment

In terms of GRAP 17, the depreciation method applied to assets shall be reviewed at least at each reporting date and, if there has been a significant change in the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits or service potential embodied in the asset, the method shall be changed to reflect the changed pattern.

This resulted in a reduction of useful life of property, plant and equipment and an increase in the accumulated depreciation in the current financial year. The useful life adjustment amounted to R14,3 million and depreciation also decreased by R55,6 million. The average remaining useful life of property, plant and equipment increased by 3 months from 36 months to 39 months.

Intangible assets

In terms of GRAP 102, the amortisation period and the amortisation method for intangible assets with a finite useful life shall be reviewed at least at each reporting date. If the expected useful life of the asset is different from previous estimates, the amortisation period shall be changed accordingly. If there has been a change in the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits or service potential embodied in the asset, the amortisation method shall be changed to reflect the changed pattern.

This resulted in an extension of useful life of intangible assets and a reduction in the accumulated amortisation in the current financial year. The net impact amounted to a R9,2 million reduction in amortisation. The average remaining useful life of intangible assets increased by 2 months from 11 months to 13 months.